

MAR 2 8 2024

DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE

By

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

JASON WADE HUGHES,

Respondent.

DRE No. H-05759 SD

OAH No. 2023060788

### **DECISION AFTER REJECTION**

The matter came on for hearing before Mary Agnes Matyszewski, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings in San Diego, California, on August 23, 2023.

Diane Lee, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), represented the Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California ("Complainant").

Respondent Jason Wade Hughes ("Respondent") was present and was represented by Attorneys William O'Connor and Chip Harrison, of Cooley, LLP.

Oral and documentary evidence was received, the record remained open to allow the parties to submit written closing and reply briefs, which were considered. The record was closed, and the matter was submitted on November 3, 2023.

On December 1, 2023, Judge Matyszewski submitted a Proposed Decision which I declined to adopt as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner. Pursuant to Section 11517(c) of the Government Code of the State of California, Respondent was served with a copy of the Proposed Decision dated December 1, 2023, and with notice of my determination not to adopt the Proposed Decision. Respondent was notified that the case would be decided by me upon the record, the transcript of the proceedings held on August 23, 2023, and upon any written argument offered by the parties.

On January 30, 2024, the Department issued an Order Extending Time for no more than fifteen (15) days for Respondent to submit a written argument.

On February 14, 2024, Respondent submitted a written argument for my consideration in rendering this Decision. On February 21, 2024, Complainant submitted written argument.

I have given careful consideration to the record in this case including the transcript of the proceedings of August 23, 2023. I have also considered the argument submitted on behalf of the Complainant and Respondent.

### **FACTUAL FINDINGS**

The Factual Findings in the Proposed Decision dated December 1, 2023, are hereby adopted as part of this Decision, apart from uncorroborated hearsay statements found in the following:

FACTUAL FINDING No. 26, pages 22 through 30. A Hearsay objection was made and overruled. Hearsay statements were admitted as administrative hearsay by Judge Matyszewski. (TOP 102:18-22).

### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

The Legal Conclusions in the Proposed Decision dated December 1, 2023, are hereby adopted as part of this Decision with the exception for the following:

LEGAL CONCLUSION No. 16, pages 37 and 38, shall now read as follows:

 Cause having been found to discipline Mr. Hughes's broker's license, the question is what discipline is appropriate. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2912, sets forth the Department's Criteria for Rehabilitation as required by Business and Professions Code section 482. Those criteria are considered below:

### Regulation 2912

The following criteria have been developed and will be considered by the Bureau pursuant to Section 482 of the Business and Professions Code for the purpose of evaluating whether or not a licensee against whom an administrative disciplinary proceeding for revocation or suspension of the license has been initiated on account of a crime committed by the licensee is rehabilitated:

- (a) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s):
- (1) The passage of less than two years after the most recent criminal conviction or act of the licensee that is a cause of action in the Bureau's Accusation against the licensee is inadequate to demonstrate rehabilitation.
- (2) Notwithstanding subdivision (a)(1), above, the two year period may be increased based upon consideration of the following:
- (A) The nature and severity of the crime(s) and/or act(s) committed by the licensee.
- (B) The licensee's history of criminal convictions and/or license discipline that are "substantially related" to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.
- (b) Restitution to any person who has suffered monetary losses through "substantially related" acts or omissions of the licensee, or escheat to the State of these monies or other properties if the victim(s) cannot be located.
- (c) Expungement of the conviction(s) which culminated in the administrative proceeding to take disciplinary action.

- (d) Expungement or discontinuance of a requirement of registration pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code.
  - (e) Successful completion or early discharge from probation or parole.
- (f) Abstinence from the use of controlled substances and/or alcohol for not less than two years if the criminal conviction was attributable in part to the use of a controlled substance and/or alcohol.
- (g) Payment of any fine imposed in connection with the criminal conviction that is the basis for revocation or suspension of the license.
- (h) Correction of business practices responsible in some degree for the crime or crimes of which the licensee was convicted.
- (i) New and different social and business relationships from those which existed at the time of the commission of the acts that led to the criminal conviction or convictions in question.
- (j) Stability of family life and fulfillment of parental and familial responsibilities subsequent to the criminal conviction.
- (k) Completion of, or sustained enrollment in, formal educational or vocational training courses for economic self-improvement.
- (l) Significant and conscientious involvement in community, church or privatelysponsored programs designed to provide social benefits or to ameliorate social problems.
- (m) Change in attitude from that which existed at the time of the commission of the criminal acts in question as evidenced by any or all of the following:
  - (1) Testimony and/or other evidence of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- (2) Evidence from family members, friends and/or other persons familiar with the licensee's previous conduct and with subsequent attitudes and/or behavioral patterns.
- (3) Evidence from probation or parole officers and/or law enforcement officials competent to testify as to licensee's social adjustments.

	(4) Evidence from p	osychiatrists, cl	inical psychologists,	sociologist	s or other
perso	ns competent to testif	y with regard to	o neuropsychiatric or	emotional	disturbances

- (5) Absence of subsequent felony convictions, or misdemeanor convictions, or other conduct that provides grounds to discipline a real estate licensee, which reflect an inability to conform to societal rules when considered in light of the conduct in question.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(a), it has been less than two years since Respondent's misdemeanor conviction on March 23, 2023.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(b), Respondent was ordered to pay restitution of \$9,433,872.30 to the City of San Diego, which Respondent has paid.
  - -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(c), Respondent's conviction has not been expunged.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(e), Respondent summary probation is scheduled to end on March 23, 2024.
  - -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(g), there was nothing in the record to indicate that Respondent owes any outstanding fines or fees in connection with the criminal conviction.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(h), Respondent testified that he stepped down as the CEO of his company and appointed a new designated officer. However, Respondent's testimony about his corporate structure differed from the documents shown to him at hearing. See Factual Finding 26, page 29.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(i), Respondent offered letters in support of Respondent from family members and individuals associated with Respondent's company, Hughes Marino, showing that Respondent maintains the same business relationships. Respondent's Exhibits CC-CF.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(j), Respondent testified that he has a stable family life.
- -Pursuant to Regulation 2912(k), there is no evidence of recent completion or enrollment of formal education or vocational training courses.

-Pursuant to Regulation 2912(l), Respondent testified that he saved the San Diego Children's Museum, has raised millions in funds, and been involved with countless organizations over the years. (TOP 100-101). However, the record lacks any specific information regarding any recent, significant, and conscientious involvement in community programs.

-Pursuant to Regulation 2912(m), Respondent's attitude has not changed from that which existed at the time of the conviction. Respondent's present-day attitude is a failure to accept responsibility. Throughout his testimony, Respondent denied any wrongdoing and, instead, Respondent characterized himself as a victim by stating, "I feel like I got bullied on this whole thing" and "I was scapegoated and this was wrong." (TOP 103:1-11). Respondent continues his denial of the crime. Respondent may not impeach his conviction. (Arneson v. Fox (1980) 28 Cal. 3d 440, 452.)

LEGAL CONCLUSION No. 21, pages 39 and 40, shall now read as follows:

Mr. Hughes stands convicted of violating Government Code section 1090, a substantially related conviction. His testimony that he did not know he could not seek compensation because he did not think he was a government official is given little weight because ignorance of the law is no excuse. (*People v. Snyder* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 590, 592-593.) Courts have drawn distinctions between mistakes of fact and mistakes of law; while a mistake of fact usually is a defense, a mistake of law usually is not. (*People v. Meneses* (2008) 165 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1648, 1661-1665.)

When acting on behalf of a government entity, even greater care must be taken to ensure there is no conflict of interest and no law is violated. Beyond sending letters and emails that he would seek compensation, Mr. Hughes took no steps to ensure he could seek that compensation. In mitigation, Mr. Hughes did notify City officials of his intention, and credibly testified about his numerous conversations with City officials regarding his intent. He did not hide his intention nor act before advising City officials about it. His claim that City officials told him he could seek compensation was unrefuted. Mr. Hughes was ordered to return all of the

4 5

compensation he earned to the City. Prior to his conviction, Mr. Hughes enjoyed a long and distinguished career, was clearly respected by several mayoral administrations, and had no history of discipline. Mr. Hughes testified that his conviction was "an injustice" (TOP 102:3-17), a "travesty" and a "painful process." (TOP 103:1-14).

Fully acknowledging the wrongfulness of past action is a necessary step towards rehabilitation. (Seide v. Committee of Bar Examiners (1989) 49 Cal. 3d 933, 939.) Respondent has not acknowledged his responsibility for the conviction. On March 23, 2023, Respondent pled guilty to violating Government Code section 1090 of which he was convicted.

Respondent's guilty plea serves as an admission of each element of the crime charged. (Arnstein v. California State Board of Pharmacy (1968) 265 Cal.App.2d 179.) Respondent cannot impeach or relitigate his criminal conviction. (Arneson v. Fox (1980) 28 Cal. 3d 440.) Yet, Respondent continues to attempt to impeach the conviction by claiming that he "did nothing wrong" (TOP 102:9-10) and that he was "scapegoated." (TOP 103:10-11). Respondent's unwillingness or refusal to acknowledge the full extent of his own misconduct demonstrates that he has yet to have a sufficient change in attitude.

Pursuant to Regulation 2912, Respondent's rehabilitation is insufficient.

Respondent's lack of acceptance or responsibility for his conviction and claim that his conviction is wholly unrelated to his license, does not assure the Department that the public will be protected in granting continued licensure to Respondent as a real estate broker. Real estate brokers act as fiduciaries to their clients and occupy a unique position of trust and responsibility toward the public. Real estate brokers, even those with a restricted license, function with minimal to no supervision. In order that the public may be adequately protected, revocation of Respondent's real estate broker license is necessary and appropriate. The Department's mission of public protection demands a revocation of Respondent's real estate broker license and prevents the Department from granting licensure to Respondent in any capacity.

### **ORDER**

# WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JASON WADE HUGHES under the Real Estate Law are revoked. Respondent shall pay the Department the sum of \$4,000.00 before any licensing rights or privileges may be reinstated.

> This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 17, 2024 IT IS SO ORDERED

> > CHIKA SUNQUIST REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER .

for M. McCay By: Marcus L. McCarther

Chief Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

# Government Code

- §11521. Reconsideration (a) The agency itself may order a reconsideration of all or part of the case on its own motion or on petition of any party. The agency shall notify a petitioner of the time limits for petitioning for reconsideration. The power to order a reconsideration shall expire 30 days after the delivery or mailing of a decision to a respondent, or on the date set by the agency itself as the effective date of the decision if that date occurs prior to the expiration of the 30-day period or at the termination of a stay of not to exceed 30 days which the agency may grant for the purpose of filing an application for reconsideration. If additional time is needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of any of the applicable periods, an agency may grant a stay of that expiration for no more than 10 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration, the petition shall be deemed denied.
- (b) The case may be reconsidered by the agency itself on all the pertinent parts of the record and such additional evidence and argument as may be permitted, or may be assigned to an administrative law judge. A reconsideration assigned to an administrative law judge shall be subject to the procedure provided in Section 11517. If oral evidence is introduced before the agency itself, no agency member may vote unless he or she heard the evidence.

§11522. Reinstatement of License or Reduction of Penalty - A person whose license has been revoked or suspended may petition the agency for reinstatement or reduction of penalty after a period of not less than one year has elapsed from the effective date of the decision or from the date of the denial of a similar petition. The agency shall give notice to the Attorney General of the filing of the petition and the Attorney General and the petitioner shall be afforded an opportunity to present either oral or written argument before the agency itself. The agency itself shall decide the petition, and the decision shall include the reasons therefor, and any terms and conditions that the agency reasonably deems appropriate to impose as a condition of reinstatement. This section shall not apply if the statutes dealing with the particular agency contain different provisions for reinstatement or reduction of penalty. (Added by Stats. 1945, Ch. 867; amended by Stats. 1985, Ch. 587.

# CRITERIA FOR REHABILITATION (REVOCATION)

RE 574 (Rev. 7/18)

LEGAL SECTION

Your real estate license has been revoked or suspended by the Real Estate Commissioner based wholly or in part upon (I) a criminal conviction, or (2) an act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit done with intent to substantially benefit yourself or another or with the intent or threat of substantially injuring another or property, or (3) an act which if done by a real estate licensee would be grounds for revocation of that license, or (4) upon the grounds that you knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for such license. The reason(s) for the revocation or suspension is set forth in the attached Decision.

Set forth below is the Criteria for Rehabilitation. These criteria have been developed by the Department of Real Estate as guidelines to assist you to establish a rehabilitation program and in the preparation of your case should you petition in the future for reinstatement of your license or for a reduction of your penalty.

Not all of the factors listed in the Criteria will be applicable in the case of every revoked or suspended license nor will each applicable factor necessarily be given equal weight in evaluating a person's rehabilitation. Each person must decide which of these factors are applicable to his or her case and should then take appropriate steps toward rehabilitation to the end of satisfying the Real Estate Commissioner that it would not be against the public interest to grant reinstatement of the license or a reduction of the penalty

## 2912. Criteria for Rehabilitation (Revocation or Suspension).

The following criteria have been developed and will be considered by the Department pursuant to Section 482 of the Business and Professions Code for the purpose of evaluating whether or not a licensee against whom an administrative disciplinary proceeding for revocation or suspension of the license has been initiated on account of a crime committed by the licensee is rehabilitated:

- (a) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s):
  - (1) The passage of less than two years after the most recent criminal conviction or act of the licensee that is a cause of action in the Department's Accusation against the licensee is inadequate to demonstrate rehabilitation.
  - (2) Notwithstanding subdivision (a)(1), above, the two year period may be increased based upon consideration of the following:
    - (A) The nature and severity of the crime(s) and/or act(s) committed by the licensee.
    - (B) The licensee's history of criminal convictions and/or license discipline that are "substantially related" to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.
- (b) Restitution to any person who has suffered monetary losses through "substantially related" acts or omissions of the licensee, or escheat to the State of these monies or other properties if the victim(s) cannot be located.
- (c) Expungement of the conviction(s) which culminated in the administrative proceeding to take disciplinary action.
- (d) Expungement or discontinuance of a requirement of registration pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of

the Penal Code.

- (e) Successful completion or early discharge from probation or parole.
- (f) Abstinence from the use of controlled substances and/or alcohol for not less than two years if the criminal conviction was attributable in part to the use of a controlled substance and/or alcohol.
- (g) Payment of any fine imposed in connection with the criminal conviction that is the basis for revocation or suspension of the license.
- (h) Correction of business practices responsible in some degree for the crime or crimes of which the licensee was convicted.
- (i) New and different social and business relationships from those which existed at the time of the commission of the acts that led to the criminal conviction or convictions in question.
- (j) Stability of family life and fulfillment of parental and familial responsibilities subsequent to the criminal conviction.
- (k) Completion of, or sustained enrollment in, formal educational or vocational training courses for economic self-improvement.
- (l) Significant and conscientious involvement in community, church or privately-sponsored programs designed to provide social benefits or to ameliorate social problems.
- (m) Change in attitude from that which existed at the time of the commission of the criminal acts in question as evidenced by any or all of the following:
  - (1) Testimony and/or other evidence of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
  - (2) Evidence from family members, friends and/or other persons familiar with the licensee's previous conduct

- and with subsequent attitudes and/or behavioral patterns.
- (3) Evidence from probation or parole officers and/or law enforcement officials competent to testify as to licensee's social adjustments.
- (4) Evidence from psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, sociologists or other persons competent to testify with regard to neuropsychiatric or emotional disturbances.
- (5) Absence of subsequent felony convictions, misdemeanor convictions, or other conduct that provides grounds to discipline a real estate licensee, which reflect an inability to conform to societal rules when considered in light of the conduct in question.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 482 and 10080, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Sections 482 and 490, Business and Professions Code.

#### **DECLARATION OF MAILING**

### State of California Department of Real Estate

### In the Matter of the Accusation of:

**JASON WADE HUGHES** 

H-05759 SD

### State of California, County of Los Angeles

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the office of the Department of Real Estate of the State of California at 1651 Exposition, Blvd., Sacramento, CA 95815.

On March 29, 2024, I served the following documents:

$\boxtimes$	DECISION AFTER REJECTION
$\boxtimes$	RE 561H (LETTER TO RESPONDENT)
$\boxtimes$	RE 574 CRITERIA OF REHABILITATION (REVOCATION)
$\boxtimes$	GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTIONS 11521 & 11522

in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

JASON WADE HUGHES 1450 FRONT ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 (Respondent)	WILLIAM V. O'CONNER COOLEY LLP 10265 SCIENCE CTR DR SAN DIEGO CA 92121	(Respondent's Employing Broker) (BY REGULAR MAIL ONLY)
(eccepennency)	(Respondent's Attorney) (BY REGULAR MAIL ONLY)	

- (By Mail) I served the above document(s) on behalf of the Department of Real Estate by placing for collection and mailing, following ordinary business practices, true copies to the addressed as shown above, on this date and at the place shown, in envelope(s) in the ordinary course of business.
- [Mail] I served the above document(s) on behalf of the Department of Real Estate by placing for collection and mailing, following ordinary business practices, true copies to the addressed as shown above, on this date and at the place shown, in envelope(s) in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 29, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.

ALEJANDRA CANCHE