CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Case 2025-0276

ITEM	PAGE NUMBERS
WITNESS LIST	1
SUMMARY	2
ALLEGATIONS	3
INVESTIGATION	4-27
WITNESS OFFICER INTERVIEW	
[redacted]	26-29
WITNESS INTERVIEW	
[redacted]	30-31
[redacted]	32-35
SUBJECT OFFICER INTERVIEWS	
Miguel Gonzalez, Police Officer II	36-94
CONCLUSIONS	95-109
DOCUMENT LIST	110

Reporting Officer: Patrick Laco, D/Sergeant ID: 5864 Division: Internal Affairs

Approved By: Carmelin Rivera, Lieutenant Date of Report: December 4, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

San Diego Police Department
Investigator's Report

Date of Incident: February 28, 2025

Time of Incident: 0809 hours

Location of Incident: [redacted]

Subject: Internal Investigation I.A. #2025-0276

CONFIDENTIAL: **THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.**

SUBJECT OFFICER: **GONZALEZ, Miguel, Police Officer II, ID #1140**
Date of Hire: 03-05-2020
S.D.P.D., Northern 3rd Watch
(858) 552-1700
H/M, AGE: [redacted]

WITNESS OFFICER: [redacted]

CIVILIAN WITNESS: [redacted]

CIVILIAN WITNESS: [redacted]

Reporting Officer: Patrick Laco, D/Sergeant ID: 5864 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Carmelin Rivera, Lieutenant #5336 Date of Report: December 4, 2025

SUMMARY:

On February 28, 2025, Officer Miguel Gonzalez contacted [redacted] who wanted to report a domestic violence incident between him and his wife, [redacted] (SDPD Event [redacted]. Officer Gonzalez first spoke to [redacted] and his daughters at the IHOP, located at 6135 Balboa Avenue. After Officer Gonzalez spoke to [redacted] and his daughters, he went to [redacted] and [redacted] home at [redacted] to speak with [redacted] After speaking with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez discovered there were elements of a felony domestic violence incident per 273.5 PC. Officer Gonzalez determined [redacted] was the suspect for this case. Before he left [redacted] residence, [redacted] came home and spoke with Officer Gonzalez. Officer Gonzalez decided not to arrest [redacted] even though there was probable cause to believe that a felony had occurred, and instead decided to just write the report. (SDPD Case [redacted]

[redacted] suspected that Officer Gonzalez had possibly established a relationship with [redacted] provided phone records that showed several calls between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] reported his suspicions to Eastern Division patrol officer [redacted] Officer [redacted] reported the potential misconduct to his chain of command. During the IA investigation, it was determined that Officer Gonzalez had established a romantic, sexual relationship with [redacted] and had sex with [redacted] while on duty.

ALLEGATIONS:

1. **CONDUCT (UNBECOMING)**

Officer Miguel Gonzalez began a romantic, sexual relationship with a domestic violence victim [redacted] went to her home while on duty, and had sexual intercourse with her on March 6, 2025. Prior to going to her house, Officer Gonzalez was dispatched to a call at a different location. Officer Gonzalez made the victim of that call wait 51 minutes before he arrived.

2. **CONDUCT (IMMORAL)**

Officer Gonzalez failed to maintain a level of moral conduct that keeps the highest standards of the law enforcement profession. Officer Gonzalez engaged in an act of moral turpitude when he went to a domestic violence victim's [redacted] home and had sexual intercourse with her on March 6, 2025.

3. **CONDUCT (NEGLECT OF DUTY)**

Officer Gonzalez neglected his duties as a police officer when he was assigned to respond to a stolen vehicle report on [redacted] Instead of immediately responding to the location of his assigned call, Officer Gonzalez went to a domestic violence victim's [redacted] house and had sexual intercourse with her.

4. **CONDUCT (CONFLICT OF INTEREST)**

Officer Gonzalez created a conflict of interest by establishing a romantic, sexual relationship with a domestic violence victim [redacted] after taking her initial crime report for domestic violence.

5. **PROCEDURE (DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INVESTIGATIONS)**

Officer Gonzalez failed to make a physical arrest of a domestic violence suspect even though there was probable cause to believe that a felony had occurred.

6. **CONDUCT (PERFORMANCE OF DUTY)**

Officer Gonzalez failed to adhere to performance standards. He failed to use good judgment by not arresting a domestic violence suspect after making the determination the case was a felony domestic violence incident. He also failed to establish and maintain a good rapport with [redacted] who was the victim/reporting party, wait 51 minutes for his arrival.

INVESTIGATION:

On June 2, 2025, Internal Affairs Lieutenant Carmelin Rivera #5336 assigned me to investigate an internal complaint against Officer Miguel Gonzalez #1140. Lieutenant Rivera told me that Eastern Division Captain Tammy Clendenden called Internal Affairs Captain Julie Epperson to report possible misconduct by Officer Gonzalez. On May 26, 2025, Eastern Division Officer [redacted] completed a crime report for a DV TRO violation. [redacted] reported to Officer [redacted] that Officer Gonzalez had called his wife, [redacted] 11 times between February 28, 2025, and May 20, 2025. After Officer [redacted] took the report from [redacted] he immediately notified his supervisor, Sergeant [redacted] Sergeant [redacted] then notified his chain of command, who then notified Internal Affairs. The date of this notification to Internal Affairs was May 26, 2025. It was believed at the time that Officer Gonzalez may have established an inappropriate relationship with the victim of a domestic violence.

On June 2, 2025, Northern Division Patrol Sergeant [redacted] received a citizen complaint by [redacted] The complaint read as follows:

> On June 1, 2025, at approximately 2314 hours, I was made aware of a 10-21 (Phone Call Request) event from [redacted] requesting to speak with a sergeant related to SDPD Event: [redacted] I called him from my department issued cell phone. During the initial phone call, [redacted] was asking about the status of the case. We discussed the status of the case. I provided him with the name for the detective handling the case. [redacted] was not satisfied when I would not read his wife's statement to him. [redacted] then stated he wanted to show me something possibly in regard to an officer acting inappropriately but would not elaborate further. I spoke with him two more times to see if he would be willing to speak with the officer's supervisor or come to Northern Division. He was not willing to wait or come to the station. He wanted to meet in person and have my body worn camera activated. I had not yet added myself to the 10-21 event. At 2352 hours, I was added to the 10-21 event.
>
> On 6-2-2025 at approximately 0011 hours, Sergeant [redacted] and I met with him outside of his apartment at [redacted]. My body worn camera was in use during my interactions with [redacted] from this point onward. He had asked for it to be on and recording. While speaking with [redacted] he told me about the situation with his wife, including details of her possibly cheating on him and possibly engaging in prostitution. He also mentioned Officer Miguel Gonzalez responding to investigate possible domestic violence between [redacted] and his wife on 2-28-25 (SDPD Event: [redacted] and Case: [redacted] [redacted] mentioned that he recently regained access to his joint T-Mobile account with his wife. While going through phone records, he found a phone number, [redacted] had made multiple incoming phone calls to his wife's phone number, [redacted] [redacted] stated he discovered phone number [redacted] was associated with Officer Miguel Gonzalez. He showed me two separate screenshots from the T-Mobile app as well as the T-Mobile apps most recent search. There appeared to be 11 total calls between the two phone numbers between February 28, 2025, with the most recent being on May

20, 2025. He did not know what was occurring during those phone calls, but believed the interactions may be inappropriate. I took 6 photographs of images from [redacted] phone. IMG_0692.jpeg is of the first screenshot on [redacted] phone. IMG_0693.jpeg, IMG_0694.jpeg, and IMG_0695.jpeg are of the second screenshot. IMG_0696.jpeg and IMG_0697.jpeg are photographs of the T-Mobile App on [redacted] phone. I provided [redacted] with the event number, the original case number, and my name with ID.


After leaving the scene, [redacted] again called into communications. I called him back and recorded the phone call. He was requesting information on how to obtain records from PERT. I provided him with their public phone number to their business office.

On June 2, 2025, I discovered two recent incidents where [redacted] was the reporting party. The first one was on May 26, 2025 (SDPD Event [redacted] while the second one was on June 2, 2025 (SDPD Event [redacted]

I reviewed SDPD Event [redacted] Officer [redacted] wrote a crime report (Case [redacted] I read the crime report and watched the Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage of the incident. (This was the incident that prompted Officer [redacted] to report possible misconduct by Officer Gonzalez to his chain of command.) From the crime report and the BWC I learned the following:

On May 26, 2025, Officer [redacted] responded to [redacted] residence at [redacted] for a report of a restraining order violation (SDPD Event [redacted] called 911 to report [redacted] was violating a restraining order he had against her by using a 3rd party call him. [redacted] was the estranged husband of [redacted] and was no longer living with her. Officer [redacted] listed [redacted] as the offender and [redacted] was the victim. Officer [redacted] met with [redacted] and took his statement during a victim interview. During the interview [redacted] told Officer [redacted] the details regarding the case and how he believed [redacted] was using a 3rd party to harass him. [redacted] looked at phone records from his cell phone account. At the time [redacted] shared a cell phone account with [redacted] checked phone records going to [redacted] cell phone. [redacted] noticed calls going to [redacted] phone and discovered the phone number he believed belonged to Officer Gonzalez. [redacted] looked up the number, which was [redacted] on the internet and discovered it belonged to "Miguel Gonzalez." [redacted] believed this person to be Officer Gonzalez. The following screenshots from [redacted] show several dates, times, and durations of the phone calls from [redacted] to [redacted] phone (Depicted in **Figure 1**):

(Figure 1)



In Figure 1, the records displayed showed eleven phone calls from [redacted] from the time period between February 28, 2025, through May 20, 2025. A breakdown of the calls from [redacted] to [redacted] phone are as follows:


1. February 28, 2025, at 2:27 PM, 5 minutes
2. February 28, 2025, at 2:37 PM, 21 minutes
3. February 28, 2025, at 4:00 PM, 47 minutes
4. March 5, 2025, at 2:28 PM, 28 minutes
5. March 11, 2025, 4:40 PM, 1 minute
6. March 12, 2025, 5:20 PM, 4 minutes
7. April 26, 2025, 7:16 PM, 8 minutes
8. April 27, 2025, 2:54 PM, 3 minutes
9. April 29, 2025, 7:25 PM, 19 minutes
10. May 20, 2025, 2:39 PM, 1 minute
11. May 20, 2025, 4:12 PM, 19 minutes

In total there were 11 calls from [redacted] to [redacted] phone, for a total of 112 minutes.

Before [redacted] called to report the TRO violation by [redacted] he conducted a Google search for the phone number [redacted] discovered the number belonged to "Miguel Gonzalez." [redacted] believed this was the same person as Officer Gonzalez. [redacted] took a screenshot from his search.

[redacted] showed Officer [redacted] the screenshot of his search. **Figure 2** shows the screenshot [redacted] took from his search:

(Figure 2)



These screenshots were provided to Officer [redacted] from [redacted] These screenshots, as well as the Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage of the interview with [redacted] were uploaded into Axon via Event ID number [redacted]

The following were uploaded into I/A PRO regarding Officer [redacted] interaction with [redacted]

1. BWC from Officer [redacted] per SDPD Event [redacted]
2. Photographs provided by [redacted] regarding the screenshots from T-Mobile
3. Photograph showing [redacted] search of phone number [redacted]

On June 2, 2025, [redacted] called SDPD Dispatch to provide more information about the calls from Officer Gonzalez to [redacted] (SDPD EVENT [redacted] requested to speak to a Sergeant. Sergeant [redacted] responded and was joined by Sergeant [redacted] who was already on scene. (This interaction resulted in the citizen's complaint that I referred to above). I watched the BWC video of the interview and learned the following:

> [redacted] verbally requested the interview be recorded on BWC, which was turned on before meeting with [redacted] During the interview with [redacted] he explained to Sgt [redacted] that on February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez interviewed him and his daughters, which were captured on his BWC. [redacted] then explained that when Officer Gonzalez was at his and [redacted] home, he was there for three hours while [redacted] was not there. [redacted] felt Officer Gonzalez being there for three hours was "awkward and weird." As he goes on explaining the situation with Sgt [redacted] disclosed he believed [redacted] was a prostitute. [redacted] said after Officer Gonzalez took his statement and went to his home to talk to [redacted] he went to his home to drop off one of his daughters and notices Officer Gonzalez was still there after an hour and a half. [redacted] could hear the conversation between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] described the interaction between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] was "flirty." [redacted] said he shrugged it off and

left to go back to work. [redacted] returned home after another hour and a half and saw Officer Gonzalez just about to leave his home. [redacted] inquired as to why Officer Gonzalez was still there. Officer Gonzalez told him [redacted] was telling a whole different story than what [redacted] told him. Officer Gonzalez said he would write the report and send it to the Domestic Violence unit.

During the interview, [redacted] mentioned he had been having issues logging into his T-Mobile account. This account is a shared account between him and [redacted] where he is the primary in the account. [redacted] said he had worked with T-Mobile and was granted access to his account information. When he gained access, he noticed "hundreds" of text message interactions to [redacted] phone. The history does not show the content of the messages but shows the transactions were of text, photos, and videos. [redacted] stated he began texting the numbers that appeared on [redacted] phone, telling the recipients he was [redacted] and if they remembered her. [redacted] said he received numerous responses back. Some of the responses were "S A?" [redacted] asked Sgt [redacted] if he knew what "S A" was, to which he replied he did not know. [redacted] said it was an app named "Seeking Arrangements." [redacted] explained Seeking Arrangements was like low-key prostitution, where women seek men who pay for services with products or money. [redacted] mentioned "PPM" or price per minute.

As the interview went on, [redacted] was explaining he was going through the numbers on [redacted] phone history. He stopped and asked Sgt [redacted] if it was normal procedure for an investigating officer for a domestic violence incident to give out their personal phone number. Sgt [redacted] mentioned it was not advised to do so. [redacted] went on to mention he noticed possibly up to ten different occasions where he noticed phone conversations between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] some lasting 20 to 40 minutes long. [redacted] showed Sgt [redacted] that some of the calls indicated they were calls via wi-fi, which means the call length may be longer than indicated on the call history.


I uploaded the BWCs for Sergeants [redacted] and [redacted] into I/A PRO.

On June 2, 2025, I contacted Officer [redacted] and informed him I would like to interview him about the crime report on May 26, 2025, specifically Case [redacted] /Event [redacted] where he wrote the TRO report listing [redacted] as the victim and [redacted] as the suspect. Officer [redacted] agreed to come the next day, June 3, 2025, at 0700 hours.

On June 3, 2025, at 0700 hours, I interviewed Officer [redacted] at the Internal Affairs office as a witness officer. Internal Affairs Detective/Sergeant T. Doherty #6372 was also present as the 2nd investigator during this interview. Officer [redacted] interview was audio recorded. I read Officer [redacted] the Sworn Witness Admonishment form, which he signed. I uploaded a copy of the signed admonishment into I/A PRO. I uploaded the audio recording of the interview into I/A PRO.

On June 3, 2025, I conducted an internal review of Officer Gonzalez using the SDPD HR database. I discovered his home phone number listed was [redacted] See **Figure 3** for a screenshot from the HR database. Circled in red is the phone number.

(Figure 3)



On June 17, 2025, I submitted a CLETS audit request on Officer Gonzalez with SDPD Crime Analysis Unit. The request was specifically to see if Officer Gonzalez conducted any searches through CLETS with respect to [redacted] and [redacted] Analyst Kimberly Kirkpatrick accepted the request.

On June 17, 2025, I submitted a request to Data Systems with a request to view Officer Gonzalez's AVL data for the dates that coincide when Officer Gonzalez was on duty and when he called [redacted] specifically:

1. February 28, 2025
2. March 6, 2025
3. April 26, 2025
4. April 27, 2025
5. May 20, 2025

On June 17, 2025, I spoke with [redacted] on the phone. I requested an in-person meeting with him, to be conducted on June 18, 2025.

On June 18, 2025, I met with [redacted] at his residence at [redacted] agreed to have this meeting audio recorded. See his statement for details. I uploaded a copy of the audio interview into I/A PRO.

On June 20, 2025, I received an email from Kimberly Kirkpatrick at Crime Analysis. The email stated the CLETS results were negative with regards to Officer Gonzalez searching [redacted] and [redacted] on eQuery/SRFERS/ONS. The search was also negative on two different CAD searches. Kirkpatrick sent a

list of results from Officer Gonzalez's searches in the form of license plates and personal information. None of those inquiries were related to [redacted] or [redacted] I uploaded a PDF of the results into I/A PRO.

On June 30, 2025, I received AVL video from Analyst Martha Cruz, Data Systems. I received a total of 7 videos depicting Officer Gonzalez's movement in a patrol vehicle. Those videos were from the dates I originally asked for. There were two videos from April 27, 2025, as Officer Gonzalez was logged on as PV1 and 112J1 on the same day. The AVL I requested for May 20, 2025, came in two videos due to Officer Gonzalez working 3rd watch as 112J3. I watched the videos and discovered Officer Gonzalez visited [redacted] home while he was on duty on the three different dates and times. I uploaded these AVL videos into I/A PRO:

1. February 28, 2025, from 0808 to 0938, on event #[redacted]
2. March 6, 2025, from 0533 to 0559, on event #[redacted]
3. March 6, 2025, from 1254 to 1357, on event #[redacted]

I matched these dates with Officer Gonzalez's unit history for those days. On those days he was assigned unit 112J1. The AVL footage I reviewed were for 112J1.

The following screenshots show Officer Gonzalez assigned on the above listed dates. **Figure 4** shows Officer Gonzalez assigned as 112J1 on February 28, 2025. **Figure 5** shows Officer Gonzalez assigned as 112J1 on March 6, 2025.

(Figure 4)

SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1

(Figure 5)

SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1

The following is a review from the above listed AVL footage, Officer Gonzalez's activity, and the duration he was on [redacted] and other locations with regards to the associated events.

On February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez was assigned to event #[redacted] The event was categorized as a "DV", short for Domestic Violence. The reporting party was [redacted] who called 911 to report his wife, [redacted] was trying to provoke him by recording their conversation. [redacted] was at an IHOP, located at 6135 Balboa Avenue and was waiting for a unit at that location. BWC and AVL footage shows he arrived at that location at 0724 hours. This was the first contact Officer Gonzalez had with [redacted] was not present at this location and was later contacted at her house on [redacted] Officer Gonzalez activated his BWC for this contact. Officer Gonzalez took [redacted] statement and his daughter's statement. BWC footage ends at 0754 hours while Officer Gonzalez was still at the IHOP.


AVL footage shows Officer Gonzalez leaving the IHOP at 0807 hours and arriving in the area of [redacted] at 0809 hours. While at [redacted] Officer Gonzalez met with [redacted] to take her statement for this case. I checked Evidence.com for any entries by Officer Gonzalez depicting his activities on [redacted] There is no BWC footage from Officer Gonzalez's camera documenting his contact with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez took a total of 14 pictures of [redacted] her injuries, and the exterior of the property, of which he uploaded into Evidence.com. One of the pictures shows a bare hand lifting up [redacted] upper lip. The hand does not match [redacted] hands, which could be seen in other photographs. The hand appeared to be that of a male's hand. (I later determined it was Officer Gonzalez's hands.) At the time of this report, it was believed only [redacted] and Officer Gonzalez was present during this interaction. Officer Gonzalez leaves the location at 0938 hours. Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez was there a total of 88 minutes on [redacted] See **Figure 5a** for the picture of [redacted] injured lip.

(Figure 5a)

[redacted]

The following AVL screenshot (**Figure 6)** shows Officer Gonzalez's arrival at [redacted] The time was 0809 hours on this screenshot and shows Officer Gonzalez was on event [redacted] the original DV incident. (Note that in all the AVL screenshots depicted, Officer Gonzalez is shown with a yellow circle around his unit designator and assigned event number. AVL also does not show an actual address a unit arrives at. It only shows the location the vehicle is being tracked at. In this case, AVL shows Officer Gonzalez arriving on [redacted] It also shows his vehicle in the vicinity of [redacted] which is depicted by the red box on Figure 6).

(Figure 6)



The following screenshot (**Figure 7)** shows Officer Gonzalez departing [redacted] on the same event. AVL shows Officer Gonzalez was at this location the entire time and departed at 0937 hours.

(Figure 7)



On March 6, 2025, per CAD, Officer Gonzalez shows he is on SDPD Event [redacted] at 0530 hours. This event was a Stolen Vehicle Recovery (10851R) report at [redacted]. Officer Gonzalez's unit history shows he went "EN" (or enroute to the call) at 0530 hours. His unit history shows he facilitated the status change. At 0533 hours, his unit history shows his status change to "AK", which means he changed it from being enroute to the call, to acknowledging the call. His unit history also shows he facilitated that status change. However, AVL data shows Officer Gonzalez was on [redacted] at 0533 hours. Figure 8 shows Officer Gonzalez arriving at 0533 hours per AVL. I uploaded a copy of the CAD printout into I/A PRO.


The following screenshot (**Figure 8**) shows Officer Gonzalez, designated as at 112J1, and assigned SDPD Event [redacted] In this screenshot it shows he arrived on [redacted] at 0533 hours.

(Figure 8)



Figure 8a shows Officer Gonzalez's unit history for SDPD Event [redacted] The history shows he was dispatched by Dispatcher [redacted] at 0530 hours. Officer Gonzalez changed his status to ER, or enroute, at 0530 hours. Officer Gonzalez changed his status to AK, or acknowledged, at 0533 hours. (The "SC" status change at 0533 hours was facilitated by Dispatcher [redacted] who added noted he was the "EARLY CAR", meaning he started at a time before the regular 0600 hours start time for first watch officers. This part did not have any relevance to this incident other than to show he started earlier than other first watch officers.)

Figure 8a



SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	10851R	03/06/25 05:30:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	[redacted]	brc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R	03/06/25 05:30:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	10851R	03/06/25 05:30:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		SC	[redacted]	10851R	03/06/25 05:33:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	[redacted]	brc01	[redacted]	EARLY CAR	7451

At 0556 hours, Officer Gonzalez's unit history showed he changed his status to "97", or 10-97, at [redacted] for Event [redacted] However, AVL shows Officer Gonzalez was at [redacted] until 0556 hours. Officer Gonzalez was on [redacted] for a total of 23 minutes while assigned an unrelated incident. See **Figure 9**.

(Figure 9)




Figure 9a shows Officer Gonzalez's unit history shows his status at 0556 as being "97" at [redacted] This conflicts with his actual location, which was just leaving the area of [redacted] shown in **Figure 9** above.

(Figure 9a)



AVL footage showed Officer Gonzalez's movements after he left [redacted] Officer Gonzalez was still on the 10851R call but diverted to Genesee Avenue and Mount Alifan Drive instead of going to [redacted] There is a 7/Eleven at this location. It was not known if he went into that store. He arrived at this location at 0559 hours. **Figure 10** shows his location at 0559 hours.

(Figure 10)



Officer Gonzalez eventually left Genesee Avenue and Mt Alifan at 0608 hours, placing him at this location for 9 minutes. Per CAD and Officer Gonzalez's 10851R report, there was no mention why Officer Gonzalez went to this location instead of responding to [redacted] This delayed his response to [redacted]. See **Figure 11.**

(Figure 11)

[redacted]



Officer Gonzalez's unit history shows him going "97" at [redacted] at 0616 hours. AVL shows him in the area of around the 1300 block of [redacted] **Figure 11a** shows Officer Gonzalez location via AVL.

(Figure 11a)




Figure 11b shows Officer Gonzalez's unit history showing him "97" at 0616 hours, which he facilitated himself via his mobile CAD. The location he shows "97" at was [redacted]

(Figure 11b)



Figure 12 shows Officer Gonzalez eventually arrived in the area of [redacted] at 0621 hours. Officer Gonzalez parks on [redacted] which is perpendicular to [redacted].

(Figure 12)



Officer Gonzalez eventually leaves the location at 0629 hours. Officer Gonzalez's case is closed at 0742 hours. There is no BWC for this event.


For this event, Officer Gonzalez's unit history shows he was assigned the 10851R event at 0530 hours. It shows he indicated he arrived at 0556 hours, which was initiated by Officer Gonzalez via CAD. The AVL shows Officer Gonzalez was not on scene on [redacted] until 0621 hours. While his status in

his unit history showed he was on scene on [redacted] at 0556 hours, AVL showed Officer Gonzalez was on [redacted]. He then went to Genesee and Mt Alifan, before ever arriving on [redacted] at 0621 hours. This is a discrepancy of 25 minutes. **It took Officer Gonzalez a total of 51 minutes to arrive at the location**. When Officer Gonzalez arrived, he met with the victim, [redacted]. Officer Gonzalez made the victim, [redacted] wait with his recovered stolen vehicle. Officer Gonzalez took the report, with SDPD Case number [redacted] I uploaded a copy of this report into I/A PRO.

On the same day (March 6, 2025), at 1133 hours, [redacted] called 911 to preserve the peace at [redacted] The number event was [redacted] Per the CAD, [redacted] called for a 415PP to retrieve items at his home. When officers arrived at the location, they were met by [redacted] who told them [redacted] was there prior to their arrival in violation of a served TRO, which prevented [redacted] from coming within 100 yards. The call stated [redacted] would be waiting for officers at the Burger King on Balboa. The actual location was 6401 Balboa Ave. When officers arrived, they arrested [redacted] for the TRO violation. The time of his arrest was 1240 hours per Officer [redacted] BWC footage. Officer Gonzalez was not present at the location of the arrest. Per his unit history, Officer Gonzalez showed he was at Saginaw Avenue and Tecumseh Way on an unrelated incident (SDPD Event [redacted]


Per his unit history, Officer Gonzalez added himself to the preserve the peace call (event [redacted] at 1251 hours. This was 11 minutes after [redacted] arrest on Balboa Avenue. AVL footage shows Officer Gonzalez never made it to the arrest location. Instead, the footage shows Officer Gonzalez going straight to [redacted] and arriving at 1254 hours. (Officer Gonzalez later admitted in his IA interview that he went to [redacted] residence). See **Figure 13.**

(Figure 13)



Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez stayed at the location and departed at 1357 hours, or 1:57 PM. **Figure 14** shows when Officer Gonzalez left [redacted].

(Figure 14)



Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez was at [redacted] for a total of 63 minutes. There is no BWC footage from Officer Gonzalez on this date when he was present on [redacted] since he did not conduct any enforcement activity. Officer Gonzalez did not write a report detailing why he was present for this incident. I uploaded Officer Gonzalez's unit history for March 6, 2025, into I/A PRO. I uploaded the AVL video showing Officer Gonzalez's vehicle movement into I/A PRO.

On June 30, 2025, Detective/Sergeant M. Thomas #6909 and I went to the area of [redacted] to look for any surveillance cameras that were close to [redacted] We checked [redacted] and [redacted] as well as [redacted]. I spoke to the residents at [redacted] and [redacted] Both residents told me they did not have any video stored that went farther than 2 weeks.

On June 30, 2025, I submitted a new AVL request. I requested an updated list to determine whether Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] home between February 28, 2025, and July 1, 2025.

On July 8, 2025, I went to the SDPD Vice Unit and spoke with Detective Sergeant R. Barton. Based on [redacted] accusation of [redacted] possibly being involved in prostitution, I requested an inquiry to see if this was a possibility. Detective Sergeant Barton assigned Detective M. Simmers to conduct the inquiry. It was discovered there were no hits that showed [redacted] being flagged as a subject known to be involved with prostitution.

On July 11, 2025, I received the latest AVL video of Officer Gonzalez, specifically when his location showed at or near [redacted] AVL shows Officer Gonzalez's in the area of [redacted] between 0948 and 1010 hours on March 13, 2025. The video shows Officer Gonzalez going to [redacted] house at 0949 hours. Officer Gonzalez shows he was on SDPD Event [redacted] a 368R (Elder

Abuse Investigation) call at [redacted] A review of his calls and unit history revealed Officer Gonzalez was on that call until 0936 hours, where he was dispatched to SDPD Event [redacted] a 415W (Disturbing The Peace with Weapon) call at [redacted] Unit history shows Officer Gonzalez went back to the 368R call at 0938 when officers went Code-4 at the 415W. Officer Gonzalez's unit history (from March 13, 2025) showed he went back to the 415W call at 1013 hours. Initially it looked as if he never made it to that call based on BWC from the officers on scene, who had to place the 415W suspect in a WRAP. At this point it was my belief Officer Gonzalez never made it to the scene after being placed on the call.

I requested new AVL (on October 16, 2025) depicting Officer Gonzalez's movements on this day between 0743 and 1143 hours. I discovered Officer Gonzalez did respond to the scene, but it was after the officers were able to successfully apply the WRAP. Officer Gonzalez's location prior to responding to the scene placed him at a distance that did not allow him time to arrive before the WRAP application was finished. **I did not notice any discrepancies or policy violations at this point.** See Figure 14a for a detailed explanation of Officer Gonzalez's movements during this series of events on March 13, 2025.

(Figure 14a)

TIME	EVENT #	ACTIVITY	CALL LOCATION	ACTUAL LOCATION	CAD STATUS	NOTES
0743	[redacted]	368R	[redacted]	Genessee Ave and Derrick Dr	DP (DISPTACHED)	
0743	[redacted]	368R	[redacted]	Genessee Ave and Derrick Dr	AK (ACKNOWLEDGED)	
0807		368R	[redacted]	Genessee Ave and Derrick Dr	ER (EN ROUTE)	
0822		368R	[redacted]	[redacted]	97 (10-97, ON SCENE)	
0936		368R	[redacted]	MT ALIFAN DR AND MT ACADIA BLVD	AV (AVAILABLE)	
0936	[redacted]	415W	[redacted]	MT ALIFAN DR AND MT ACADIA BLVD	DP	
0936		415W	[redacted]	MT ALIFAN DR AND MT ACADIA BLVD	ER	
0938		415W	[redacted]	MT ACADIA BLVD AND MT ABBEY AVE	AV	
0938	[redacted]	368R	[redacted]	MT ACADIA BLVD AND MT ABBEY AVE	DP	
0939		368R	[redacted]	MT ACADIA BLVD AND MT ARARAT DR	AK	
0949		368R	[redacted]	[redacted]		AVL SHOWS OFFICER GONZALEZ IN FRONT OF [redacted]

1013		368R	[redacted]	[redacted]	AV	
1013	[redacted]	415W			ER	
1033		415W				ON SCENE, NO CAD STATUS CHANGE
1043		415W	[redacted]	CLAIREMONT DR AND MORENA BLVD	AV	

(FIGURE 14a continued)

On July 14, 2025, I met with [redacted] at his home on [redacted] had mentioned in an earlier conversation that he had an audio recording from February 28, 2025, when he arrived home when Officer Gonzalez was still there. [redacted] mentioned the recording captured what sounded like Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] flirting with each other. He played the recording. I was not able to hear any interaction between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] The recording had no value to this investigation and was not collected.

On July 23, 2025, I received an email from Analyst Kimberly Kirkpatrick. The email was a result of an inquiry I sent, requesting a check of Officer Gonzalez's inquiry on [redacted] and [redacted] through the County of San Diego. The inquiry shows inquiries Officer Gonzalez conducted on [redacted] and [redacted] using County databases such as SDLAW. The following are the results that were relayed to Kirkpatrick from the County of San Diego: (See **Figure 15**)

(Figure 15)

Good afternoon, Sgt. Laco.

Here are the SDSO results for the searches you requested. [redacted] was run through eJIMS /SDLaw by your officer.

2/28/2025 14:39:09	eJIMSQuery	SD	eJIMS	SD_sd1140	eJIMS Query page, LastName=[redacted] First Name=[redacted] Mid. Name=, Soundex=S, AKA=False, BookNum=, JIMNum=, RI Num=, DOB=, BookDate=, Options=B, Reason=info
2/28/2025 14:39:27	eJIMSViewSuccess	SD	eJIMS	SD_sd1140	eJIMS Detail page, [redacted]
2/28/2025 14:40:07	eJIMSViewSuccess	SD	eJIMS	SD_sd1140	eJIMS Detail page, [redacted]

I've attached the excel workbook that was provided by the SDSO for your case file, should you need it. The first tab of the workbook is the search criteria they ran. The 'SDLaw' tab is where the above results are. It is the only system that returned results.

Figure 15 shows Officer Gonzalez only looked up [redacted] on February 28, 2025. There were no inquiries made by Officer Gonzalez looking into information on [redacted] Officer Gonzalez used the County of San Diego SDLaw website, possibly to view [redacted] arrest records through eJIMS, which is the San Diego County Jail Information Management System. This inquiry was made on the same date as the original domestic violence investigation written by Officer Gonzalez.

On July 28, 2025, I met with [redacted] at her home at [redacted] Detective Sergeant [redacted] was present during the interview with [redacted] [redacted] was at home with her two daughters. I audio recorded the interview. At the end of the interview, I requested permission to look at [redacted] cell phone. [redacted]

went into the next room where one of her daughters was. [redacted] said her daughter was using the phone and needed to get it from her. While in there, [redacted] was away from us for several minutes. When she came back, [redacted] handed me her phone. I clarified with her that she was freely allowing me to look through her phone. I gave the phone back to her after she stated she did not want me to look for any messages between her and Officer Gonzalez. See her statement for further details. I uploaded a copy of the audio interview of [redacted] into I/A PRO.

On July 31, 2025, I requested a new AVL search of Officer Gonzalez's vehicle for the month of July 2025.

On August 6, 2025, I requested assistance from Sergeant C. Evans using FLOCK and Vigilant. Sergeant Evans is currently the supervisor in charge of San Diego Police Department's Real Time Operation Center, or RTOC. The RTOC unit specializes in the usage of FLOCK and Vigilant, which are license plate reader applications. I specifically requested a search of both Officer Gonzalez's and [redacted] personal vehicles to see if there were any correlation between the two vehicles and locations. I did not request a time frame for the search.

On August 7, 2025, I further reviewed the AVL of Officer Gonzalez for March 6, 2025. Watching the AVL, I noticed at around 1023 hours, Officer Gonzalez was dispatched Event [redacted] a Flag-down at the PB Storefront at 4439 Olney St, San Diego. The CAD showed Officer Gonzalez was on this call from 1022 hours until 1115 hours, a total of 52 minutes. The AVL shows Officer Gonzalez's location to be on Mt Augustine Ave and Mt Alamagosa Dr (Clairemont), which is nowhere near 4439 Olney St (Pacific Beach). I suspected Officer Gonzalez was not being truthful as to his location by placing himself on a call at the PB Storefront when he was actually several miles away.

On August 11, 2025, I spoke to SDPD Dispatcher Roxanne Cahill. I requested information on Incident [redacted] specifically details on the incident and how it as created. Per Cahill, someone aired over the radio requesting to be put on a "Flag-down at the PB Storefront." I requested an audio recording of this event.

On August 12, 2025, Dispatcher Tracy Hodgson sent me an audio recording regarding radio traffic related to Event [redacted] When I listened to the call it sounded like an officer said, "One twenty-one John (121J1)" on the air. As I was listening to the audio, it sounded like the officer said, "One twelve John (112J1)." Officer Gonzalez was unit 112J1 that day. Cahill and I listen to the audio, and she confirmed the officer said, "One twenty-one John", but also confirmed it does almost sound like "One twelve John." The officer who aired the flag-down did not sound like Officer Gonzalez. Because of this I ruled out that Officer Gonzalez was lying about his actual location and as a result, was not relevant to this case. It was possible the dispatcher accidentally dispatched Officer Gonzalez, who was 112J1 on that day, either by typo or mistake of unit based on the aired audio. I uploaded a copy of CAD Event [redacted] into I/A PRO. I also uploaded the audio recording of this event into I/A PRO.

On August 14, 2025, I received a call from Sergeant Evans. Per Sergeant Evans, a comprehensive search using both FLOCK and Vigilant databases was conducted. The search revealed neither Officer Gonzalez's nor [redacted] vehicles were captured on FLOCK or Vigilant being anywhere near each other at the same time. NOTE: Per SDPD Department Memorandum Of Understanding, FLOCK only keeps information regarding search results to 32 days. If either Officer Gonzalez's or [redacted] vehicles were captured on FLOCK cameras before the footage was deleted, the information is no longer available when I made my inquiry.

On August 20, 2025, I received the latest AVL footage for the month of July 2025. A review of the AVL footage revealed one instance where Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] Officer Gonzalez was assigned to Event #[redacted] Per the CAD information, that incident was a 11-45T call at [redacted] Officer Gonzalez was one of the officers who responded to the scene. Once he was done with that call, he left the area and did not appear to stop at [redacted] I uploaded the AVL video and a copy of the CAD to I/A PRO.

On August 20, 2025, I sent out a Command Notification to Captain T. Clendenen at Northern Division. I also sent out a Subject Officer Notification to Officer Gonzalez.

On September 3, 2025, I received a signed Subject Officer Notification from Officer Gonzalez. I followed up by emailing him a tentative Subject Officer interview, which I set for September 29, 2025, 1400 hours, at Internal Affairs. I uploaded a copy of the signed notifications into I/A PRO.


On September 4, 2025, I received confirmation from Officer Gonzalez with regards to the scheduled Subject Officer Interview.

On September 25, 2025, I received an email from Officer Gonzalez. In the email Officer Gonzalez explained the scheduled interview was on his day off and it was not his regular workday. Officer Gonzalez requested to reschedule. I rescheduled the interview for October 9, 2025, at 1400 hours in the Internal Affairs office to coincide with his work schedule. Officer Gonzalez replied on the same day the change of dates would work for him and he would inform his representative.

On October 9, 2025, Officer Gonzalez came to the Internal Affairs Unit office. [redacted] was present as Officer Gonzalez's representative. I conducted a Subject Officer Interview with Officer Gonzalez at approximately 1400 hours. Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty was also present as the second investigator. Of note, Officer Gonzalez admitted to having "sex" with [redacted] while on duty, on March 6, 2025, between 0533 and 0557 hours. See full interview below for details. I uploaded a copy of the audio recording into I/A PRO.

During the interview I showed Officer Gonzalez a CAD printout for SDPD Event [redacted] **Figure 16** shows the CAD printout I showed to Officer Gonzalez.

(Figure 16)



[redacted] - 10851R - CAR THEFT REPORT

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/06/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
10851R	[redacted]	2	A		P1	[redacted]	R	tct19		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	116	116	03/06/25 05:27:55	03/06/25 05:30:30	03/06/25 05:56:02	03/06/25 07:42:43	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS — Common / Agency Specific

[redacted] WHT 17 TOYT TACOMA DOUBLE CAB PU TRK CA LIC [redacted] PLT 29 NO SUSP INFO IMPDS TOWS CHECK NEG NO LOJACK OR ONSTAR TRK LAST SEEN 1900 HR LAST NIGHT
[redacted] ISS DNA
INFO ADDED TO 503CAR
AUP1 AUP9
10851
[redacted]
Teletype contacted

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]
X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2:
Location Choices

CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

cadint5.cad911.pd.sannet.gov/NetViewer/InquiryCommand/EventInformation?eventID=E25030007230&isArchiveDB=True 1/2

During his interview, Officer Gonzalez referenced that he used departmental computer resources to conduct a search on [redacted] specifically his arrest status. Officer Gonzalez stated he conducted this search for both personal and professional reasons. My investigation only uncovered that Officer Gonzalez's conducted a search of [redacted] via SDLaw on February 28, 2025, the date he took the initial domestic violence report. See **Figure 15** for the results of Officer Gonzalez's inquiry of [redacted] Even though he said he conducted a search of [redacted] for personal reasons, I cannot provide any proof he had actually done so. As a result I cannot confirm Officer Gonzalez violated policy regarding use of departmental resources for personal gain.

On October 14, 2025, I requested a transcript of the audio interview with Officer Gonzalez through VIQ Solutions.

On October 14, 2025, I met with Detective M. Franken at San Diego Police Department Real Time Operations Center (RTOC). Detective Franken is one the detectives assigned to this unit to assist with real time analysis using several databases, including but not limited to FLOCK and Vigilant. I requested an audit for Officer Gonzalez' search of [redacted] and [redacted] vehicles on FLOCK and Vigilant databases. Per Officer Franken, Officer Gonzalez did not conduct any searches using those databases.

On October 15, 2025, I received a transcript of the interview conducted on Officer Gonzalez. I uploaded a copy of the transcript into I/A PRO.

On October 23, 2025, I sent a request to Analyst Martha Cruz and requested AVL video showing Officer Gonzalez's movements from March 13, 2025, between the hours of 0743 and 1143 hours.

On October 27, 2025, I received a disc from Analyst Cruz for the information I requested. I reviewed the video. The video shows Officer Gonzalez responding to SDPD Event [redacted] a 415W call at [redacted] As stated before in this investigation my initial belief was, he did not respond to the scene when dispatched but the video shows he actually arrived on scene after responding from a distance. I uploaded a copy of this video to I/A PRO. During his interview, Officer Gonzalez admitted he went their and had a conversation with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez did not admit to any sexual activity with her on this date and time.

All interviews were audio recorded, unless otherwise noted, and the recordings will be maintained with the Internal Affairs file. The statements are "in essence" synopses of the interviews. (For exact and complete details of the interviews, refer to the audio recordings.)

Witness Officer Interview: Officer [redacted]

On June 3, 2025, at 0708 hours, I interviewed Officer [redacted] in the Internal Affairs interview room. I read Officer [redacted] the Sworn Witness Admonishment. Detective Sergeant T. Doherty was present as the second investigator. Officer [redacted] signed the witness admonishment form. The following is an "in-essence" synopsis of the interview. (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

Officer Hunt stated he contacted [redacted] for a temporary restraining order violation investigation (which he took on May 26, 2025, at approximately 1225 hours, SDPD Case # [redacted] During Officer [redacted] contact with [redacted] he interviewed [redacted] regarding the case he was there to write a report about. During the interview, [redacted] asked Officer [redacted] if it was common for officers to give out their personal cell phone numbers. Officer [redacted] told [redacted] he does not give out his personal phone number. Officer [redacted] also stated he does not give out his department phone number unless there's an absolute need for it. Officer [redacted] was not sure why [redacted] was asking him this question. [redacted] went on to tell Officer [redacted] that there was a prior domestic violence incident between [redacted] and [redacted] where an officer came out to take the report. [redacted] said the officer who spoke to [redacted] was still in contact with her. [redacted] told Officer [redacted] he saw it on his phone records. [redacted] told Officer [redacted] the officer's name was Miguel Gonzalez.

Referencing the original domestic violence incident, which was on February 28, 2025, [redacted] told Officer [redacted] he was confused as to why Officer Gonzalez, who interviewed [redacted] first, would still be at the house talking to [redacted] after being there for some time. [redacted] also believed the officer was in a dating relationship with [redacted] told Officer [redacted] the time frame Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] were talking on the phone was longer than he believed Officer Gonzalez should be in communication with any suspect or victim. This was based on the phone records [redacted] noticed. Officer [redacted] also stated [redacted] never said that to him directly, but it was the way [redacted] was behaving and the way he described it that was concerning.

Officer [redacted] couldn't ascertain if [redacted] was worried Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] were dating or if Officer Gonzalez was providing [redacted] information on how to pursue a better domestic violence case.

[redacted] showed Officer [redacted] the phone records, which depicted the phone number that was calling [redacted] phone. The records were on [redacted] phone, of which Officer [redacted] took pictures of and uploaded onto evidence.com. Officer [redacted] sent [redacted] an upload link so he could upload photos related to the domestic violence report he was sent there to investigate.

Officer [redacted] said the phone bill on [redacted] phone did not have Officer Gonzalez's name on it. [redacted] said he was able to conduct a Google search of the phone number and noticed the

number belonged to Officer Gonzalez. Officer [redacted] did not do any search on the phone number to see if Officer Gonzalez's number matched that which was on [redacted] phone records.

After speaking with [redacted] Officer [redacted] notified his immediate supervisor, who was Acting Sergeant [redacted] at Eastern Division.

Officer [redacted] stated he believed it was important to disclose this information to his supervisor because it seemed "wrong." He believed a sergeant should be notified. Officer [redacted] didn't know why there would be a reason to communicate about a case beyond the initial investigation with the victim. Officer [redacted] believed because it was a personal cell phone number, the length of the conversations, and the fact he didn't think Officer Gonzalez was a detective, that it did not make sense. He decided he would rather have a sergeant be notified than to decide for himself.

Officer [redacted] stated [redacted] told him derogatory statements about [redacted] sexual behaviors. [redacted] did not make any specific accusations against Officer Gonzalez but was concerned of her behavior and "put two and two together" and thought that was what was occurring, which was an affair.

Officer [redacted] said [redacted] showed him the phone bill. [redacted] explained to him he was showing the phone bill associated with [redacted] as part of the TRO investigation, and while doing so he noticed the phone number associated with Officer Gonzalez. [redacted] didn't know whose phone number that was but found out when he conducted a search of that number. [redacted] explained he had never been called by Officer Gonzalez.

Officer [redacted] did not speak of this information with anyone other than Acting [redacted]

Officer [redacted] did not speak to Officer Gonzalez about this or any other incident.

Officer [redacted] examined the phone records provided by [redacted] He said he noticed somewhere around 13 to 14 calls between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] The majority lasted a minute but noticed two in the 20-minute duration and one for 47 minutes. The phone calls spanned from February to May of 2025, February 28th being the first and May 20th being the last. [redacted] did not have any text message information between Officer Gonzalez and [redacted]

This concluded my interview with Officer [redacted]

Civilian Witness Interview: [redacted]

On June 18, 2025, at 0843 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at his home, located at [redacted] Detective Sergeant M. Thomas was also present during the interview. The following is an "in-essence" synopsis of the interview. (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

Throughout the interview [redacted] spoke extensively about his relationship with [redacted] made several mentions how he believed [redacted] was cheating on him, with several other men and possibly with Officer Gonzalez.

Since [redacted] showed screenshots of [redacted] phone records to Officer [redacted] he explained he had not looked up anything as of May 20, 2025. I asked [redacted] if there was a way to look up text messages. [redacted] said he did not have that capability to look up her text messages on her phone as it was hers, even though the account was his.

[redacted] stated he believed his wife was possibly involved in prostitution and said he she was on a website called "Seeking Arrangements." [redacted] believed from December and until this day, he caught her cheating through text messages. He did not say Officer Gonzalez was one of the persons he saw through any text messages. [redacted] found a Facebook profile with [redacted] being showcased. [redacted] noticed the pictures of [redacted] were of her face but not her body. [redacted] believed [redacted] was using this profile to meet other men. At the time of this interview [redacted] noted this Facebook profile had been deleted.

[redacted] explained "Seeking Arrangements" was a website that acts as a dating website, but it's intended for wealthy men who are looking for women to be in a relationship. [redacted] said it was a front for activity where wealthy men would find these women and pay them with money or expensive gifts in exchange for sexual favors. He even stated there was a "PPM", or "Price Per Minute", involved with this website.

[redacted] was able to masquerade as [redacted] by using a "burner" phone. He would text message unknown numbers on the list of phone numbers that were listed on [redacted] phone records. He would tell them it was [redacted] and waited for a response.

Officer Gonzalez was not one of the numbers [redacted] texted pretending to be [redacted]

[redacted] said the only time he talked to Officer Gonzalez was on February 28, 2025, the day he came to take the initial domestic violence report. On that day Officer Gonzalez met with [redacted] and his daughters at a location away from his home at [redacted] There, Officer Gonzalez spoke to [redacted] and his daughters, then decided to go to the house to talk to [redacted]

[redacted] went back to the house after about 2 hours with his youngest daughter. [redacted] heard Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] sitting in the dining room "obviously flirting", which made him uncomfortable. After a while [redacted] left his 4-year-old daughter at the house and left.

[redacted] came back about an hour later and noticed Officer Gonzalez was still at his house. [redacted] asked him why he was still there. Officer Gonzalez told him [redacted] told him a different story than what [redacted] originally told him. ***Officer Gonzalez assured [redacted] he was not going to arrest him****. Officer Gonzalez explained he would have to run the domestic violence case to investigators. [redacted] said at this point Officer Gonzalez would have been at his home for three hours. [redacted] did not notice any other officers present.*

On the day he was arrested, which was March 6, 2025, [redacted] was contacted at the Burger King. [redacted] did not see that Officer Gonzalez was present during his arrest.

[redacted] went on to explain he believed Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] were in a relationship because of the multiple phone calls lasting up to an hour and 40 minutes. [redacted] never saw Officer Gonzalez or [redacted] together in person. [redacted] said every officer he had spoken to said it was highly irregular to give out personal phone numbers to anyone at a crime scene. Also, the fact Officer Gonzalez never gave [redacted] his personal phone number seemed to reaffirm his suspicions.

When [redacted] found Officer Gonzalez's number on [redacted] phone records he looked it up on reverse lookup via Google. [redacted] saw it belonged to "Miguel Gonzalez". It was then when he realized who [redacted] had been talking to until May 20, 2025. [redacted] believed they had been talking to each other for a total of 3 plus hours or more. [redacted] also believed they could have been in contact via other communications like WhatsApp but could not prove it.

[redacted] went on to explain that even though some calls show up as a minute or three minutes, that because they show up as Wi-Fi calls, the actual length of the calls could've been more than that.

Per [redacted] there are cameras on [redacted] house exterior but he no longer had access to view the cameras.

[redacted] continued to explain his situation with [redacted] as far as their relationship. Nothing else of what [redacted] was talking about at this point was relative to my investigation.

This concluded this interview with [redacted]

Citizen Witness Interview: [redacted]

On July 28, at 1140 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at her home of [redacted]. Det/Sergeant A. [redacted] was also present during the interview. The following is an "in-essence" description of the interview. (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

[redacted] said on February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez responded to her home as part of a domestic violence investigation. [redacted] was the suspect and [redacted] was listed as the victim. When asked, [redacted] specifically said it only was Officer Gonzalez and no other officers were present. [redacted] did not know if Officer Gonzalez had his BWC recording, but did say Officer Gonzalez took several pictures of her and her injuries using a cell phone. [redacted] said Officer Gonzalez was there for approximately 40 minutes to an hour.

[redacted] and Officer Gonzalez spoke to each other about the domestic violence incident. I told [redacted] that Officer Gonzalez showed he was at her home for approximately one and a half hours. [redacted] said during that time they spoke of the incident and in the middle of the interview [redacted] had arrived home. [redacted] stated Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] spoke for some time while [redacted] was present.

[redacted] said Officer Gonzalez never gave her his phone number. However, later that day Officer Gonzalez called her back to ask her for more information on [redacted] Officer Gonzalez asked [redacted] information on how long she and [redacted] had been married. When asked if Officer Gonzalez already covered that on the initial interview, [redacted] said it had been four months since she spoke to Officer Gonzalez about that so she wasn't sure if that was what was talked about over the phone.

I told [redacted] that Officer Gonzalez had called her three times on that day. I told her Officer Gonzalez called her at 2:27 PM for 5 minutes, 2:37 PM for 21 minutes, and at 4 pm for 47 minutes. The total length of the calls were 71 minutes. [redacted] said they talked about what was going on between she and [redacted] and that Officer Gonzalez was just calling her to see if she was okay. Between being at her home for an hour and a half, and then speaking to her on the phone an additional 71 minutes later that day, [redacted] believed Officer Gonzalez was just trying to help her out. [redacted] didn't think it was unprofessional. She just believed he was trying to help her with her incident. According to her, [redacted] and Officer Gonzalez did not talk about anything else but this case.

When I asked [redacted] about the phone call Officer Gonzalez made to her phone on March 5, 2025, at about 2:27 pm., [redacted] stated she did not remember that phone call. I told her it was for 24 minutes on that day. [redacted] explained that any conversation she had with Officer Gonzalez over the phone was him calling her to see how she was doing and about the initial incident. [redacted] did not think it was unusual for Officer Gonzalez to call her. She believed Officer Gonzalez was just

trying to help her out. Per [redacted] Officer Gonzalez did not show up at her home on that day. Bitar also believed they spoke about getting a restraining order against [redacted]

I asked [redacted] about Officer Gonzalez visiting her home on the day that [redacted] was arrested, which was March 6, 2025. I asked [redacted] if Officer Gonzalez visited her home at around 5:30 am that day. I told her he was there for about 20 minutes, sometime between 5:30 am and 6 am. [redacted] said Officer Gonzalez did not show up at her home on that morning. [redacted] said she wasn't sure if he was there or not at that time. [redacted] denied any knowledge of Officer Gonzalez being at her home that morning.

I asked [redacted] if Officer Gonzalez had been to her house later that day, after [redacted] was arrested. [redacted] said the officers were at her house that day but did not notice if Officer Gonzalez was present at her home that day. I told her Officer Gonzalez was at her home for over an hour that day. I asked her if Officer Gonzalez was at her home that day, and if he had been helping her out, why he wouldn't talk to her that day. [redacted] said maybe it wouldn't be professional for him to talk to her.

I asked [redacted] if she had ever called Officer Gonzalez. [redacted] had to think about her answer and said she had never called him.

I asked [redacted] about the phone call on March 11, 2025. [redacted] remembered she and Officer Gonzalez "ran into" each other while she was hiking at Torrey Pines. [redacted] said she and Officer Gonzalez were just talking and catching up. The conversation lasted about 5-10 minutes. [redacted] said Officer Gonzalez was off duty at that time.

[redacted] couldn't remember if it was on the 11th or the 12th of March that she ran into Officer Gonzalez at Torrey Pines. I told her Officer Gonzalez called her on the 12th of March. [redacted] said that's when they started "talking a little bit." Officer Gonzalez would ask [redacted] how she was doing. [redacted] acknowledged Officer Gonzalez spoke to her on the phone for four minutes. The conversation was how Officer Gonzalez asked how she was doing and nothing else.

[redacted] denies arranging to meet with Officer Gonzalez at any other time.

[redacted] said she spoke to Officer Gonzalez on the phone on April 26th, 2025, at about 7:15 pm. [redacted] then said she wasn't sure if it was on that date. The conversation was for about 8 minutes. [redacted] said the conversation was how she was doing and nothing more. Officer Gonzalez did not visit her on that day.

I told [redacted] that Officer Gonzalez called her the next day, April 27th, 2025, at approximately 2:54 pm for about three minutes. [redacted] said he called to see how she was doing. I asked her if he called her the night before for 8 minutes, and the next day for 3 minutes, if all Officer Gonzalez

was doing was checking up on her. [redacted] responded by saying she and Officer Gonzalez just had a "friendship." I asked her what kind of friendship she and Officer Gonzalez had. [redacted] said she was there by herself with no friends. I asked [redacted] if she and Officer Gonzalez were more than just friends. [redacted] quietly said, "No."

I inquired as to the phone call she had with Officer Gonzalez on April 29, 2025, and what that conversation was about. [redacted] said the conversation was "the same." [redacted] said she had a lot going on and she was talking about what was happening with her.

[redacted] said the phone call on May 20, 2025, was the same thing.

I asked [redacted] if she and Officer Gonzalez ever had a relationship of any kind. [redacted] said, "No." [redacted] denies any knowledge of Officer Gonzalez visiting her on any other day beside the first day, which would've been on February 28, 2025.

I asked [redacted] if she ever felt Officer Gonzalez calling her was inappropriate. [redacted] took a few seconds to answer. She answered by saying it wasn't usual. She said she had officers call her before because of [redacted] But this was the first time an officer called her back, numerous times after the initial incident. [redacted] said Officer Gonzalez was not being inappropriate with her on the phone. [redacted] denies ever arranging any kind of meet with Officer Gonzalez, on or off duty.

I asked [redacted] if she and Officer Gonzalez ever communicated via text. [redacted] said, "No." [redacted] said Officer Gonzalez was "nice" and "helpful" and nothing was inappropriate. When asked if she wanted Officer Gonzalez to call her, she said she appreciated that someone cared. She said it wasn't wanted or unwanted.

I asked [redacted] if there were any text messages between she and Officer Gonzalez. She said she didn't have any. I asked if I could look at her phone to see if there were any text messages between she and him.

(At this point [redacted] walks to the other room where her daughter was using her phone. [redacted] took the phone from her and seemed like she was possibly going through her phone. It took a total of two minutes to return with her phone.)

[redacted] handed me her unlocked phone. I asked her if she was willingly allowing me to go through her phone. [redacted] said she didn't know if she should be allowing it. I told her I was only looking for any conversations between she and Officer Gonzalez. [redacted] said she didn't have any conversations with him. I asked her again if I could look. [redacted] said, "Probably not." I gave [redacted] back her phone without looking through it.

Sergeant [redacted] just reaffirmed with [redacted] the only time she saw Officer Gonzalez off-duty was when she went hiking at Torrey Pines. [redacted] said no one was with her or Officer Gonzalez during that time.

This concluded my interview with [redacted]

Subject Officer Interview: Officer Miguel Gonzalez

On October 9, at 1411 hours, I interviewed Officer Miguel Gonzalez in the Internal Affairs interview room. The following is a transcription of the interview. Note, upon review of the transcript against the audio recording I noticed several discrepancies. I made the changes in the text and indicated the change by enclosing the change in parentheses and italicizing the content if it was a change or addition. For example, Q to Q(*1*), where the *1* was the addition or change. For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.

INTERVIEW WITH OFC. MIGUEL GONZALEZ
Q=Det. Sgt. Patrick Laco
Q1=Det. Sgt. Tyler Doherty
A=Ofc. Miguel Gonzalez
A1=Ofc. [redacted]

Q: This is Detective Sergeant Patrick Laco, ID Number 5864. Today's date is October 9, 2025, and the time is 1411. We are located in the Internal Affairs Interview Room at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters. In the room with me is, please say your name, rank and ID, please?

A: Miguel Gonzalez, 1140. I'm a Police Officer II.

Q: Okay. I've advised you of your right to representation when we agreed to this interview. In the room with us is your representative.

A1: Officer [redacted]

Q: And also present with us is?

Q1: Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty, ID number 6372.

Q: Hello. Thank you for coming today. I appreciate you coming today. So a couple of quick questions to get out of the way real quick. Do you know what your date of hire is?

A: Like March 3rd or 5th, something like that.

Q: Of?

A: 2020.

Q: 2020? Okay. And what division do you currently work at?

A: Northern.

Q: And what watch?

A: Second.

Q: Okay. All right. And you've been at Northern for a while?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. All right. I'm going to read you rights per Miranda. You have the right to remain silent. If you give up the right to remain silent, anything you do, say can and will be used in court against you. You have the right to speak with an attorney of your choice before questioning and to have the attorney present during questioning. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you by the court prior to questioning, if you so desire. The attorney will not cost you anything. The services are free. Do you understand each of these rights that I have explained to you?

A: Yes.

Q: Having in mind and understanding your rights as I have told you, you are - are you willing to talk to me?

A: Yes.

Recording: Your call has been forwarded to an automatic voice message system.

Q: I'm sorry.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: You know what? That's a good point. Let's turn [*off*] that phone right now. Okay. All right. Now I'm going to read you the sworn personnel admonishment, Lybarger Garrity warning for a subject officer. This is a confidential-sworn personnel investigation. You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should

the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time. The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you. You are directed to answer all the questions posed to you completely, truthfully and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline. If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during the administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline up to and including termination. Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding. The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline. If you fully understand these instructions, please sign your name below. 10-9. And for the record Officer Gonzales did sign the admonishment. Do you want a copy?

A: No, it's fine.

Q: Okay. I'm going to ask you a few questions and again, just answer them to the best of your ability, right?

A: Okay.

Q: How long have you been in the department?

A: For approximately 6 years now.

Q: Okay. And your current rank, as you already said, was PO2. Where are you currently assigned? And you said Northern, right?

A: Yes.

Q: Prior to coming to this interview, did you review any reports?

A: Back like a month ago, yeah.

Q: Okay. Which reports did you review?

A: The domestic violence charge, 273.5.

Q: Okay. Did you review any BWC?

A: No.

Q: Okay. All right. So I'm going to go into some questions, pretty specific questions, again, answer them to the best of your ability and truthfully, okay? Were you on duty February 28, 2025, at approximately 0800 hours?

A: Yes.

Q: What was your assignment on this date?

A: Patrol.

Q: And what time did you start work that day?

A: 600.

Q: Okay. What time did you end that day? Not log off, but actually end of work.

A: Like, 1530 maybe, something like that.

Q: Okay. What time did you leave the station, if you can remember?

A: Probably between that and 1600.

Q: Okay. Can you describe your duties at the time of this assignment?

A: Just North Patrol, taking radio calls and...

Q: Okay. On that day, did you get dispatched to report of domestic violence and was the reporting party - where the reporting party was [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: What were the details on that call?

A: He wanted to report a - he wanted to report a possible domestic violence.

Q: Okay. On that day, do you remember speaking with [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: What essentially did [redacted] tell you?

A: He told me that his wife was attempting to more or less outmaneuver him or he suspected that she was going to try and outmaneuver him, and getting him in trouble and that she was constantly yelling at him. There was no report of anything physical, mainly just a lot of verbal 4-15 going on.

Q1: When you say outmaneuver, what do you mean by that?

A: He had suspected that she was going to try and maybe like report something false against him. False accusation. I believe.

Q: Okay. And you - so you're saying no reports of any violence or anything like that?

A: No.

Q: Okay.

A: Not at the time.

Q: All right. And that - anything else?

Q1: No.

Q: Okay. That day, did you speak with his children at the location you, where you first contacted [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: And what did you discover after speaking with [redacted] children?

A: That - same thing, that she - his daughter, I forgot her name but she had said that her mom yells a lot, that she's afraid that he's going to - she's going to hit his dad one day and she's constantly badgering him, things of that nature.

Q: Did she ever say that that's ever happened?

A: Yes. She said it's been ongoing, that it happens all the time.

Q: What happens all the time?

A: That they fight, her and - her mother and father.

Q: A mutual thing or one?

A: Mainly that the mother is constantly badgering her dad. Constantly just about...

Q: Badgering or battering? Or both?

A: Badgering, like yelling at him and it's...

Q: Oh, okay.

A: ...egging him on to - fight back with her in arguments.

Q: Okay. But they never said anything about her ever getting violent with him?

A: Not at the time. No.

Q: Okay. All right. Your BWC was on when you interviewed them. Why did you turn on your BWC at the time when you spoke to them?

A: Just to get a - because I was going to just do some type of enforcement,

possibly just per policy.

Q: Okay. At what point during the incident did you activate your BWC?

A: I believe it was when - I believe it was initially when I started speaking with [redacted]

Q: Okay. And what point did you turn off your BWC?

A: After I had been done speaking with [redacted] and his daughter, when they had left the area I met them in.

Q: Okay. If prior to the end of the incident, why?

A: I do not recall. I'm pretty sure when they told me that they were going to leave, I had turned it off and told [redacted] what I was going to - what, like, that I was going to write him up at 13 -7-30 *[13730, as in 13730 PC, Domestic Violence Incident Report]* initially and that just telling him, like, "Hey, if you're having problems with your marriage, you should probably seek, you know, getting out of it."

Q: All right. I asked you this once before but did you review your BWC. I'm sorry. I did not ask you this before. Did you review your BWC footage prior to preparing your report?

A: Yes.

Q: All right. Moving on from there, where did you go after speaking with [redacted] and his children?

A: I went to go speak with [redacted] wife at [redacted]

Q(*1*): At [redacted]

A: Yeah. The court or (unintelligible).

Q: Is that at a different location?

A: Yes. So I met [redacted] initially on Balboa and, like, close to Balboa and Alifan, where there's an IHOP there.

Q: Okay.

A: And that's where he wanted to speak with me initially.

Q: Okay. And who did you speak with next by name?

A: Next, I spoke with [redacted] wife, [redacted]

Q(*1*): Okay. And is the [redacted] Is that a home? Is that a location, like, home?

A: Yes. It's the residence.

Q(*1*): Okay. And that's where she lives?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you know [redacted] prior to this incident?

A: No.

Q: Were you the only officer with [redacted] when you went to speak with her?

A: Yes.

Q: And did you take [redacted] statement?

A: I did.

Q: What did [redacted] tell you?

A: Initially, she was afraid to tell me anything and she did have a - when I noticed a cut on her lip. She had - I had to keep inquiring about how that happened to her.

Q: All right. Did you collect any evidence?

A: That day? No. Just pictures of her. Photographs of her.

Q: And how did you collect the evidence? You said pictures?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What did you use to collect the pictures with?

A: Phone.

Q: Department phone? Personal phone?

A: I believe it was Department phone.

Q: Department phone? Okay. And you took the photos of [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: All right. I'm going to show you a picture. All right?

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Is this a picture of [redacted] that you took?
(*Refer to Figure 5a on page 13 of the investigation for the photo)*

A: Yes.

Q: All right. Are those your hands or are those her hands?

A: Those are my hands.

Q: Okay. Can you explain why you chose to take the picture of her this way?

A: I asked her to - if she could get her lip and she was being very shaky. I asked her, I said, "Do you mind if I just get it for you?" And she said, "That's fine."

Q: And she didn't want to lift up her lip on her own?

A: Like I said, she was being initially very scared to kind of want to do anything.

Q: Okay. Is there a reason why you didn't put your gloves on?

A: I mean...

Q: Just curious. I mean, I don't know if this is something you would normally do or...

A: No. I just...

Q: You just didn't?

A: ...didn't. Yeah. Just didn't.

Q: Okay. All right. Why did you do that? Oh, you already answered that. After speaking with [redacted] what did you conclude?

A: I concluded that she was the victim of 273.5.

Q: All right. At some point, [redacted] came home while you were talking to [redacted] How did that interaction go?

A: He had came home when I was leaving the - I believe when I was getting ready to leave, I had saw him. And he - no - so he did come home, prior for a second and then he was there for a couple of minutes when I was still interviewing [redacted] And then he had left again.

Q1: Can you give (unintelligible) for timeline purposes? How long were you at the house for?

A: I was there for, I want to say a good 30 minutes before he had arrived and I was still trying to get her to tell me what was going on. Like I said, she was being very...

Q*(1)*: Okay. And you mentioned he came, and then he left, so...

A: He came and then he left. And that was, I believe, before I had known about the 273.5. And then he came again as I was leaving. He was passing by as I was going to my vehicle.

Q*(1)*: Okay. So was it 30 minutes he shows up and then he leaves?

A: It was something like that, yeah, approximately.

Q: Okay. And then he comes back and then how long were you at the residence for?

A: At that point, I'd been at the residence for possibly another 30 minutes.

Q*(1)*: Okay.

(Q): So twice you saw him, once in the middle of talking to her and then as you were about to leave?

A: Yes.

(*Q*): Okay. All right. And...

Q*(1)*: Did you ever request another unit to your location?

A: I did not at that point. There was a lot going on the radio. And I had ended up going, leaving the residence.

Q*(1)*: What was going on, on the radio?

A: I don't recall. It was some hot calls or something.

Q*(1)*: Did you feel like you needed another officer at that point?

A: If I was going to confront him, yes.

Q*(1)*: Okay. Go on.

(*Q*): All right. So you talked to [redacted], correct?

A: I did.

(*Q*): All right. And what did you and he talk about? And what - make it specific. When, in the middle of your interaction with [redacted] he came home, you said about 30 minutes after that?

A: Oh, there. I didn't really get a chance to speak with him. No. It was more of they started bickering again, back and forth on this, that she's - he started saying something that she's not going to tell the truth, that she's lying and that went on for, like I said, maybe 5 minutes, then he had left again.

(*Q*): Okay. So you didn't speak to him or you did speak to him when he got there?

A: No, I didn't get a chance to speak with him directly.

(*Q*): Okay.

A: He was passing through.

(*Q*): So that was 30 minutes after you had already been there?

A: Yes.

(*Q*): Right. I'm just trying to clarify. And then when you were leaving and saw him again, did you talk to him then?

A: No, I did not.

(*Q*): Okay.

Q: Were the kids with him?

A: No.

Q: And what happened after [redacted] left?

A: I had - like I said, I left the residence after that.

Q: Okay. When you were speaking with [redacted] in her home, did you ever turn on your BWC?

A: Yes.

Q: At what point did you turn on your BWC when you were speaking with her?

A: I turned on my BWC, I want to say approximately, once I realized that she was going to tell me that something had happened with her, like that she had been hit by [redacted]

Q: Okay. So you're telling me that you turned on the BWC?

A: Yes. Have you been trained on when to activate your BWC?

Q: Yes. (*Officer Gonzalez replied "Yes." The next part of line 486 was asked by Sergeant Laco and not part of Officer Gonzalez answer to line 484).*

And what was your training? Just give me your best explanation, what was your training for BWC?

A: If you're going to take some type of enforcement action.

Q: Okay. And are you familiar with Department Procedure 1.49 as it pertains to BWCs and domestic violence?

A: Yes.

Q: When did you determine a crime had occurred?

A: Like I said, after speaking with [redacted] for a while and getting her to calm down and I was there to help her.

Q1: Was this before [redacted] arrived the first time or is...

A: This was I believe so, yes.

Q1: So that...

A: Wait. I can't recall but I think so.

Q1: Do you think before he arrived at the 30-minute mark?

A: I don't think so because I think I would have initially I might have contact - I might have had - at that point had to - had to detain him for the 273.5, I have...

Q1: So when he showed up, did you believe you had enough to detain him for 273.5 at that point?

A: Not at the time, no.

Q1: When did you take a photo of her lip?

A: After the initial interview with her.

Q1: And that happened at the house?

A: At the house, in the kitchen.

Q1: Okay. So is this before he arrives the first time or the second time?

A: I believe it was before I left the house, sometime before leaving the house, so after the first time he arrived.

Q1: Okay. Did you take the photo after he arrived the second time or before?

A: I believe it was after he arrived the second time.

Q1: After he arrived the second time?

A: No. I'm sorry. Before. So after the first time, but before he arrived the second time.

Q1: Say that one more time just to confirm.

A: So after - so it was after the first time he arrived, but during the second time.

Q1: Okay. So the second time that he arrived.

A: Before the second time.

Q1: The second time he arrives, you had taken a photo of her lip at that point, correct?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay. Did you believe at that point that you had enough to detain him for any type of crime?

A: Honestly, yes.

Q1: Okay. All right.

Q: What crime potentially did you determine?

A: 273.5.

Q: And do you know the elements of 273.5?

A: Yeah. Just a spousal abuse with an injury.

Q: Okay. You said that while you were with [redacted] you turned on your BWC.

A: I believe so, sir.

Q: Have you - do you remember if you did or not - do you remember at some point during the day inputting metadata for that BWC video?

A: I mean, usually when I put the metadata it's just - I'm not going to lie, I usually just do, like, the event number and what type of crime it was.

Q: Okay. I'll tell you, I looked on Axon for this day for your inputs, your entries into Axon, your recordings that day, I was able to find the BWC footage of your conversation with [redacted] and the two kids, but there was no BWC or video at all of your interaction with [redacted] And I even checked all possible ways that maybe you did enter the wrong metadata, but I checked all your BWCs for that day.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: All your incidents that you had recorded BWC and there was no BWC with your interaction with [redacted]

A: Okay.

Q: Are you able to explain that? Do you think...

A: Then, I mean, maybe just during the whole thing I thought I went on and...

Man: I thought. Yeah. Okay. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...maybe I didn't.

Man: Thank you. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Okay. So you're not sure if you did or not?

A: I mean, if you're telling me that, then yes, I'm not sure. I thought I had.

Q: Okay. All right. Why didn't you arrest [redacted] after knowing that this was a 273.5? Because you said you saw him before you left, right?

A: Yes.

Q: And did you or did you not at that point make the determination that it was a 273.5?

A: I did, like I said, the radio was blowing up, I didn't believe [redacted] to be in any immediate danger at the time and I just - I made that call, just to walk away from it with the paper at that point.

Q: Okay. In the hindsight, looking at it now, what would you have done different?

A: I should have just gone on the radio and tried to get anybody to come in.

Q1: When you say the radio was blowing up, was the tone on?

A: There was a hot call going on, I remember that much, I believe. It just sounded like there was a lot going on and I just wanted to go get some more radio calls at that point.

Q1: Any reason why you didn't switch over to another command?

A: I just didn't think of it, sir.

Q*(1)*: Do you know the - have you received training in the Academy regarding domestic violence incidents?

A: Yes.

Q*(1)*: Okay. Are - is there a requirement for us to make an arrest when there's an element of DV present?

A: Yes.

Q: All right.

(*Q*): Do you know about how long you were at [redacted] house before you left?

A: It was anytime between 45 minutes to an hour, maybe a little bit over an hour.

(*Q*): Okay.

Q: While you were with her, talk to me about what you - what your actions were with her while you were there.

A: Okay. A lot of it was just trying to build a rapport with her to get her to let me know what happened. She was doing a lot of crying initially and I was telling her, "Hey, like, I'm here to help you. From - based on what your husband told me and the way you're acting, there seems to be a, you know, difference here." And I was trying to explain it to her. She was worried about her kids and not knowing what to do with her kids. She was worrying about, like, "Hey, like, I don't have really any family here in this country. Like, I don't know what to do if things here don't work out," kind of thing and I was trying to explain her like, hey we have this, we have that resources, trying to let her know that it's okay to tell me if something did happen before - prior to her actually telling me that.

Q: Okay. You explained that you were there for 45 minutes to an hour. Could have been more than an hour?

A: Could have been more than that.

Q: Okay.

A: Like, an hour and a half, 45 minutes to 1 hour, something like that.

Q: Does it typically take you that long? Let me rephrase that. Has that ever happened before where you were with a victim, DV, whatever and for a crime like this or any crime. Is that kind of typical you spending that much time with them or is it?

A: No, but I mean the way [redacted] had explained what was going on and when he had shown me some - he was showing me a recording or something of them fighting and it was more him more or less talking to her badly. It just threw up some red flags which is initially why I went to go check on her in the first place.

Q: Okay. All right. While you were speaking with her, what personal identifying information did you receive from [redacted]

A: I believe she had shown me her driver's license perhaps or her passport. One of those two.

Q: Think about when you were writing 11-10, what do you - what kind of information like that are you going to be asking somebody?

A: Just ask her, like, how long she's been there? How long they've been married?

Q: We're talking about personal identification information.

Q1: Top sheet.

Q: Top sheet.

A: Top sheet?

Q: Yeah.

A: Oh, yeah. Just like her - just the regular, I mean her hair color and all that I didn't have to ask her that because I could see it, so.

Q: Okay. How about like contact information?

A: Yeah. She had her number. I'm not sure if I put an email in there, I think maybe I did and things of that nature.

Q: Okay. Did you write the DV report?

A: I did.

Q: Did you complete that report that day before EOS?

A: I can't recall, sir. I'm, like, I either did or I did the next day one of the - I think I did, actually.

Q: Did you write a DV supplemental?

A: No.

Q: Was the phone number on record that you provided to SDPD when you came on to the department, the phone number that you provided, your personal phone number, is it the same as it is now?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What's that phone number?

A: [redacted]

Q: Okay. Is this your cell phone?

A: Yes.

Q: And is it a personal or department phone?

A: Personal.

Q: On February 28, 2025, did you call [redacted] on the phone after you had left her residence?

A: Yes.

Q: And what number did you call to get a hold of her?

A: I don't remember her number. I can't tell you about it.

Q: Was it the number that she gave you when you were from the - when she - when you were asking her for her phone number for the 11-10?

A: Yes, sir.

Q1: Okay. Did you - how did you get her phone number? Did you use the top sheet that you had submitted when you did your crime report? How did you get her phone number?

A: Yes. From the information she gave me.

Q1: So did you - you looked out on...

A: I had it still written down on a F/I Pad.

Q: On an F/I Pad.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Okay. Why did you call her after you left?

A: Just to check on her. Like, make sure that she was doing okay, to see - make sure that I guess nothing else happened since the way I had left it to see - make sure that she was fine.

Q: Okay. And how many times did you talk on the phone with [redacted] that day?

A: That day, I believe we had a conversation when I called her.

Q: Okay.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Do you know how many times you called her that day?

A: I don't recall. I think it was - it might have been once or twice. I can't recall exactly.

Q1: You said you had a conversation. Did you talk to her multiple times throughout the day?

A: Throughout that day, no.

Q1: Okay.

A: I don't believe so at least.

Q: Do you know what times you called her?

A: It must have been sometime either before EOS or after, one of those two.
.

Q: So before or after EOS?

A: Yes.

Q: And why did you call her again?

A: I called her just to make sure that she was doing okay. That nothing further had happened, talked to her about resources.

Q*(1)*: Okay. Is that common for you to contact a domestic violence victim?

A: I've done it in the past, yeah. I've contacted people to make sure they're doing okay, after...

Q*(1)*: After shift?

A: After shifts?

Q*(1)*: Yeah. You said before end of shift or after end of shift is when you called her.

A: I mean sometime and during that time, yeah.

Q*(1)*: Would you normally contact a domestic violence victim after your shift ended?

A: No. Not like it would have to be sometime, like, immediately within the - within that. It wouldn't be, like, later on.

Q*(1)*: Okay. But in this case, did you speak to her after your shift ended?

A: Like I said, it was either right before my shift ended or right after. One of those two. I do recall that.

Q: Okay. I'm going to ask you some specific times, okay? Did you call [redacted] that day at 2:27 pm?

A: It's possible. Yeah. Like I said, sometime before EOS or after.

Q: And can you give me an explanation of why you called her then?

A: Same thing to check on her and whatnot.

Q: Do you know how long that phone call was for?

A: I don't recall, but I do recall this ended up going into conversation.

Q: Okay. So you did - you had a conversation with her at that time?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. And do you know how long that call was for?

A: I don't recall how long that was for.

Q1: What was discussed?

A: I would talk - I talked to her about how she was doing, the case and everything. If [redacted] had been there or had done anything to her. She had told me no. And then just asking her about what she was - ended up doing. And then that turned into her just talking to me and the conversation would end up winging off and we ended up just talking.

Q1: About what?

A: About just - I had overheard her, just random things.

Q1: Okay.

A: I kind of just winged off and she asked me just about like how long I had been doing this and I told her - I asked her how things are with her at home all the time. She had told me it just kind of started talk - turning into conversation, so.

Q*(1)*: So would you say the conversation turned more personal?

A: Yes.

Q*(1)*: And how long did you speak with her for?

A: I don't recall the exact length of that conversation.

Q*(1)*: More than half an hour? More than an hour? Do you know?

A: I don't think it was more than an hour. I don't think it was more than half an hour either. It must - it could have been between - I want to say it was less

than half an hour, I believe.

Q*(1)*: Okay. Did you believe that that was professional?

A: No, sir.

Q*(1)*: What were you hoping to gain by having this personal conversation?

A: I was not hoping to gain anything. It was just - it ended up being just conversation that led into conversation. And I mean, that was really it.

Q: Okay. Did you call her at 2:37? That would be 10 minutes after that call.

A: 10 minutes after. And if we were just talking, then yes. If...

Q: All right. And what was the reason for calling her at 2:37?

A: I believe, to continue the conversation we were having.

Q: All right. Why did it end? Why did you have to call her back?

A: I just - I had enjoyed the conversation we were having and she said it was okay to call her back.

Q: Did you ask her if you can call her back? Or did she want you to call her back?

A: I did not. I just assumed that it would be okay.

Q: So you just called her back on your own?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: Okay. And do you know how long you spoke to her that - at that point?

A: I don't know. Like I said, if it was - it could have been less than 30 minutes.

Q: All right. Did you call her again at 4:00 pm?

A: I believe so.

Q: Okay. And why did you call her at 4:00 pm?

A: To continue the conversation we were having, sir.

Q: And how long did you talk to her at the 4:00 pm conversation?

A: Same thing. I don't recall. We ended up having a lot of conversations after that.

Q: You said you might have ended the day at 1530 that day from your previous statement. Do you think that's more or less correct?

A: I believe so. I know at that point that they were kind of hammering down on overtime and whatnot. I don't believe I stood longer than I had to.

Q: What time were you supposed to be off on that day?

A: 1600.

Q: 1600 or 1500? Do you know if - do you remember if you were early car or not?

A: I was early car.

Q: Were you?

A: So yeah. It was off. I was supposed to be off earlier then.

Q: So 1500?

A: Yeah.

Q: Okay.

A: So, like, I forgot. I came in early car during first watch.

Q: So to go back, 1437 phone call, were you on duty at that time?

A: You know, sir, I don't recall. And I could have been off at that point already. And...

Q: Okay.

A: ...I could have logged off at that point already.

Q: All right. What about 1600? Would you have been off at that point?

A: Yes. If I - yes.

Q: Okay. So we'll just say you were off duty at 1600, 4:00 pm that day when you spoke to her on the phone.

A: Yes.

Q: Did you call her or did she call you?

A: At that point, I think that day I was calling her.

Q: Okay. And the conversation you had with her, the three times, talk to us about those conversations.

A: Like, it just - it ended up just becoming getting to know each other conversations.

Q: Okay. Personally, beyond the professional level you think?

A: I mean, yes. If it was no longer to do with the case, then yes.

Q: Okay. So were you talking with her about things other than the case?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What kind of things were you talking about?

A: I don't recall exactly. It was a lot of just conversation I would have with other people that I was getting to know. Nothing - at least nothing I can recall super specific at that time.

Q: All right, all right. Did you document what it was that you talked to her about? If you talked to her about the case, did you later on document it anywhere that you spoke to her?

A: No.

Q1: How much of that conversation was about the case?

A: I'd say the first call, probably maybe, I'd say it was a short call, maybe half of it, then it kind of swinged into just another conversation. And I mean, we would bring up conversations, like, about what she was going to do and stuff but it was - at that point, it was beyond the case. Yeah.

Q: Okay. As far as the documentation, you spoke to her about the case, correct?

A: Yes.

Q: You did? Okay. And why didn't you document any of that conversation?

A: I just didn't feel like it. She didn't tell me anything that had any relevance. At that point, nothing new that she had explained to me.

Q: Okay. After February 28, had you spoken to [redacted] any time?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you call [redacted] on March 5, 2025, at about 1428 hours, 2:00 pm - 2:28 pm in the afternoon?

A: I probably did. Yes.

Q: Okay. Were you on duty that day?

A: What day was it?

Q: March 5, 2025.

A: I'll have to look up what day it was but...

Q*(1)*: Would the day of the week help?

A: Yeah. I think, because I don't recall the date. I think I was off on the weekends during that time.

Q*(1)*: Sure.

A: No, I wasn't. I was not off on the weekends.

Q*(1)*: March 5, 2025, was it Wednesday?

A: Wednesday?

Q*(1)*: Mm-hm.

A: I think I was off, but I'm not sure.

Q: All right. Okay. So March 5, 2025, at about 2:28 pm, do you remember what you talked to her about?

A: I don't, sir. I really don't. I'll be completely honest, we were conversating quite a bit already at that point, just about.

Q: All right. Would it be safe to say that you were talking to her about work or were you talking to her about personal stuff?

A: Personal.

Q: All right. At what watch were you at the time?

A: I was still on first watch if it was March.

Q: All right. So the day after that, March 6, 2025, did you work that day? This was the day that [redacted] was arrested.

A: Yes. The G(*V*)RO was served that day.

Q: Okay. And I'm sorry, you said you were first watch that day?

A: Yes.

Q: What time did you start that day, if you remember?

A: If I was first watch, I was early car the whole shift, so it would have been...

Q: Early car? Okay.

A: Yeah.

Q: And what time does that start?

A: 500.

Q: Do you remember going to [redacted] house that morning at about 0533 hours before...

A: Yeah.

Q: Before he was arrested?

A: Yes.

Q: Why did you go to her house on that day? At that time, at 5:33 in the morning?

A: We wanted to see each other. We'd been talking already a lot at that point and we wanted to see each other.

Q: Who facilitated that meet-up?

A: Both of us.

Q: Okay. How did you facilitate that?

A: We had - I just - she said that she - I suppose, we said we wanted to see each other. She had the kids at that point and wasn't sure how her schedule was going to be, like, most of the time and she said that just would have been a - of a good time for me to go see her.

Q: When did you make that arrangement?

A: Probably the night before.

Q: How?

A: Over the phone.

Q: So the day before, on March 5, I asked you if you had called her that day, you

spoke to her that day. Was that how you arranged to see her the next day at 5:33 in the morning? Or was it through some other form of communication?

A: It probably would have been through some - we were speaking throughout the day constantly, so it would have been probably another time later on to the night, more than likely.

Q: How were you communicating with her?

A: Via phone.

Q: Phone call?

A: Yes. Phone call or text.

Q: Or text?

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Okay. That morning, you were assigned Incident [redacted] which would have been a 10851 recovery report on [redacted]. Do you remember that call?

A: [redacted]

Q: It was...

A: [redacted]

Q: It was a 10851 recovery on [redacted]

A: I don't recall. I'm sorry.

Q: Okay. I'm going to show you a copy of a CAD printout. This CAD printout is Incident [redacted] The call came in at 0527 hours. It was dispatched at 0530 hours. And it shows that you arrived at 0556 hours and closed at 0742 hours. I'll let you take a look at this and tell me if that was - if you remember going on that call. *(Refer to Figure 16 on page 27)*

A: Yeah. [redacted]. I do remember the call.

Q: Okay. So that was your call?

A: Yes.

Q: All right. I already mentioned that and you admitted to going to [redacted] house around 5:33, correct?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: All right. So you were added to this call, dispatched the call at 5:30. All right. So would it be safe to say that while you were dispatched on this call, you went to go see [redacted] Would that be a fair and accurate statement?

A: Yeah. I was probably on my way over there already or I'd been there already or almost there.

Q: All right. Do you remember how long you were over at [redacted] house that morning?

A: It's maybe 20, 25 minutes, something like that.

Q: And while you were there...

A: Couldn't have been longer than that, because I went to that call after.

Q: All right. While you were there, what were you and [redacted] doing?

A: We did a - we did have some intimacy acts.

Q: Okay. Can you explain?

A: We - just in plain English. We had sex.

Q: Okay. So from the time that you were there until the time you left, was this something that you arranged with her to date for or while you were there, this just...

A: It just happened, sir.

Q: Just happened? Okay. All right. Okay. So did you or did you not go straight to the recovery when you were

dispatched the call?

A: No.

Q: Was going to her house related to your call?

A: No.

Q: Did you document any reason why you went to her house first?

A: No, sir.

Q: Do you remember - you said about 20 minutes...

A: Yeah. It...

Q: ...from your prior answer?

A: Yeah. It couldn't have been longer than that.

Q: Okay.

A: 20, 30 minutes.

Q: So after you left, why did it take you a while to get over to the recovery?

A: I don't know. How long was it? It was a while.

Q: So you got there 5:33. You were there for approximately 20 minutes. You didn't get to the recovery until about 621 hours. Do you remember what you were doing before that? So if you left about 20 minutes, it would have put you a little before 0600.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Would it have taken more than 20 minutes to get to [redacted] from her home? Or do you remember diverting somewhere else to go before the recovery?

A: I don't recall diverting anywhere else but maybe I was just slow rolling over there. Yeah.

Q: Okay.

A: I don't remember going anywhere else before that, if that's what you're asking. I didn't divert to any other place.

Q: All right. Did you activate your BWC for the recovery?

A: I don't remember if I did or not. Maybe for a statement but...

Q: Okay. On that same day, did you return to [redacted] So later that day, after...

A: Later that day...

Q: ...the recovery?

A: ...during the G(*V*)RO. Yes.

Q: Okay. What was the purpose of you returning there?

A: Just to - honestly to - I guess to help out the investigation and to make sure that...

Man: (Unintelligible). You were a little bit... (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Just help out the investigation.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Do you recall at what time [redacted] was arrested?

A: I don't recall.

Q: All right. Do you remember putting yourself on the call after he was arrested?

A: I don't recall what time he was arrested. I just know that once it happened, I did eventually - I did put myself on the call and went to the [redacted] [redacted] house.

Q: Okay. Did you go to the location where [redacted] was arrested?

A: No.

Q: Why not?

A: I just - I'm not sure. I just didn't.

Q: Okay. So instead of going to where he was arrested, you went to [redacted] house?

A: Well, so that's where they were doing the - serving the G(*V*)RO when [redacted] was there.

Q: Okay. At that point, do you remember if he was already arrested?

A: I don't recall, sir. I know that they were - I believe - from what I remember, I think he's the one that made the call and met the officers there and they arrested him.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Do you remember where he was arrested?

A: I think the same place that I met him, over off Balboa.

Q: Okay. So not at [redacted] house then?

A: No.

Q: So you didn't physically go to the location where he was arrested?

A: No.

Q: All right. While there...

Q1: You mentioned that you were there to help with the investigation. What were you - what did you end up doing to help out?

A: I was there to make sure that [redacted] was going to give up the guns from - that she knew [redacted] had to - because she was just worried that he was going to be super mad if he had lost his guns.

Q1: Did you see any conflict of interest? The fact that you're now sleeping with the victim and are going to a domestic violence call that they're involved in that day?

A: I do, sir.

Q: Let's go back to what did you do on that call when you went there to help out with the investigation. Did - was it just to talk to her? Did you do anything to aid in the investigation or you were there just kind of as emotional support for her?

A: Probably the emotional support thing. And I believe I had helped the G(*V*)RO collect ammunition and a few guns.

Q: Okay. Did you document your activity at [redacted] house? Did you write any paper?

A: I did not.

Q: All right. Did you activate your BWC?

A: While I was there - I think I would have if I was collecting that, if I was helping him out with the ammo.

Q: But are you sure if you did or not?

A: I'm not sure, sir.

Q: You're not sure. Okay. So were you the only officer there when you went there?

A: No.

Q: Do you remember who else was there?

A: Officer [redacted]

Q: [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: Is that hyphenated, do you know or...

A1: Just go by [redacted]

Q: Just by [redacted]

A1: Yeah.

Q: Okay. Any idea what his ID number is by any chance?

A: I don't know his ID number.

Q: No? Okay. So he was there when you showed up?

A: Yes.

Q: And was there any other officers behi- besides him?

A: The G(*V*)RO unit was there.

Q: All right. Can you kind of explain why you were there for about 63 minutes?

A: It just - I was just there with them, helping them out and I guess making sure that she was okay.

Q: Okay. Were you the last one to leave?

A: No. I believe [redacted] was.

Q: Okay. All right. Any other questions for that day?

Q1: I don't think so.

Q: All right. Okay. We're going to move on to March 11. So March 11, 2025, at 4:40 pm, did you call her on the phone that day, if you remember?

A: I probably did. Yes.

Q: And why did you call her on that day?

A: Just to talk with her, conversation.

Q: All right. On March 12, 2025, the next day at about 5:20 pm, did you call her?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you remember how long you talked to her for?

A: I don't remember, sir.

Q: And why did you call her on that day?

A: Same thing, conversation.

Q: When you call her for conversation, what's the context of these conversations?

A: We were talking just about just a lot of things, more so just...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...continuing to get to know each other, about her kids, the stuff she has them in, like, her - their activities. She'd ask me about me and my life...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...[redacted].

Q: I'm sorry, [redacted]

A: Yeah.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Things of that nature.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Just we were talking to each other a lot at that point.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Did you talk about the case at all with her, any kind of work stuff that you were talking with her?

A: I mean, I...

Man: Yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...if she was - at that point, I think she was just...

Man: Yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...she was telling me what she was doing as far as the case goes, that she had a friend that's a paralegal that was helping her out.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Did you document any of those calls?

A: No, sir.

Q: No? Okay.

Man: Yeah - yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: On March 11 or 12, did you meet with her?

A: I don't recall. At that point, we had probably hung out different times...

Man: It's cool, right? (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...just off duty.

Q*(1)*: So at that point, how many times did you guys meet up?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: I don't recall, sir. Just whenever she was free and I was free.

Q*(1)*: So frequently?

A: It became frequently. Yes.

Q*(1)*: More than five times?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Sure. Yeah.

Q*(1)*: More than ten?

A: I don't think that many, but just the same, like, if - as if we were seeing each other, more or less. We told each other that we liked each other and we wanted to continue seeing each other.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Did you meet her at Torrey Pines?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Torrey Pines?

Q: Mm-hm.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Like the State Beach or the trail back there?

Man: Well, that worked... (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: No. We had talked about going hiking.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Did you mean that I meet her there? What are you talking about?

Q: Yeah. Did you meet her there? Did you go there, run into her?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Arranged to meet her there? Go there together?

Man: Yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: No. We had talked about going hiking, but I don't believe we ever went to Torrey Pines.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: So at this point, how many times - at this point, was it about between 5, 10-ish times that you'd already seen her?

A: Yes, sir. We were actively seeing each other and calling each other a lot, I'm not going...

Q: Okay. And how was that arrangement work...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: ...between you two? Did you go to her house? Did she go to your house or both?

A: Just...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Just both. We would meet each other there or, like, a couple of times we got stuff to eat. Things of that nature.

Q: All right. So you - at this point, do you think you established a, like, relationship with her?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: Okay. What would you classify that relationship?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Like, romantic?

Q: Sure. Romantic, dating, sexual?

A: Just romantic, dating.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: All right. On March 13, 2025, did you go to [redacted] house at any time?

A: I don't recall, sir.

Q: All right. On that day, at approximately 9:50 am, it shows that you went to her house and left at 10:12. Do you remember that?

A: I had - if I did show up, then yeah. I was probably there. I mean...

Q: Okay. And when you showed up to her house, what happened?

A: We were just - it was just a talking conversation, letting her know she was showing me a turtle that she had in her backyard.

Q: Okay. Any sexual activity then?

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: Not that day. The only time we had sex there it was that time in the morning I told you about.

Q: Okay. While you were at her house, you were assigned Incident [redacted] initially at 0743 hours. That call was for a 368R at [redacted] Do you remember that call?

Man: That's all right. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: I don't recall that call, sir.

Q: All right. You were then dispatched to a 415 weapon call on [redacted] at 9:36. Do you remember that call?

A: I don't recall that call but...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: And then 2 minutes later at 938 hours, you were put back on a 368R call.

Man: Yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: That day was a rainy day.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: It was [redacted] crew. They went to a 415 weapon call. And you were dispatched on that one while you were on a 368R call. Do you remember anything like that at all?

A: I don't recall, sir.

Q: All right.

A: No, sir.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Do you remember why you went back on the 415 weapon call at 10:12?

A: I don't recall. I'd have to look at the CAD and see.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: All right. On April 26, 2025, you called [redacted] at 7:16 pm in the evening. This was right before you logged on as PV-1 *(Prisoner Van 1)*. Why did you call her that night?

A: As PV-1?

Q: Mm-hm.

A: Probably just the same conversation.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q*(1)*: Same conversation being what?

A: Just us. Like, we were talking a lot, sir. The same way, like, I guess you would talk to somebody if you were dating them. Just a conversation.

Q: Okay. All right. April 27, the next day at 7:10 am, you were in your patrol vehicle and went towards [redacted]. You drove southbound on [redacted] [redacted] then made a U-turn on [redacted] then went back towards [redacted] Do you remember doing something like that?

A: I believe so. Yeah.

Q: Okay. Why would you do that? Did something happen? Something come up?

A: No. Just...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...I think something along the lines was she told me that she was worried that [redacted] was, like, watching her or something like that.

Q: Okay. So did you intend to go to her house that day? This is the day after you did your assignment as PV-1 *(Prisoner Van 1)*.

A: The day that we planned for me to go to her house? Is that what you're saying?

Q: Yeah.

A: No.

Q: Okay. But you clearly showed that you were going there. What do you - what was your intention at that point?

A: I think just to make her feel better because like I said, those times when she said that she was just scared.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: And you decided not to - and your statement was she was worried that [redacted] was watching?

A: No. I mean, in that sense just - like, just to say like, "Hey, I think, like, he's, like, outside my house or something like that, like, watching me." Like, kind of, like, a - along the lines of a paranoia thing, because she was scared of him. And I just drove by to show her, like, she's fine. Like, you're okay, kind of thing.

Q: Okay. But clearly on this day and at this point, I have it on your car monitor, the AVL...

A: Mm-hm.

Q: ...that it shows you going southbound and then you made a U-turn and didn't even go towards her house. Did - were - at that point were you intending to go to her house? Because you did say a statement that...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: ...you thought that she was - her being watched by [redacted] My question to you is were you at this point on this day, the day after you were at PV-1 on April 26, 2025, at 7:10 in the morning? Do you remember going towards her house and changing your mind?

A: Not in that intent. No. Like, to, like, go over there and stop there? No. Nothing like that.

Q: No? All right.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q1: Why were you going to her house while you were on duty?

A: I mean, I just don't have an answer for that, sir. I probably was just saying hi or something...

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

A: ...to her.

Q: All right. That same day at about 1454 hours - let me just get some context here. You worked PV-1 the night of the 26th and then you worked regular shift the very next day. Does that sound about right?

A: I don't recall. If I did then yeah, I did.

Q: Have you ever done that before?

A: I do overtime when I can.

Man: Yeah. (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: Okay. All right. So that same day April 27, you called [redacted] And can you tell us about the reason why you called her?

A: I can't tell you if it was just a random day. It was probably, like I said, the same type of conversation that we always had.

Q: What was your relationship like with her at this point?

A: It was good. I mean, we talked all the time. There was no quarrels between us, nothing.

Q: Still dating at this point?

A: I would say so. Yeah.

Q: Okay. April 29, 2025, you called [redacted] at 7:25 am. Do you remember why

you called her on that day?

A: Just probably just to say hi.

Man: (Unintelligible). (*This was background talk outside of the interview room and not a part of this interview)*

Q: And what else do you talk about?

A: I mean I don't know what to tell you. So it's just normal, the same thing I guess you would talk about if you called someone you were seeing. Just...

Q: Okay. So just...

A: ...random conversation.

Q: Nothing about work?

A: No.

Q: Or related to her case?

A: At that point we weren't discussing anything like that. It was all on the lines of, like, when we were going to see each other next and things of that nature.

Q: Okay. Again, May 20, 2025, did you call [redacted] at 2:39 pm?

A: Yes. I probably did.

Q: Probably? Okay. And do you know what the context of your calling her on that day would have been?

A: I'd say a casual conversation. Probably asking her what she was doing and...

Q1: What's your guys' relationship now?

A: We had stopped talking about mid-summer, I'd say. She had a lot going on. She explained to me, like, "Hey, this divorce is taking a lot out of me." She was planning on moving to San Francisco, last I had understood. And I don't know if she ever made that move or not, but we just kind of weaned things off because there was a lot going on.

Q1: So you said mid-summer, was this July, August?

A: June, July, August, something, like, I'd probably say closer to June.

Q1: Okay.

A: At that point, we would still, like, say hi to each other, but the seeing each other became a lot less. And just she was really busy with her stuff, and I understood. And I wasn't trying to pry or make more stress for her than she needed going through what she was going through and...

Q1: How many times do you think you saw her between the time that (Stevie) *[Sergeant Doherty actually said "DV" instead of "Stevie]* first came out and the time that you guys ended things in July?

A: Quite a bit time, I can't say.

Q1: Are you seeing her off-duty?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay. On your weekend, how many times are you seeing her, roughly?

A: I mean, at least every weekend I was seeing her. We were hanging out a lot.

Q1: How many times on-duty were you seeing her?

A: On-duty, I'd say the first couple times that we had saw each other in the initial when all that first started and that was really it.

Q: On-duty...

A: I'd call her on-duty. Like, it became much less of me just driving by to say hi to her or something, or...

Q: All right.

A: ...her going out of her way to come say hi to me.

Q1: Okay. How many times did you have sex on-duty?

A: It was that one time in the morning.

Q: On-duty, how many times do you think you met up with her? Other than the incidents that I talked to you about.

A: Like I said, that became much less after the initial everything, but...

Q: Did you...

A: ...I'd say that I probably didn't see her much at least on-duty, I probably didn't see her much after the time that you told me about on whatever date it was, when I went to go see her to say hi to her...

Q: Okay.

A: ...in the middle of the day. The 368 one, probably.

Q: Okay.

A: We didn't really see each other that much after that.

Q: All right.

A: It...

Q1: When you speak...

A: ...just became more comfortable with having a schedule to see each other off duty.

Q1: I know you said that you only had sex that one time, but when you're meeting her at other times on-duty, you guys making out?

A: No. Nothing like that. I mean, maybe a kiss goodbye.

Q1: Okay. Where was [redacted] at that time?

A: I don't know, sir.

Q1: Was he living in the same house?

A: No.

Q1: Did you have any concern about him showing up when you were there?

A: I mean, I guess in the back of my head, but no.

Q1: And was there a ongoing court case at that time?

A: Was there an ongoing court case? Yes. I believe so.

Q1: Any concern about your involvement with the victim messing up that court process?

A: Yes. I knew what I was doing wasn't professional. Okay.

Q1: Do you believe that might come out at any point?

A: I mean I couldn't say. I told her that, "Hey, like, I know we shouldn't be doing this." But, you know, no matter what, I was going to continue just trying to help her as best I could.

Q1: Okay.

Q: The times that you saw her, we established that you saw her at her house while on duty. Is there any other place on duty that you met up with her?

A: I wouldn't consider it a meet-up. I think, like, sometimes I'll be maybe at the, like, writing paper at a storefront or something or somewhere, and she would come by and, like, just give me, like, a snack or something.

Q: She would stop by the storefront?

A: Not the storefront, like...

Q: Okay.

A: ...if I was just writing somewhere randomly or something like that.

Q: Okay. So you've met her in other places other than her house while on duty?

A: For an instance, it was never anything. It was always, like, in a public setting, nowhere.

Q: Do you remember where?

A: Over off of [redacted]

Q: All right. Did you get involved in any other incidents involving [redacted] and [redacted]

A: Not that I recall.

Q: Were you authorized to call [redacted] on the dates and times after February 28, 2025, for anything work-related?

A: No.

Q: Did you have any kind of documentation to support contacting [redacted] on dates other than the initial crime report date of February 28, 2025?

A: No. I mean, besides me initially just trying to help her out and, you know, help her get through her, what she was going through.

Q: Okay. At any point, were you conducting your own investigation on either [redacted] or [redacted] for any reason other than the initial DV incident on Friday?

A: No.

Q: In February, sorry.

A: No.

Q1: Did your supervisor know that you were going to her house on duty?

A: No.

Q: Were you directed to call [redacted] for any reason?

A: No.

Q: I'm going to ask you this again and just answer it again. So did you and [redacted] establish a relationship of any kind?

A: Yes.

Q: What kind of relationship?

A: Romantic.

Q: And romantic involved sex?

A: Yes.

Q: How many times have you had sex with her on duty?

A: One time.

Q: Okay. Do you think you conducted yourself both on and off duty in such a manner as to reflect favorably on the department with regards to your communications with [redacted]

A: No, sir.

Q: Do you think your actions might bring the department in disrepute?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you think your actions reflect discredit upon you as a member of the department?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you think your actions were of moral conduct keeping with the highest standards of law enforcement profession?

A: No.

Q: Do you think it was appropriate to call [redacted] all those times after the initial domestic violence investigation?

A: No.

Q: Do you think it was appropriate to visit [redacted] all those times after the initial domestic violence investigation?

A: No.

Q: In calling [redacted] on and off duty on dates other than February 28, 2025, would you classify that as an investigative follow-up...

A: No.

Q: ...if you were checking on [redacted] and asking investigative questions?

A: No.

Q: Do you think by calling [redacted] dates other than February 28, 2025, that this could potentially result in a conflict of interest with any active investigation or potential future investigation between [redacted] and [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: Did [redacted] ever tell you she wanted to talk to you outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did she ever tell you she wanted to meet you outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did [redacted] ever solicit you for any sexual favors or activity?

A: No.

Q: Did you ever tell [redacted] you wanted to talk to her outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you ever tell [redacted] you wanted to meet with her outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you ever solicit [redacted] for any sexual favors or activity?

A: No.

Q: Did you ever use department resources to look up [redacted] or [redacted]

A: During the case, yes.

Q1: During the case, can you specify?

A: I don't recall looking up [redacted] like, more than after the time he was arrested.

Q1: So on the date that he was arrested, did you look him up on that date?

A: Probably after the initial booking, yeah.

Q1: And had you already established a dating or romantic relationship with her at that point?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay.

Q: How many times did you look him up?

A: Like I said, the only time I recall ever looking him up was initially when I met him and then after the time, probably like the same day that he got arrested, just to see which others he got hit with.

Q: All right. Then how did you look him up?

A: Probably SD Law.

Q: And why did you look him up?

A: I guess to see the charges that were booked with.

Q: Do you believe by you already establishing a relationship with her, by looking him up and not being actively involved in the case, do you think that by looking him up, was that appropriate?

A: No, sir. I wasn't doing it for any misconduct or ill intent. I just looked him up to see what charges he got hit with.

Q: Were you...

Q1: Does that relate to the case or is that for your own personal knowledge?

A: I mean, I think a bit of both since I had done the initial arrest and whatnot. And yeah. Because I was involved in a relationship with [redacted] at that time to see exactly what they were going to do. But - I mean, I was never forwarding her any information like that.

Q1: And other than the charges, what information did you learn?

A: That he made bail.

Q1: Did you pass any of that information on to [redacted]

A: No. I did not. I mean, I told her initially, I was like he's probably going to get out within the same day anyway. It's not really a surprise.

Q: Of all the information that you looked up, did you tell anybody that's not related to law enforcement other than [redacted]

A: No.

Q: Have you received training on using CLETS?

A: I have.

Q: Are you familiar with department procedure for using CLETS?

A: Yes.

Q: When you looked him up, was it part of any investigation you were conducting?

A: At the time, no. Well, you mean, like, just in general for CLETS or...

Q: Let's say after February 28, 2025. The initial domestic violence incident.

A: No.

Q: Okay. And I think you already answered this, but I'm going to ask you again. When you looked him up, was it for personal reasons?

A: Yes.

Q: Anything else?

A1: I've got a few follow-up questions. We're going to go back to the beginning real quick. I don't have that many. But when you first went there for your follow-up after originally talking to [redacted] actually, the IHOP, did you feel that you had a need for a cover unit there or you were just going to go there and if you needed somebody, you could call them?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. So it wasn't more than you can handle on your own?

A: Not at the time.

A1: Okay.

Q: Just to clarify, you didn't think you needed a cover unit at that point? Or you did?

A: No, sir.

Q: Okay.

A: Because it was, yeah, 13:07:30 *[13730, as in 13730 PC, Domestic Violence Incident Report]* at that point. I thought she was going to initially tell me the same thing, that there is bickering and the same kind of calls you always go to.

Q: Okay.

A1: Okay. And do you believe that English was maybe [redacted] second language?

A: Yes.

A1: So it was difficult for her to maybe understand the answers you were trying to get for your investigation upon that first contact, which is why you had to spend the extra time?

A: Well, yes and no. I mean, she had a lot of questions about everything and mainly just scared. She thought she was going to be the one to end up getting, like, her kids taken away or kicked out of America. Like, she didn't really know. She didn't understand that she has a lot of rights here, initially.

A1: So it's safe to say she was pretty reluctant to even originally talking to you because she was feared of deportation, maybe CPS involvement and then her whole life that she'd knew it around her falling apart?

A: Yep.

A1: Okay. And have you had any professional training in the academy or line-up training or even in AOT from domestic violence how a lot of female domestic violence victims are very reluctant to talk to law enforcement because of fear of retaliation against their husband, whether it be physical, financial, mental, and if their husbands are sole provider, that is their entire world?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And what nationality was [redacted]

A: She is just Middle Eastern (unintelligible).

A1: Middle Eastern?

A: Yes.

A1: And is it with your experience and then life experience as well, not just outside of work, that women from the Middle East are very reluctant to talk to especially male police officers, let alone having them come into the world when their husband is supposed to be the dominant force in the house?

A: Yes.

A1: And then knowing that going into it, that's why you were spending the extra

time to get her to open up and feel comfortable when [redacted] is talking to you?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And you think that had to do a lot with the cultural norms?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And talk about the situation where [redacted] the second time after he already left the house to where you verified it was a 273, was he driving away from you at the time?

A: No. He was driving home.

A1: He was driving home?

A: Yeah.

A1: Okay. And after all the other conversations that you were having with [redacted], after that point where you first originally called her on the first date, was that more of like a consensual conversation that you guys were getting to know each other as somebody would be if they're in a dating relationship? Just talking about the day, getting to know each other personally?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. Beyond the very first day when you were taking the investigation, did you give her any confidential details about the case that wouldn't have been public knowledge?

A: No.

A1: No? Okay. And is it normal for officers to call their loved ones on-duty or to have maybe a meet up where if they're going to have a meal, snack, say hi, and then they go about their day? Is that normal?

A: I think so. Yes.

A1: Okay. And then have you ever called friends or family after they've had a

traumatic experience in life to check in on them?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And that's it.

Q1: At what point in your own words would you describe that this interaction with [redacted] turned inappropriate?

A: Honestly, just within the night after we had kept calling each other and talking.

Q1: Is this after the domestic violence incident you first responded to?

A: Yes.

Q1: Would it be that night after the domestic violence incident?

A: Just when I realized that we were starting to talk just more and more, and it completely got away from the case and just became us getting to know each other. I recognized, like, that I probably shouldn't have been doing that. Yeah.

Q1: Was that on the same day of the domestic violence incident?

A: Yes, sir.

Q1: All right. Anything else?

Q: I got nothing else either.

Q1: Did you have anything else that you want to add?

A: I do not, sir.

Q1: Do you have any regret about what happened or what transpired?

A: I mean, I think everybody has regret when they know they did something wrong. Yeah.

Q: Do you feel you did something wrong?

A: I feel like I went into it doing the right thing and, you know, it became unprofessional on me. And to allow it to continue down the path, it did.

Q1: Okay. Anything else?

Q: Nothing else. Okay. At this time the interview is concluded. Time is 1529. I'm going to advise you not to discuss this interview with anybody other than your representative until this investigation is completed. All right. Going off record.

This transcript has been reviewed with the audio recording submitted and it is an accurate transcription.
Signed _____

CONCLUSIONS:

1. **CONDUCT (UNBECOMING)- SUSTAINED**

Officer Miguel Gonzalez began a relationship with a domestic violence victim [redacted] went to her home while on duty, and had sex with her on March 6, 2025. Prior to going to her house, Officer Gonzalez was dispatched to a call at a different location. Officer Gonzalez made the victim of that call wait 51 minutes before he arrived.

San Diego Police Department Policy 9.06 UNBECOMING CONDUCT POLICY (Revised 01/26/04) states in part:

Officers shall conduct themselves, both on and off duty, in such a manner as to reflect favorably on the Department. Officers shall not conduct themselves in any manner that could bring the Department into disrepute or reflects discredit upon the officer as a member of the Department or impairs the operation and efficiency of the Department or officer. Members shall not engage in any conduct that is unbecoming an employee of the Department, nor which impairs the operation of the Department.

On February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez took a domestic violence report. Officer Gonzalez first met with [redacted] and his children at the IHOP on Balboa Ave. [redacted] was the reporting party. Officer Gonzalez spoke to [redacted] and his children, and the interaction was captured on BWC. After speaking with them Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] to speak with [redacted] who was the other half of the domestic violence incident. Officer Gonzalez interviewed [redacted] about the incident. [redacted] told Officer Gonzalez that at some point prior to this day, [redacted] grabbed her mouth, which caused her to incur an injury on her upper lip. Officer Gonzalez did not turn on his BWC while talking to [redacted] The picture showing [redacted] cut on her upper lip, which was on the inside of her mouth, shows [redacted] and two fingers pulling the lip up to show the cut. The fingers were not [redacted] In his IA interview, Officer Gonzalez admitted to using his fingers to move [redacted] lip. Officer Gonzalez's fingers were not gloved. Officer Gonzalez said he just did it because [redacted] was nervous.

Officer Gonzalez admitted to speaking with [redacted] on the phone later that day, just before his EOS (End of Shift) and a time after EOS. Officer Gonzalez called [redacted] phone number, which he obtained from the field interview notes he took earlier. Officer Gonzalez called her to see how she was doing. Officer Gonzalez admitted the conversation became personal between he and [redacted] Officer Gonzalez also said that it was not professional. Officer Gonzalez said he enjoyed the conversation he and [redacted] were having, and she said it was okay to call her back. Officer Gonzalez continued to say he assumed it was okay with her to call her back. Officer Gonzalez said the phone calls that day were "just getting to know each other conversations," and that it was beyond professional.

Officer Gonzalez took a domestic violence report from a domestic violence victim. He obtained her personal information, her phone number, from information he wrote down pertinent to the domestic

violence incident and later used it to contact [redacted] Officer Gonzalez initiated a series of phone calls with [redacted] where it became personal. Officer Gonzalez called [redacted] on March 5, 2025, off-duty, where he and [redacted] arranged to see each other the next day when he was on duty. In his IA interview, Officer Gonzalez admitted to talking to [redacted] off-duty on March 5, 2025. Officer Gonzalez said they were "conversating quite a bit at that point." Officer Gonzalez later said they arranged to see each other the next day because they both wanted to see each other.

On March 6, 2025, Officer Gonzalez was assigned the early car for first watch. The early car duty typically starts at 0500 hours. On this day, Officer Gonzalez logged on as 112J1 at 0453 hours. At 0530 hours, Officer Gonzalez was assigned SDPD Event [redacted] a 10851R at [redacted] Per AVL, 112J1 shows arriving in the area of [redacted] at 0533 hours. AVL shows this unit to be in that general area until 0556 hours. This puts Officer Gonzalez at this location for 23 minutes. Officer Gonzalez corroborated the AVL data when he admitted to being at [redacted] house for about 20 minutes. Officer Gonzalez said when he got to [redacted] home they had "some intimacy." Officer Gonzalez then said, "Just in plain English, we had sex."

By engaging in sexual intercourse with a DV victim on duty, Officer Gonzalez conducted himself in a manner that does not reflect favorably on the department. Officer Gonzalez conducted himself in a manner that could bring the department in disrepute and reflect discredit upon himself as a member of the department. By doing what he did, it impaired the operation and efficiency of the department by delaying his response to the radio call and to the reporting party of that call. The call in question, a 10851R (Stolen Vehicle Recovery), was called in at 0527 hours. Officer Gonzalez was dispatched the call at 0530 hours. Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] home and arrived at 0533 hours. Officer Gonzalez then had sex with her while the victim of the stolen vehicle waited by his reported stolen vehicle. [redacted]. Officer Gonzalez didn't meet with [redacted] until 0620 hours. Officers must maintain a professional relationship and part ways with victims who are in a vulnerable state. Having sex with a domestic violence victim, while on-duty, erodes the trust the community has with the department. Therefore, the allegation of Unbecoming Conduct is **SUSTAINED.**

2. CONDUCT (IMMORAL)- SUSTAINED

Officer Gonzalez failed to maintain a level of moral conduct that keeps the highest standards of the law enforcement profession. Officer Gonzalez engaged in an act of moral turpitude when he went to a domestic violence victim's [redacted] home and had sex with her on March 6, 2025. Officer Gonzalez admitted to this act while on duty.

San Diego Police Department Policy 9.07 IMMORAL CONDUCT POLICY states in part:

> Officers shall maintain a level of moral conduct in their personal and business affairs that is in keeping with the highest standards of the law enforcement profession. Officers shall not participate in any activity or incident involving moral turpitude that impairs their ability to perform as members of the Department or causes the Department to be brought into disrepute.

As stated in Allegation 1, Officer Gonzalez established a romantic relationship with a domestic violence victim, which led to having sex with the victim, while on duty. [redacted] was a married woman, who has two young children that she shared with her then-husband. Officer Gonzalez's actions resulted with him going to [redacted] house, dressed in a San Diego Police uniform, and getting there in a marked San Diego Police vehicle. While there, he engaged in sexual activity with [redacted]

During his IA interview, Officer Gonzalez stated he obtained [redacted] information from a field interview sheet he filled out for his report. That field interview sheet contained her phone number, which was meant for his police report and not for his own personal fulfillment. In this case, [redacted] was a victim of domestic violence. Officer Gonzalez used his status of a police officer to get [redacted] phone number, then called her three times on February 28, 2025. From that point on, Officer Gonzalez was able to establish a relationship with [redacted] When asked if his actions were of moral conduct keeping with the highest standards of the law enforcement position, Officer Gonzalez replied, "No." Officer Gonzalez's behavior could create distrust with our officers and the law enforcement community if they knew officers were using their position for their personal gains and capitalizing on a victim's vulnerability.

The totality of Officer Gonzalez's actions is conduct that is contrary to the community standards of honesty, justice, or good moral values for the San Diego Police Department. Officer Gonzalez chose to go to [redacted] house on-duty, have sex with her, and delayed his response to a radio call that he was dispatched to. Officer Gonzalez's actions were of moral turpitude that impaired his ability to perform as a member of the San Diego Police Department. By doing this it could potentially put the San Diego Police Department in disrepute. Therefore, the allegation of Immoral Conduct is **SUSTAINED.**

3. CONDUCT (NEGLECT OF DUTY) – SUSTAINED

Officer Gonzalez neglected his duties as a police officer when he was assigned to respond to a stolen vehicle report on [redacted] Instead of immediately responding to the location of his assigned call, Officer Gonzalez went to a domestic violence victim's [redacted] house and had sex with her.

San Diego Police Department Police 9.18 NEGLECT OF DUTY POLICY (Revised 01/26/04) states in part:

Members shall not engage in activities or personal business that would cause them to neglect or be inattentive to their duty.

On March 6, 2025, Officer Gonzalez was assigned the early car for first watch. The early car typically starts the day at 0500 hours. On this day, Officer Gonzalez logged on as 112J1 at 0453 hours. At 0530 hours, Officer Gonzalez was assigned SDPD Event [redacted] a 10851R (Stolen Vehicle Recovery) at [redacted] Per AVL, 112J1 showed arriving in the area of [redacted] at 0533 hours. AVL shows this unit to be in that general area until 0556 hours. This puts Officer Gonzalez at this location for 23 minutes. In his IA interview, Officer Gonzalez corroborated the AVL data when he admitted to being at [redacted] house for about 20 minutes. Officer Gonzalez said when he got to [redacted] home they had "some intimacy." Officer Gonzalez then said, "Just in plain English, we had sex."

The CAD information for the call showed Officer Gonzalez "Arrived" at 0556 hours. This meant he indicated he was on scene at [redacted] which was the location of the stolen vehicle. AVL shows he was still at [redacted] at 0556 hours.

Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez left [redacted] at 0556 hours and went to the southeast corner of the intersection of Mount Alifan Drive and Genessee Avenue, where he arrived at 0559 hours. This location is in the opposite direction of [redacted] effectively increasing his arrival time.

Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez eventually left that location and arrived at [redacted] at 0621 hours. Because Officer Gonzalez chose to divert to the two locations instead of going straight to the location of his radio call, it took Officer Gonzalez 51 minutes to get to the location of this call.

Officer Gonzalez's report states he spoke with the reporting party, [redacted] Per CAD, the call originated at 0527 hours. Officer Gonzalez marked he had arrived on scene at 0556 hours, when he was still at [redacted] home. Officer Gonzalez diverted to other locations and didn't arrive on [redacted] until 0621 hours. Officer Gonzalez made [redacted] wait with his found stolen vehicle for 51 minutes before arriving to take the recovery report.

Officer Gonzalez was dispatched a call, [redacted] was waiting for an officer to assist him in recovering his stolen vehicle. Instead of going straight to the call, he went to the house of a domestic violence victim, on duty, and had sex with her. Officer Gonzalez was at her home for over 20 minutes. It took Officer Gonzalez 51 minutes to arrive to [redacted] location because he was spending his time having sex with a domestic violence victim, [redacted] Officer Gonzalez neglected his duties by going to [redacted] home instead of going to the call. This shows Officer Gonzalez being inattentive to his duties as a police officer. Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

4. CONDUCT (CONFLICT OF INTEREST) - SUSTAINED

Officer Gonzalez created a conflict of interest by establishing a relationship with a domestic violence victim [redacted] after taking her initial crime report for domestic violence.

San Diego Police Department Police 9.32 CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY states in part:

> A Department member who, in his or her official capacity, becomes involved in any incident or investigation where a potential conflict of interest exists shall immediately inform his or her supervisor of such involvement. The decision as to whether the member may continue to be involved with the incident or investigation will be at the discretion of the member's supervisor or another ranking member of the Department.

City of San Diego Administrative Regulation 95.60 CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND EMPLOYEE CONDUCT states in part:

4. Policy

4.1. Responsibility of Ethical Conduct

4.1.1. It is the responsibility of all City of San Diego employees to engage in ethical behavior and practices.

4.1.2. Every employee is responsible for both the actual and perceived conflict of interest that may arise as a result of the employee's actions and it is the employee's responsibility to reduce or eliminate to the extent possible such actual and perceived conflicts of interest.

As stated in Allegations 1, 2, and 3, Officer Gonzalez engaged in misconduct by establishing a relationship with [redacted] a domestic violence victim. Based on Officer Gonzalez's initial domestic violence case that he wrote, he determined [redacted] to be the victim. That case was an active investigation where Officer Gonzalez determined [redacted] husband, [redacted] to be the suspect and had not yet been arrested. Officer Gonzalez admitted to possibly using SDLAW for personal gains to check on [redacted] status after he was arrested. Additionally, while Officer Gonzalez was on duty, in an SDPD uniform, he used an SDPD police department vehicle to drive to [redacted] home.

On February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez began a relationship with [redacted] by calling her towards the end of his shift, then called her again after his shift was over. Per Officer Gonzalez's statement, he never knew [redacted] before this date. Officer Gonzalez used her information he collected for his report and then initiated a call to her to "check up on her." Officer Gonzalez spoke to [redacted] on that day for a total of 73 minutes between three phone calls from his phone to hers, both on and off duty. Officer Gonzalez admitted the

calls started as a follow-up to "checkup" on [redacted] well-being, to the conversation getting personal. Officer Gonzalez also said the calls turned to them "getting to know each other."

Even though Officer Gonzalez listed [redacted] husband, as the suspect in his case, Officer Gonzalez failed to arrest [redacted] on this date when he established it was a 273.5 PC case. This made [redacted] domestic violence case an active investigation.

In the days following the initial case, Officer Gonzalez stated he and [redacted] had established a personal relationship with each other. On March 5, 2025, Officer Gonzalez called [redacted] off-duty and had a 24-minute conversation with her. During this phone conversations, Officer Gonzalez stated he and [redacted] agreed to see each other the next day.

On March 6, 2025, at 0533 hours, Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] home immediately after logging on. Between 0533 and 0556 hours, Officer Gonzalez admitted to having sex with [redacted]

Later that morning, at 1133 hours, per CAD, [redacted] called 911 to preserve the peace while he retrieved items from [redacted] residence. As part of the original domestic violence incident between [redacted] and [redacted] from February 28, 2025, Detectives from the Gun Violence Restraining Order (GVRO) unit also responded to collect [redacted] firearms as part of the case. [redacted] left the location prior to officers arriving. [redacted] was eventually contacted at another location and arrested about an hour later since he violated the restraining order by going to [redacted] house.

Officer Gonzalez's unit history shows that he put himself on the call at 1251 hours, which was after [redacted] was arrested. AVL shows Officer Gonzalez going to [redacted] house at 1254 hours. In his interview Officer Gonzalez said he was there to assist in the collection of [redacted] firearms per the GVRO. Officer Gonzalez also said, "I was there to make sure that [redacted] was going to give up the guns from - that she knew [redacted] had to - because she was just worried that he was going to be super mad if he had lost his guns." I watched the BWC for the officers who were there, and Officer Gonzalez was not one of them. Officer Gonzalez later stated during his interview he used department resources to look up [redacted] status as an arrestee at the San Diego Central Jail. Officer Gonzalez mentioned he possibly used SDLAW to look up [redacted] arrest status. Officer Gonzalez was asked if he believed by establishing a relationship with [redacted] if it was appropriate to look up [redacted] using department resources (SDLAW). Officer Gonzalez replied, "No, sir. I wasn't doing it for any misconduct or ill intent. I just looked him up to see what charges he got hit with."

In his interview, Officer Gonzalez stated he obtained [redacted] phone number from the Field Interview (FI) pad that he used to collect [redacted] information for the crime report. Officer Gonzalez was asked that by calling [redacted] on dates other than February 28, 2025, that this could potentially result in a conflict of interest with any active investigation or potential future investigation between [redacted] and [redacted] Officer Gonzalez replied, "Yes." Officer Gonzalez was also asked if it was a conflict of interest to be sleeping

with [redacted] and then later going to her house for a domestic violence call where she was the victim and [redacted] was the suspect, to which he replied, "I do, sir."

Officer Gonzalez established a relationship with [redacted] a victim of an active domestic violence case that Officer Gonzalez wrote the initial report for. The morning [redacted] was arrested, Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] house to have sex with her. Later when [redacted] was arrested, Officer Gonzalez put himself on the call and went to [redacted] house to, in his words, "To help out with the investigation and to make sure…Just help out the investigation." Officer Gonzalez also said he went to [redacted] house to provide emotional support and to help the GVRO unit collect ammunition and a few guns. There is no evidence to suggest that he actually assisted with the investigation since he wrote no report detailing what he did. He also has no BWC footage from that day showing himself at [redacted] house assisting in any kind of investigation.

Due to Officer Gonzalez's relationship with [redacted] there existed a clear conflict of interest between participants in a domestic violence case, the San Diego Police Department, and himself. Officer Gonzalez responded to a domestic violence radio call and used his position as a police officer to target a victim in a vulnerable state. While the case was active and ongoing, he had the ability to impact the case through influence with a domestic violence victim. He also had access to a departmental resources, such as but not limited to, NETRMS, SDLAW, SRFERS, etc., where he could obtain information on the parties involved. Officer Gonzalez stated in his interview he looked up [redacted] arrest status for personal gains.

As the reporting officer for the initial domestic violence case between [redacted] the victim, and [redacted] the suspect, and establishing a relationship with [redacted] shows an obvious conflict of interest with the court system. Officer Gonzalez initially responded to investigate the domestic violence incident, where [redacted] was the reporting party. [redacted] later informed other officers that he believed Officer Gonzalez was having an affair with his wife. The day Officer Gonzalez had sex with [redacted] was the same day [redacted] went to [redacted] house to collect his Jeep, of which had several firearms inside that were later collected by officers. Not only did Officer Gonzalez's actions threaten the disposition of the ongoing court case, but there was also a potential his involvement in dating [redacted] could have escalated domestic violence in the home and compromised the safety of [redacted] her children, or [redacted] When he established a relationship with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez stated in his interview he may have had a concern in the back of his head of [redacted] showing up at [redacted] house when he was there. If [redacted] came to the house when Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] were having sex, this could have been a potentially dangerous situation based on the already volatile relationship between [redacted] and [redacted] Additionally, if it was discovered that he was in a relationship with a domestic violence victim, it would show bias on his part and would taint the case. Officer Gonzalez knew the domestic violence case was still ongoing. When asked if he had any concern about his involvement with the victim [redacted] messing up the court process, Officer Gonzalez replied, "Yes, I knew what I was doing wasn't professional."

Deputy City Attorney Benjamin Bertran-Harris sent a CRE (Complaint Request Evaluation) to Detective Peggy Anderson July 23, 2025, stating no charges would be filed against [redacted] This CRE was filed after Officer Gonzalez and [redacted] had already established a sexual relationship. Because of his actions causing a conflict of interest, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

5. PROCEDURE – SUSTAINED

Officer Gonzalez failed to arrest a domestic violence suspect after making the determination the case he was investigating was a felony domestic violence incident.

San Diego Police Department Policy 9.02 OBEDIENCE TO RULES POLICY (Revised 01/26/04) states:

> Members shall not commit any acts nor fail to perform any acts that constitute a violation of the policies, procedures, directives or orders of the Department, the City of San Diego Administrative Regulations, the Personnel Regulations Manual, the Civil Service Rules, or the City Charter

San Diego Police Department Procedure 4.04(V)(B)(2) states:

> A physical arrest shall be made when there is probable cause to believe that a felony has occurred.

On February 28, 2025, Officer Gonzalez responded to [redacted] to speak to [redacted] about a domestic violence incident. Prior to meeting [redacted] Officer Gonzalez spoke to [redacted] at the IHOP on Balboa Avenue and Mount Abernathy Avenue. During this interaction with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez determined the call was at least a domestic violence incident per 13730(I) PC, where there was no actual violence report between two domestic parties. After speaking with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez decided to speak with [redacted] at [redacted] While speaking with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez determined the case increased to a felony case due to visible injuries sustained by [redacted] after [redacted] put his hands on her.

During his interview, Officer Gonzalez stated after speaking with [redacted] he had enough to detain [redacted] per 273.5 PC (corporal injury to a spouse, a felony). Officer Gonzalez told us he knew the elements per 273.5 PC, which he said, "Spousal abuse with an injury."

California Penal Code Section 273.5(a) PC states in part:

> A person who willfully inflicts corporal injury resulting in a traumatic condition upon a victim described in subdivision (b) is guilty of a felony, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years, or in a county jail for not more than one year, or by a fine of up to six thousand dollars ($6,000), or by both that fine and imprisonment.

After speaking with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez went to his patrol vehicle and saw [redacted] had just returned home. At this point Officer Gonzalez established a felony domestic violence incident occurred and [redacted] was the suspect. Officer Gonzalez said the [police] radio was "blowing up," meaning the air was busy to put out a transmission. Officer Gonzalez said he decided to walk away from it and to just write the report instead of arresting [redacted]

San Diego Police Department Procedure 4.04 (III)(A) states in part:

> PC 13701(b) mandates that all police departments shall develop, adopt, and implement written policies that "shall encourage the arrest of domestic violence offenders if there is probable cause that an offense has been committed."

California Penal Code 13701(b) states in part:

> ….Peace officers shall make reasonable efforts to identify the dominant aggressor in any incident. The dominant aggressor is the person determined to be the most significant, rather than the first, aggressor. In identifying the dominant aggressor, an officer shall consider the intent of the law to protect victims of domestic violence from continuing abuse, the threats creating fear of physical injury, the history of domestic violence between the persons involved, and whether either person acted in self-defense. Notwithstanding subdivision (d), law enforcement agencies shall develop these policies with the input of local domestic violence agencies.

During his investigation, Officer Gonzalez determined the case was a felony domestic violence incident per 273.5 PC. Officer Gonzalez identified [redacted] as the suspect or dominant aggressor. Officer Gonzalez came into contact with [redacted] outside of [redacted] house as he was finishing his interview with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez knew [redacted] was the suspect and chose not to arrest him for a felony at this time, instead choosing to just write the report. Officer Gonzalez said the radio was "blowing up", or busy, at the time and could not ask for a cover unit to assist him with the arrest. Even though the radio air might be busy, it is not uncommon to interrupt the transmission and request a cover unit to arrest a felony suspect. It is also possible to switch to another frequency to a neighboring division and ask for a cover unit that way. Officer Gonzalez said in hindsight, he should have tried to get a cover unit on the radio.

Officer Gonzalez failed to comply with San Diego Police Procedure 4.04 by not arresting [redacted] when he had the chance. Department procedure states a physical arrest **shall** be made when there is probable cause to believe that a felony has occurred. Officer Gonzalez received a statement from [redacted] that [redacted] had caused the injury to her lip. There was a potential for violence to continue after Officer Gonzalez left [redacted] house. Officer Gonzalez told [redacted] he was now the suspect in the domestic violence case but told him he wasn't going to arrest him at that time. Because of this, [redacted] could harbor ill feelings towards [redacted] By not arresting [redacted] when he had the chance, Officer Gonzalez put [redacted] safety in question since [redacted] was right outside of [redacted] house, of which [redacted] still had legal standing. [redacted] at this point, had not officially moved out of the house and had access to it. On March 6, 2025, [redacted] was arrested, and his firearms were removed as a GVRO order. That means on February 28,2025, [redacted] had access to those firearms. [redacted] told Officer Gonzalez he and [redacted] had already been in a heated argument prior to his arrival. [redacted] showed Officer Gonzalez an injury [redacted] inflicted upon her. The likelihood another incident could have happened between [redacted] and [redacted] was high based on the statements of both, as well as statements from their children.

Officer Gonzalez had the obligation to protect [redacted] a domestic violence victim, and [redacted] a domestic violence suspect. Instead of taking action as required by law and departmental procedures, and arresting Scallion when he should have, Officer Gonzalez decided to just write the report. This action compromised [redacted] safety as [redacted] had free reign to enter the house whenever he pleased. Based on these facts, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

6. CONDUCT (PERFORMANCE OF DUTY) – SUSTAINED

Officer Gonzalez failed to adhere to performance standards. He failed to use good judgment by not arresting a domestic violence suspect after making the determination the case was a felony domestic violence incident. He also failed to establish and maintain a good rapport with [redacted] by making an [redacted] who was the victim/reporting party, wait 51 minutes for his arrival.

San Diego Police Department Police 9.15 PERFORMANCE OF DUTY POLICY (Revised 01/26/04) states in part:

> Members shall maintain sufficient competency to properly perform their duties and assume the responsibilities of their positions. Members shall perform their duties in a manner that will maintain the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the Department. Unsatisfactory performance may be demonstrated by, but is not limited to, a lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced, an unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks, or the failure to conform to work standards established for the member's rank, grade or position.
>
> Officers shall not fail to take appropriate action on the occasion of a crime disorder or other condition deserving police attention or be absent without leave or be unnecessarily absent from their assigned area during a tour of duty.

As described in Allegation 5, Officer Gonzalez stated that after speaking with [redacted] he had enough to arrest [redacted] per 273.5 PC. Officer Gonzalez even told us he knew the elements per 273.5 PC, which he said, "Spousal abuse with an injury." After speaking with [redacted] Officer Gonzalez went to his patrol vehicle and saw [redacted] had just returned home. At this point Officer Gonzalez established a felony domestic violence incident occurred and [redacted] was the suspect. Officer Gonzalez said the [police] radio was "blowing up," meaning the air was busy to put out a transmission. Officer Gonzalez said he decided to walk away from it and to just write the report instead of arresting [redacted] placing the domestic violence victim, [redacted] at risk of further aggression from [redacted] Officer Gonzalez willingly chose not to arrest [redacted] after determining he was the suspect in the case he was dispatched to investigate. By not arresting [redacted] when he had the chance, Officer Gonzalez put [redacted] safety in question since [redacted] was right outside of [redacted] house, of which [redacted] still had legal standing. Officer Gonzalez had received a statement from [redacted] that [redacted] had caused the injury to her lip. There was a potential for violence to continue after Officer Gonzalez left [redacted] house.

Officer Gonzalez admitted to going to [redacted] home and had sex with her on March 6, 2025. Officer Gonzalez admitted to this act while on duty. Officer Gonzalez was on an unrelated radio call for a stolen vehicle recovery report. When this happened, the victim on the unrelated radio call had to stand by his found stolen vehicle for at least 51 minutes before Officer Gonzalez arrived at his location.

As described in Allegation 3, Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] home on [redacted] on-duty, and had sex with her. At the time Officer Gonzalez was dispatched a stolen vehicle recovery report where the victim/reporting party, [redacted] was standing by his found stolen vehicle. The location of the stolen vehicle was on [redacted] Officer Gonzalez was dispatched to the call at 0530 hours but did not immediately respond to the scene. Officer Gonzalez diverted and went to [redacted] house, where he had sex with her. Officer Gonzalez arrived at her house at 0533 hours.

Officer Gonzalez left [redacted] house at 0556 hours.

Officer Gonzalez did not immediately go to the location of the stolen vehicle but instead went to the intersection of Genessee Avenue and Mount Alifan Drive for nine minutes. This was in the opposite direction of [redacted]. Officer Gonzalez was there for nine minutes before he finally left to go to [redacted]

Per AVL, Officer Gonzalez did not get there until 0621 hours, where the [redacted] was still waiting. It took Officer Gonzalez 51 minutes to respond to [redacted] after getting dispatched the call.

In summary, Officer Gonzalez was dispatched the 10851R incident on [redacted] was standing by his found stolen vehicle waiting for an officer to conduct the recovery investigation. Instead of responding immediately to a location where [redacted] was at the scene of a crime, Officer Gonzalez went to [redacted] house, where he had sex with her on-duty. It took Officer Gonzalez 51 minutes to get to [redacted]

Officer Gonzalez failed to maintain sufficient competency to perform his duties and assume the responsibility of his position as a police officer. San Diego Police Officers are required to use time, equipment, materials, and other resources efficiently and economically. During the initial domestic violence investigation, Officer Gonzalez chose to activate his BWC when he spoke to [redacted] and his children. Officer Gonzalez chose not to activate his BWC when he went to [redacted] and speak with [redacted] Even though procedures state BWC "should" be activated, he was not required to at the time. Officer Gonzalez had the option to activate his BWC but chose not. By activating his BWC, it could've helped with the domestic violence investigation by showing a visual representation of [redacted] status as a domestic violence victim.

San Diego Police Officers are required to make timely, sound decisions based on available information and experience. Officer Gonzalez failed to arrest a felony domestic violence suspect when he had the chance, placing the domestic violence victim in potential danger. When Officer Gonzalez chose not to arrest [redacted] when he could for domestic violence, he failed to take appropriate action for a crime that deserved police attention. By doing so, Officer Gonzalez showed lack of competency in his job as a police officer.

On another incident, Officer Gonzalez failed to immediately respond to a scene, where [redacted] was standing by his found stolen vehicle because he was having sex with a domestic violence victim. By doing this, Officer Gonzalez failed to establish and maintain a good rapport with [redacted] When Officer Gonzalez chose to go to [redacted] home and had sex with her on duty instead of responding to the stolen vehicle call, he was absent from his assigned area during his on-duty status. By not responding immediately to the scene, Officer Gonzalez failed to show good judgment with citizens by making them wait 51 minutes before he arrived. Officer Gonzalez did not use his time, equipment, and materials efficiently and economically. Also, by making an [redacted] with his found stolen vehicle wait for his arrival, Officer Gonzalez failed to make a good, sound decision based on the information he received.

Officer Gonzalez's actions were not in the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the San Diego Police Department. Based on Officer Gonzalez's actions in these two incidents, the allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

DOCUMENTATION:

The results of this investigation are based on the following items:

1. Photographs of the call history on [redacted] cell phone
2. Statements from Officer [redacted] and Officer Gonzalez
3. AVL history of Officer Gonzalez between February 2025 and July 2025.
4. BWC (Officer Gonzalez, Sgt. [redacted] Officer [redacted]
5. Audio interviews (Officer [redacted] Officer Gonzalez)
6. Audio recordings from incidents that included Officer Gonzalez
7. Axon photographs from Officer Gonzalez dated February 28, 2025
8. CAD printouts for incidents involving Officer Gonzalez
9. Crime reports (written by Officer Gonzalez and Officer [redacted]
10. Documentation (County and CLETS audits)
11. Officer Daily Journals for Officer Gonzalez
12. Photographs (screenshots [redacted] phone history, screenshot of [redacted] Google search of phone number [redacted] evidence photographs for Case [redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL: THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.



Reporting Officer: Patrick Laco, Detective Sergeant ID: 5864 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Carmelin Rivera, Lieutenant Date of Report: December 4, 2025

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 20, 2025

TO: Miguel Gonzalez, Police Officer II, Northern Division

FROM: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Case 2025-0276

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a internal complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. Conduct
2. Performance Of Duty
3. Procedure

Additional allegations may arise during the investigation.

This investigation stems from information from a domestic violence event you responded to. The event number was [redacted]

Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Please review your own Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage. I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or BWC footage other than your own prior to the interview. Internal Affairs Detective Sergeant Laco will contact you to schedule an interview.

As a subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

I hereby order you not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Department Policy 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy).

I order you to cooperate with the investigation and answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Department Policy 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy). Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. Any dishonesty or lack of cooperation during the investigation will be reported to P.O.S.T. and may lead to your P.O.S.T. certificate being suspended or revoked.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

I acknowledge that any allegation which meets SB2 criteria shall be reported to P.O.S.T.

I acknowledge that any allegation or sustained finding which meets SB1421 or SB16 criteria may be disclosed to the public.

I acknowledge that if I resign prior to the completion of the investigation, the investigation will continue until it is complete. Upon conclusion of the investigation and any applicable appeals process, if the case is determined to be responsive to a request made pursuant to SB 1421 or SB16, the information may be released with appropriate redactions.

[redacted] 08-27-2025

Subject Officer Signature — Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

[redacted] MAKHANA /LT/6696 08-27-2025

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# — Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-[redacted] within 10 days.

Detective Sergeant Patrick Laco
Internal Affairs

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

12 New Message > | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (35 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 02/28/25 - 02/28/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	UC				02/28/25 06:23:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				02/28/25 06:35:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:21:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:50:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - IRQVC:.OLN[redacted]	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:51:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - ID L1:.OLN/[redacted]	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	CL	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:09:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		02/28/25 09:47:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:54:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TR	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:59:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TA	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 10:06:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	A		02/28/25 11:26:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:14	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 12:54:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:40:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CL	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 14:32:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				02/28/25 15:34:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				02/28/25 15:34:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Device 760638 removed from employee 1140	745154

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

12 New Message > | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (35 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 02/28/25 - 02/28/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	UC				02/28/25 06:23:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				02/28/25 06:35:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:21:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:50:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - IR QVC:.OLN[redacted]	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:51:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - ID L1:.OLN[redacted]	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	CL	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:09:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		02/28/25 09:47:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	[redacted]		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:54:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TR	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:59:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TA	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 10:06:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	A		02/28/25 11:26:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:14	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 12:54:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6135 BALBOA AVE SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:40:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	ORTEN ST/MAGDALENE WAY SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CL	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	4700 ORTEN ST SD		745154
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 14:32:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745154

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				02/28/25 15:34:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				02/28/25 15:34:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Device 760638 removed from employee 1140	745154

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

12 New Message > | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (40 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 03/06/25 - 03/06/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC				03/06/25 04:53:07	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				03/06/25 05:03:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		SC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:33:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		EARLY CAR	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - IR QVC:.OLN/[redacted]	7451

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - ID L1:.OLN[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		03/06/25 07:42:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:10:59	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:11:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:24:19	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CU	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:27:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Alarm Timer Extended: 0	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 08:45:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	ER	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:34:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 10:16:51	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	4439 OLNEY ST SD: @PBSF		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	4439 OLNEY ST SD: @PBSF		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 11:15:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	AV	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	7451

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:54:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J1CLETS- IA QV:.LIC/[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J1CLETS- IV:.LIC/[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 14:12:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				03/06/25 14:12:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				03/06/25 14:12:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Device 00037088/MDT/Default System removed from employee 1140	7451

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

12 New Message > | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (40 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 03/06/25 - 03/06/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC				03/06/25 04:53:07	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				03/06/25 05:03:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		SC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:33:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		EARLY CAR	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - IR QVC:.OLN/[redacted]	7451

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1140CLETS - ID L1:.OLN[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		03/06/25 07:42:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:10:59	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:11:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:24:19	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CU	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:27:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Alarm Timer Extended: 0	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 08:45:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	ER	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:34:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 10:16:51	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	4439 OLNEY ST SD: @PBSF		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	4439 OLNEY ST SD: @PBSF		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 11:15:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	AV	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	7451

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Un Devi
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:54:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	6401 BALBOA AVE SD		7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J1CLETS- IA QV:.LIC[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J1] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J1CLETS- IV:.LIC[redacted]	7451
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 14:12:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			7451
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				03/06/25 14:12:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				03/06/25 14:12:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Device 00037088/MDT/Default System removed from employee 1140	7451

[redacted] - 368R - ABUSE OF ELDER ADULT REPORT

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/13/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
368R	[redacted]	2	A		P1	[redacted]	R	tct17		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	112	112	03/13/25 07:35:40	03/13/25 10:43:37	03/13/25 10:43:38	03/13/25 11:43:30	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific


[redacted]]OCC 03/12/2025 // RP RECEIVED A MESSAGE ON HER COMPUTER TO CALL APPLE CARE, RP CALLED AND THEN WAS TRANSFERRED TO A PERSON WHO WORKED FOR CHASE// SUBJ INSTRUCTED RP TO PURCHASE GIFT CARDS TOTALING $14,000 THEN SENT IT TO THEM// UNK SUBJ CALLING FROM [redacted]
ROUTED 112S1
112S1 T4
487

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2:

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

San Diego Police Dept
Crime/Incident Report

Case No. [redacted]

CAD Event No.: [redacted] Case Disposition: **Active**

Primary Victim: [redacted] Report No. [redacted]

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge:	368(D)(1) - PC - THEFT OF ELDER/DEPENDENT ADULT (EMBEZZLEMENT OVER $950) (F)		
Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]			Occurred On: 03/12/2025 08:30:20 (Wednesday)
Jurisdiction: Clairemont Mesa West - San Diego	Beat: 112	Call Source: Unknown	(and Between):
Means:		Motives:	

VICTIM/S

Victim #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Victim Type:	Interpreter Language:
[redacted]	I - Individual	

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: 368(D)(1) - PC - THEFT OF ELDER/DEPENDENT ADULT (EMBEZZLEMENT OVER $950) (F)								County Residence: R - Resident
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]					Res. Country: US		Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
Race: W	Sex: F	Date of Birth / Age: [redacted]	Height: 5' 4"	Weight: 140	Hair Color: BRO	Eye Color: BRO	Facial Hair: 12 - None/Fuzz	Complexion: FAR - Fair
Employment Status:		Occupation/Grade:		Employer/School:			Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:	

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	CA	US
SSN - SSN	[redacted]		US

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:

IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
368(D)(1) - PC - THEFT OF ELDER/DEPENDENT ADULT (EMBEZZLEMENT OVER $950) (F)	F	PR	Yes		C - Computer Equipment

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
RA - Single Family Home	88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)	No

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

ARRESTEE/S

SUSPECT/S (Not Yet Arrested)

Suspect #1

Name:	County Residence:	Interpreter Language:
SUSPECT, UNKNOWN	R - Resident	

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	Northern Patrol (Northern)	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
3/14/2025 5:38:03 AM	[redacted]	03/14/2025 15:03:20

Home Address, City, State, ZIP:				Res. Country:		Place of Birth:		Undocumented:
Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
X	M	00						
Hair Style:		Hair Length:		Build:		Teeth:		Suspected User:
Employment Status:		Occupation/Grade:		Employer/School:		Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:		

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:

Attire:	Suspect Actions:
	,

WITNESSES

OTHER ENTITIES

PROPERTY

Property Item #1.000 - 14300 loss

Derivative No.:	0	Property Category:	2102 - US Currency - Bills, Coins		
Status:	S - Stolen (Bribe/Defraud/Embezzle/Ransom/Extort/Etc.)	Count:	1	Value:	$14,300.00
Manufacturer:		Model:			
Serial No.:		Model Year:		OAN:	
Color:		Caliber:			
Body Style:		Recovered/ Seized Date:			
Owner:	CO: V1 - [redacted]	Disposition:			
Evidence Tag:		Alert(s):			
Drug Type:		Drug Quantity:			
Search Warrant:					
Notes:					

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

On 03-12-2025, at approximately 0830 hours, [redacted] (80 years old) was scammed into giving $14,300.00 in gift cards to an unknown male posing as a Chase Bank employee. The scam happened over the phone and took place at [redacted] is a victim of 368 (D)(1) PC.

[redacted] can not identify the male. There is no one in custody at this time.

ORIGIN:

On 03-13-2025, at approximately 0900 hours, I responded to a radio call regarding elder abuse at [redacted] I was dressed in full police uniform and driving a marked police vehicle.

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	Northern Patrol (Northern)	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
3/14/2025 5:38:03 AM	[redacted]	03/14/2025 15:03:20

INVESTIGATION:

Upon arrival, I was able to speak with the reporting party, [redacted]. She told me the following.

STATEMENTS:

Paraphrased statement of [redacted](victim):

I was on my Apple computer looking at the weekly ads for Vons. I do not know what I pressed. All of a sudden the screen said ALERT from Apple and a lady's voice was saying to call a number that was on the screen. I called the number [redacted]. A male answered and said they were an Apple representative and told me there was a breach with my bank. They asked the bank I am with and when I said Chase, they then transferred me to "Chase". A male answered again and told me that my bank account was compromised and that I needed to removed the money and send it to a separate account via gift cards. They told me that there may be bank tellers in on it and not to answer their questions. I withdrew a total of $28,600.00 in cash. I started going to different stores to get the gift cards. CVS, Lowe's, Ralphs, Vons and Target. I was able to get $16,300.00 in gift cards and spend the rest of the day on the phone giving the "Chase employee" the gift card numbers. They gave me a call back number of [redacted] They wanted me to send the rest but my grand daughter called me and I told her what was going on. She told me to call the police because I was getting scammed. I called the following morning. I will go deposit the money I did not spend back to the bank and tell them what happened.

END STATEMENTS

After speaking with [redacted] she gave me the used gift cards. There are 16 Nordstrom Gift Cards and 16 Target Gift Cards. She gave me the receipts which came out to a total loss of $16,300.00. I provided [redacted] with a Marsy's Card and a case number. I later impounded the gift cards and receipts as evidence at the Northern substation.

APS was informed of the incident.
EVIDENCE:

See barcode: [redacted]

INJURY:

None.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None.

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date
3/14/2025 5:38:03 AM	[redacted]	**03/14/2025 15:03:20**

RELATED REPORTS:

None.

FOLLOW-UP:

None.

[redacted] - HC - DISTURBING PEACE UNCONC WEAPON - HOT CALL

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/13/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
415W	[redacted]	0	A	ANI/ALI	P1	[redacted]	A	tct27		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	0	P1	116	116	03/13/25 09:20:32	03/13/25 09:20:48	03/13/25 09:33:44	03/13/25 17:04:11	[redacted]	$114G1	[redacted]	114G1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific


[redacted] - [redacted]]WPH2 -117.188544 32.7854669
]ANOTHER COSNTRUCTION WORKER HOLDING A KNIFE, THREATENIGN TO SLASH RP'S TIRES / /** HC **
FYI SENT TO LDET
112S1 T4
SUSP WM 28YO 5'10 THIN WRG FLANNEL SHIRT WHT PANTS // 5-6 INCH KNIFE
SS DNA
MALE HEARD YELLING AT RP IN BACKGROUND // RP WRG BLK SHIRT
[redacted]]Duplicate Event:Loc: [redacted] , xstreets: JULY ST/LISTER ST, Type: 415 - DISTURBING PEACE, Caller Name: [redacted] , Caller Ph Number [redacted] , Caller Address: [redacted] , Call Source: ANI/ALI, Alarm Level: 0
[redacted]]WPH2 -117.188243 32.7861106
]REQ PD // SAYS PARTNERS ARE CAUSING A DISTURBANCE // #1 MALE - [redacted] , WM, 40YO, UNDER 5FT, HEAVY, WRNG DRK SHORT SLEEVE SHIRT AND BLU PANTS // UNK WPNS, UNK 647F/11550 // #2 MALE IS [redacted] , WM, UNDER 5FT AND HEAVY // RP REF TO ANSWER IF HE SAW A WPN ON [redacted] // AND CLAIMS HE DOES NOT HAVE A WPN // REF TO ANSWER DISP QUESTIONS AND SAID YOU HAVE MY STATEMENT AND RESPONSE TIME IS BEING MEASURED // SAID HE WILL BE HANGING UP THE LINE
[redacted]]End of Duplicate Event data
SUSP HALF BECOMING MORE CONFRONTATIONAL
[redacted]]RP ADVISED FIRST NAME IS [redacted] // CLAIMED COWORKER ARE SAYING HE HAS A KNIFE // RP ADVISED HE DOESNT HAVE A WPN AND THEN SAID HE

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: JULY ST
X-STREET 2: LISTER ST

Location Choices



CARRYS A WORK KNIFE, THAT HE ISNT THREATENING ANYONE
SUSP HALF NOW ENTERING THE HOME // CLIENT IS INSIDE W/ POSS 2 OTHERS
SUSP EXITED THE HOME // RP AND BRO WENT INSIDE // SUSP DOES NOT HAVE A VEH
SUSP WILL BE ACROSS THE STREET SPEAKING W/ ANOTHER NABOR
UTS W/ RP
116B1 -- EMERGENCY TONE ** NR ON AIR
114G1 -- SUSP UNCOOP // 1 AT TASER POINT
116B1 -- DETAINING 1
112S1 -- C4 DROP TONE
112S1 -- W/ A 1016
112S1 -- REQING WRAP NONU
112S1 -- SUBJ COMPLIANT FOR NOW
114G1 -- WILL BE APPLYING THE WRAP
114B1 -- SUBJ HAS BEEN OC SPRAYED
FYI SENT TO LD01
112S1 -- WRAP APPROVED
112S1 -- SUBJS EYES WERE FLUSHED WITH WATER
ROUTED LDET
114G1 -- TRANSPORTING ONE TO HQ, 113B1 FOLLOWING
114G1 -- PULLING OVE RAT 2600 CLAIREMONT DR
114G1 -- CORRECTION, PULLING OVER AT 2600 CLAIREMONT DR
114G1 -- ADJUSTED THE SEATBELT, BACK ENRT TO HQ

CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 2

Make :

Model Year : 0
Model :
License : [redacted]
Remarks:
License Type :
State :
Vehicle Color :
License Year :
Unit ID : 112S1

Model Year : 0
Model :
License : [redacted]
Remarks:
License Type :
Make :
State :
Vehicle Color :
License Year :
Unit ID : 114G1

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

San Diego Police Dept
Crime/Incident Report

Case No. [redacted]

CAD Event No.: [redacted] Case Disposition: **Active**

Primary Victim: [redacted] Report No. [redacted]

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge: **10851 (A) - VC - TAKE VEHICLE W/O OWNER'S CONSENT/VEHICLE THEFT (F)**			
Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]			Occurred On: **03/05/2025 20:00:30 (Wednesday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - San Diego**	Beat: **116**	Call Source: **Unknown**	(and Between): **03/06/2025 05:30:01 (Thursday)**
Means:		Motives:	

VICTIM/S

Victim #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer		
Name: [redacted]	Victim Type: **I - Individual**	Interpreter Language:

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: **10851 (A) - VC - TAKE VEHICLE W/O OWNER'S CONSENT/VEHICLE THEFT (F)**								County Residence: **R - Resident**
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]					Res. Country: **US**		Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
Race: **W**	Sex: **M**	Date of Birth / Age: [redacted]	Height: **5' 10"**	Weight: **200**	Hair Color: **BRO**	Eye Color: **BLU**	Facial Hair: **12 - None/Fuzz**	Complexion:
Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:			Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:			

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	**CA**	**US**

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:

IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
10851 (A) - VC - TAKE VEHICLE W/O OWNER'S CONSENT/VEHICLE THEFT (F)	**F**	**PR**	**Yes**		**N - Not Applicable**

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
RA - Single Family Home	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**No**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

ARRESTEE/S

SUSPECT/S (Not Yet Arrested)

Suspect #1

Name:	County Residence:	Interpreter Language:
SUSPECT, UNKNOWN	**U - Unknown**	

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date **3/6/2025 9:07:35 AM**	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date **03/06/2025 14:19:46**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	**First:**	**Middle:**	**Last:**	**Suffix:**

Home Address, City, State, ZIP:	Res. Country:	Place of Birth:	Undocumented:

Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
X	U	00						

Hair Style:	Hair Length:	Build:	Teeth:	Suspected User:

Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:	Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:

CONTACT INFORMATION:	
Type:	Number/Address:

IDENTIFICATION:			
Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:	
Attire:	Suspect Actions: ,

WITNESSES

OTHER ENTITIES

PROPERTY

Property Item #1.000 - toy tac wht

Derivative No.:	0	Property Category:	3500 - Automobile/Light Truck (Locally Stolen)		
Status:	S - Stolen (Bribe/Defraud/Embezzle/Ransom/Extort/Etc.)	Count:	1	Value:	$25,000.00
Manufacturer:	Toyota	Model:	Tacoma		
Serial No.:		Model Year:	2017	OAN:	
Color:	White	Caliber:			
Body Style:	PK - Pickup	Recovered/ Seized Date:			
Owner:	CO: V1 - [redacted]	Disposition:			
Evidence Tag:		Alert(s):			
Drug Type:		Drug Quantity:			
Search Warrant:					
Notes:					

Additional Vehicle / Plate Information

License No.:	License Type:	License Year:	License State:	Number of Plates:	Registration Expiration:	Odometer Reading:
[redacted]	PC - Regular Passenger Auto	2026	CA - California	2	Jan 2026	

VIN:	VIN Clear SVS?	Lic. Clear SVS?	Engine Number:	Owner's Valuation:
[redacted]	Yes	Yes		

Vehicle Condition:	Vehicle Items:

Vehicle Tires/Wheels

Left Front:	Right Front:	Left Rear:	Right Rear:	Spare:	Hub Caps:	Special Wheels:
GOOD - Good	GOOD - Good	GOOD - Good	GOOD - Good			

Identifying Marks, Damage, Interior (Describe Color(s). If Customized, Etc.):
Off road wheels

Insurance Carrier:	Policy Number:	Vehicle Payments Current?

Vehicle Security / Anti-Theft Devices:	Towed To / Stored At:	Drivable?

Location Towed / Recovered From:	Location Stolen From:

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	Northern Patrol (Northern)	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
3/6/2025 9:07:35 AM		03/06/2025 14:19:46

Tow Company:	Tow Company Address:	Tow Company Phone:
Storage Authority / Reason:	Release to Owner Authorized:	Agency Hold:
Originating Agency:	Org. Agency Case Number:	Org. Agency Date of Theft:

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

Between the dates and hours of 03-05-2025 at 2000 hours and 03-06-2025 at 0530 hours, unknown subject(s) took [redacted] 2017 Toyota Tacoma [redacted] without permission from [redacted]

No one is in custody.

ORIGIN:

On 03-06-2025, at 0540 hours, I responded to a radio call regarding a stolen vehicle at [redacted] I was dressed in full police uniform and driving a marked police vehicle.

INVESTIGATION:

Upon arrival I spoke with the reporting party, [redacted] He told me the following.

STATEMENTS:

[redacted] I last saw my truck last night at 2000 hours on 03-05-2025. I set the alarm. When I was leaving to work on 03-06-2025, at 0530 hours. My truck was gone. It was a white Toyota Tacoma with off road tires and wheels. I called the police.

END STATEMENT

There were no cameras in the area that captured the incident. I provided [redacted] with a case number and reported the vehicle thief to Teletype at 0645 hours. A CLETS response came back informing me that the vehicle was recorded leaving the port of entry from San Ysidro into Mexico at 0504 hours.

EVIDENCE:

None.

INJURY:

None.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None.

RELATED REPORTS:

None.

[redacted] - DV - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE,REPORT
6135 BALBOA AVE SD

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 02/28/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
DV	[redacted]	2	C	ANI/ALI	P1	[redacted]	R,CAN	tct03		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	111	111	02/28/25 06:58:45			02/28/25 14:34:05	[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific


WPH2 -117.174124 32.8144025
OCC THIS MORNING // RP IN 415 W/ WIFE - VERBAL ONLY NOT PHYSICAL // RP BELIEVES WIFE IS TRYING TO PROVOKE HIM BY RECORDING THEIR ARGUMENT // / RP LEFT HOME W HIS 2 CHILDREN TO IHOP FOR BREAKFAST // WIFE IS [redacted] DOB [redacted] WRG UNK // NO WPNS INVOLVED RP SAYS THAT HE DOES HAVE A COUPLE GUNS IN THE HOUSE - REFUSED TO GIVE ADD FOR HOUSE // 647F WIFE SMOKES MARIJUANA DAILY // RP IS WMA [redacted] WRG: GRY TANKTOP BEIGE SHORTS // NO WPNS // NO 647F WILL BE INS LOC WITH HIS TWO CHILDREN
SS DNA
RP CB RE DELAY// RP T4 OFCR ENRT
13730 pc
273.5 pc - not 13730

LOCATION INFORMATION

6135 BALBOA AVE SD

X-STREET 1: MOUNT ABERNATHY AVE
X-STREET 2: MOUNT RIAS PL

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: 6135 BALBOA AVE SD

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] - 10851R - CAR THEFT REPORT

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/06/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
10851R	[redacted]	2	A		P1	[redacted]	R	tct19		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	116	116	03/06/25 05:27:55	03/06/25 05:30:30	03/06/25 05:56:02	03/06/25 07:42:43	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

(●) Common ( ) Agency Specific


[redacted] WHT 17 TOYT TACOMA DOUBLE CAB PU TRK CA LIC [redacted] PLT 29 NO SUSP INFO IMPDS TOWS CHECK NEG NO LOJACK OR ONSTAR TRK LAST SEEN 1900 HR LAST NIGHT
[redacted] SS DNA
INFO ADDED TO 503CAR
AUP1 AUP9
10851
[redacted]
Teletype contacted

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2: ALLEY

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] - DV - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE,REPORT

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/06/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
DV	[redacted]	2	A		P1		K	tct10		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	112	112	03/06/25 09:25:25	03/06/25 09:27:00	03/06/25 09:34:48	03/06/25 10:16:51	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

(●) Common ( ) Agency Specific


** LOI search completed at 03/06/25 09:25:25
[redacted]RP'S EX GIRLF HAS BEEN USING HER FAMILY TO HARASS RP, FAMILY IS SENDING RP HARASSING MESSAGES, RP REQ 1110//EX GIRLF IS [redacted]WFA, DOB [redacted]5'5, HEAVY BUILD/NFD
AUP1
EXGF is [redacted]RP is EXBF. No DV. RP would like EXGF to bring back his items. EXGF lives in San Marcos, says that RP and come get items anytime. RP states when he arrives there she does not answer. I

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2 [redacted]

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

- Person 0
- Vehicle 0
- Property 0
- Contact Name 0
- Incident Times 0

[redacted] - FD - FLAG DOWN/FIELD INITIATED

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/06/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
FD	[redacted]	2	A	OFFICER	P1		K	trc12		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	122	122	03/06/25 10:22:09	03/06/25 10:22:09	03/06/25 10:22:10	03/06/25 11:15:25	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: GRAND AVE
X-STREET 2: BALBOA AVE

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name:
Phone:
Address:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

- Person 0
- Vehicle 0
- Property 0
- Contact Name 0
- Incident Times 0

[redacted] - 415PP - PRESERVE THE PEACE

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/06/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
415PP	[redacted]	2	A		P1	[redacted]	A	tct09		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	111	111	03/06/25 11:33:20	03/06/25 11:35:07	03/06/25 11:55:44	03/06/25 15:34:25	[redacted]	$115K1	[redacted]	115K1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific


[redacted]RP REQ 415PP TO RETRIEVE ITEMS FROM RESD // WIFE HAS TRO AGAINST RP, RP ADV PER ORDER HE CAN RETRIEVE ITEMS WITH PD ASSISTANCE // [redacted]MED, AVG, WRG UNK // NO WPNS // 11550 // RP WILL 1023 INS GRN CHEVY IMPALA CA-[redacted]// SS OFCR SAFETY NEARBY
RP CB, SAID WAITING AT THE BURGER KING, ON BALBOA
GV56 -- WILL CONTACT RP AT THE BURGER KING
115K1 -- CODE 4 ** WITH 1016

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2:

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone:
Address: 6401 BALBOA AVE SD

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 1

Sex : **Race** :

Hair :
Eye :
Age : 0
Height : 0
Weight : 0
Remarks:
Name :
DOB :
Unit ID : 115K1

Vehicle 3

Model Year : 0
Make :
State :
Model :
Vehicle Color :
License : [redacted]
License Year :
Remarks:
License Type :
Unit ID : GV56

Model Year : 0
Make :
State :
Model :
Vehicle Color :
License : [redacted]
License Year :
Remarks:
License Type :
Unit ID : 115K1

Model Year : 0
Make :
State :
Model :
Vehicle Color :
License : [redacted]
License Year :
Remarks:

License Type :

Unit ID : 112J1

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] - 368R - ABUSE OF ELDER ADULT REPORT

Normal View

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 03/13/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
368R	[redacted]	2	A		P1	[redacted]	R	tct17		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	112	112	03/13/25 07:35:40	03/13/25 10:43:37	03/13/25 10:43:38	03/13/25 11:43:30	1140	$112J1	[redacted]	112J1		

REMARKS

(•) Common ( ) Agency Specific


[redacted] OCC 03/12/2025 // RP RECEIVED A MESSAGE ON HER COMPUTER TO CALL APPLE CARE, RP CALLED AND THEN WAS TRANSFERRED TO A PERSON WHO WORKED FOR CHASE// SUBJ INSTRUCTED RP TO PURCHASE GIFT CARDS TOTALING $14,000 THEN SENT IT TO THEM// UNK SUBJ CALLING FROM [redacted]
ROUTED 112S1
112S1 T4
487

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2:

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] DV - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE REPORT

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 05/26/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
DV	[redacted]	2	A		P3	[redacted]	R,R	tct07		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P3	313	313	05/26/25 09:28:59	05/26/25 13:58:13		05/26/25 14:26:14	[redacted]	$313J1	[redacted]	313J1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific

[redacted] ESTRANGED WIFE IS VIOLATING THE TRO BY HAVING 3RD PARTIES CALL HIM [redacted] FEM [redacted] [redacted] DRIVES A BLU TOYT MATRIX [redacted] CA, POSS HAS RPS 'MISSING' GUNS, RP MADE 1110 A FEW DAYS AGO FOR GUNS, USES MARIJUANA, UNK 647F
** LOI search completed at 05/26/25 09:29:00
SS APPLIES
** Case number [redacted] has been assigned to event [redacted]
[redacted] RP CB TO REQ OFCR 1021 HIM FOR A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS RE 11-10
313J1 -- T4 ON LAST
** LOI search completed at 05/26/25 11:54:44
** Event held for 600 minutes and unit 313J1
** Event [redacted] closed.
** Event [redacted] has been reopened at: 05/26/25 13:58:10
** LOI search completed at 05/26/25 13:58:11
** Event [redacted] closed.

LOCATION INFORMATION

[redacted]

X-STREET 1: [redacted]
X-STREET 2:

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] 1021 - PHONE YOUR STATION
4275 EASTGATE MALL SD: @NORTHERN DIVISION

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted] 06/01/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
1021	[redacted]	3	A		P1		O	tct13		[redacted]

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	3	P1	115	115	06/01/25 23:03:21	06/01/25 23:52:50	06/01/25 23:52:51	06/02/25 04:50:18	[redacted]	$115S3	[redacted]	115S3		

REMARKS

(●) Common ( ) Agency Specific

** LOI search completed at 06/01/25 23:03:21
[redacted]RP REQ SGT 1021 HIM REGARDING[redacted]// RP HAS QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS MATTER
[redacted]RP IS WANTING TO SPEAK TO OFCR GONZALEZ // RP WAS REFERRED TO CONTACT DV UNIT AND RP WANTS TO SPEAK TO A SGT
** Cross Referenced to Event #[redacted]at: 06/01/25 23:03:34
SENT 115S3
115S3 T4 TO CK
** LOI search completed at 06/01/25 23:55:49
115S3 -- ENRT TO[redacted]-- FU W/ RP --
315S3 WILL BE COVER UT
315S3 -- W/SUBJ W/A
** Cross Referenced to Event #[redacted]at: 06/02/25 01:23:26
[redacted]Duplicate Event:Loc: 4275 EASTGATE MALL SD : @NORTHERN DIVISION, xstreets: REGENTS RD/GENESEE AVE, Type: 1021 PHONE YOUR STATION, Caller Name:[redacted]Caller Ph Number:[redacted]Caller Address:[redacted]Alarm Level: 0
[redacted]RP REQ 1021 FROM SGT// ADV HE JUST LEFT FROM SPEAKING WITH HIM BUT FORGOT TO ASK A COUPLE QUESTIONS
** LOI search completed at 06/02/25 01:23:15
** Cross Referenced to Event #[redacted]at: 06/02/25 01:23:26
[redacted]SENT 115S3

LOCATION INFORMATION

4275 EASTGATE MALL SD: @NORTHERN DIVISION

X-STREET 1: REGENTS RD
X-STREET 2: GENESEE AVE

Location Choices



[redacted] 115S3 -- HANDLED
End of Duplicate Event data
** Cross Referenced to Event # [redacted] at: 06/02/25 01:30:33
** Event [redacted] closed.

CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

Search Criteria

For	SDPD IA	
	Person 1	Person 2
Name	[redacted]	[redacted]
DOB	[redacted]	[redacted]
CDL	[redacted]	[redacted]
CII		[redacted]
User ID	SD1140	

All times are Local time unless otherwise specified.

(eSUNWeb)	
From Date	2/28/2025 00:00:00
To Date	7/14/2025 23:59:59
(CountyLocals)	
From Date	2/28/2025 00:00:00
To Date	7/14/2025 23:59:59
(JURIS)	
From Date	2/28/2025 00:00:00
To Date	7/14/2025 23:59:59
(SDLaw)	
From Date	2/28/2025 00:00:00
To Date	7/14/2025 23:59:59
(SDFusion)	
	2/28/2025 00:00:00
	7/14/2025 23:59:59

Notes: Additional related names:
[redacted].
[redacted]
Only results found for User ID SD1140 are listed on the SDLaw worksheet.

Audit by User ID: Yes

User IDs Verified

SDLaw User ID	ARJIS ID	Verified
SD_SD1140	SD1140	Yes

Miguel Gonzalez

Approx Hours: 14

EVIDENCE CASES MY90 INVENTORY ADMIN 99+ MY ACCOUNT Last login Jul 15, 2025 SIGN OUT

ALL EVIDENCE MY EVIDENCE SHARED EVIDENCE EVIDENCE MAP COMMUNITY REQUEST

ID TITLE USER OR GROUP DATE

Gonzal... 02/28/2025 00:00 02/28/2025 23:59

CATEGORY TAG CASE ID

SHOW ADVANCED SEARCH RESET FILTERS SEARCH

Evidence

17 results

REVIEW ALL ON PAGE IMPORT EVIDENCE EXPORT RESULTS ...

SORT BY Recorded On Descending

100 per page

Select 17 items on page

[redacted]

Axon Body 4 Video 2025-02-2...
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 13:45
Category: Crime Case - Misdemeanor
Active

Axon Body 4 Video 2025-02-2...
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:56
Category: Crime Case - Misdemeanor
Active

IMG_1776.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:34
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

[redacted]

IMG_1775.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:34
Category: Crime Case - Felony

IMG_1772.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:34
Category: Crime Case - Felony

IMG_1771.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:33
Category: Crime Case - Felony

Active

Active

Active



IMG_1770.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:33
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1769.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:33
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1768.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:33
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active



IMG_1764.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:23
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1763.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:22
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1762.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:22
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active



IMG_1761.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:22
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1760.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:22
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active

IMG_1759.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:21
Category: Crime Case - Felony
Active



IMG_1758.jpeg
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 09:21

Axon Body 4 Video 2025-02-2...
Owner: Gonzalez, Miguel, (1140)
Recorded on: 02/28/2025 07:24

Category: Crime Case - Felony

Active

Category: Crime Case - Misdemeanor

Active

100 per page

1

© 2025 Axon Enterprise, Inc. All rights reserved. Privacy Policy. v2025-06-26.210682 Axon Evidence June 2025 CHROME 138.

Report generated by:

User	Laco, Patrick (5864)
Username	placo
Department	San Diego Police Dept. - CA
Local Timezone	America/Los_Angeles
Generated on	Oct 23, 2025 1:49 PM

Evidence ID	ID	Title	Evidence Group	Owner Email	Owner	Uploaded by	Uploaded on	Recorded on	Duration	Category	Status	File Type	Source	Shared To	Shared From	Device Home
2f7e83a158b647be9d17a93f56ae9d70	25060001378	RC 1021 3		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:44:52	02 Jun 2025 01:25:44	6m 36s	Admin Review	Active (Restricted)	video	axonbody4	-	-	
845555be688c4c7b9c88cf81f2d0ac83	25060001378	RC 1021 2		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:44:10	02 Jun 2025 01:06:07	12m 22s	Admin Review	Active (Restricted)	video	axonbody4	-	-	
f311d5053b0a49baab583ca3e29986fb	E25060001378	Axon Body 4 Video 2025-06-02 0012 D01A3115B		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:30:35	02 Jun 2025 00:12:07	1h 6m	Contact - No Further Action,Admin Review	Active (Restricted)	video	axonbody4	-	-	
6159f7d245424159bed278c78f83f113	25060001378	RC 1021 1		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:42:56	02 Jun 2025 00:03:39	45m 43s	Admin Review	Active (Restricted)	video	axonbody4	-	-	

RC 1021 3	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:44:52
RC 1021 2	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:44:10
Axon Body 4 Video 2025-06-02 0012 D01A 3115B	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:30:35
RC 1021 1	[redacted]	[redacted]	02 Jun 2025 06:42:56

SDPD Computer Systems Audit Request Form

Requester Information			
Name:	PATRICK LACO	Primary Phone:	[redacted]
Title:	DET/SGT	Secondary Phone:	
Division:	INTERNAL AFFAIRS	e-mail:	
Supervisor:	LT CARMELIN RIVERA	Today's Date:	

Subject Information			
Name:	MIGUEL GONZALEZ	ID Number:	1140
Title:	POLICE OFFICER II	Division:	NORTHERN DIVISION

CAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

ICAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

E-Mail Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Search criteria, key words, etc.			

ARJIS Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
Systems:	COPLINK ☐	SRFERS ☐	Global Query ☐
*Additional Information:			

eSUN/County			
Start Date/Time:	02/28/2025 0600	End Date/Time:	07/20/2025 2359
*Search Information (enter all information available):	Name: [redacted] DOB: [redacted] Driver's License: [redacted] License Plate: CII Number: Other:		
Systems:	eSUN ☒	SUN/County Locals ☒	eJIMS ☒

*Include additional sheets if n[redacted]

Commanding Officer Signatur[redacted] ID#: [redacted]

SDPD Computer Systems Audit Request Form

Requester Information			
Name:	PATRICK LACO	**Primary Phone:**	[redacted]
Title:	DET/SGT	**Secondary Phone:**	
Division:	INTERNAL AFFAIRS	**e-mail:**	
Supervisor:	LT CARMELIN RIVERA	**Today's Date:**	

Subject Information			
Name:	MIGUEL GONZALEZ	**ID Number:**	1140
Title:	POLICE OFFICER II	**Division:**	NORTHERN DIVISION

CAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		**End Date/Time:**	
***Additional Information:**			

ICAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		**End Date/Time:**	
***Additional Information:**			

E-Mail Audit			
Start Date/Time:		**End Date/Time:**	
***Search criteria, key words, etc.**			

ARJIS Audit			
Start Date/Time:		**End Date/Time:**	
Systems:	**COPLINK** ☐	**SRFERS** ☐	**Global Query** ☐
***Additional Information:**			

eSUN/County			
Start Date/Time:	02/28/2025 0600	**End Date/Time:**	07/14/2025 2359
***Search Information (enter all information available):**	**Name:** [redacted] **DOB:** [redacted] **Driver's License:** [redacted] **License Plate:** **CII Number:** [redacted] **Other:**		
Systems:	**eSUN** ☒	**SUN/County Locals** ☒	**eJIMS** ☒

***Include additional she** [redacted]

Commanding Officer S [redacted] **ID#:** SLL

flock safety


Investigative Summary

License Plate Detection

Report ID: cb93d175-893e-439c-8504-cefa92134220

Date Created: 7/29/2025, 3:04 PM PDT

License Plate Read




FLOCK



VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

License Plate Number: [redacted]





FLOCK



VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report




VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report



VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report



VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report




VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report

VehicleManager

Vehicle Detection Report



Outlook

FW: Subject Officer Interview

From SDPD IA [redacted]
Date Thu 9/25/2025 4:01 PM
To Laco, Patrick [redacted]

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 3:04 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

That should work for me, Ill let [redacted](rep) know as well. Thank you sir.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 9:38 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you for reaching out and letting me know about this.

For some reason, when I looked you up, I thought it said you worked on that day. I will not be available next week after Monday. Let's reschedule for Thursday, October 9, 2025, at 1400

hours. Please let me know if this works better.

Respectfully,

Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant #5864
San Diego Police Department
Internal Affairs Unit
[redacted]

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 12:46 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good Afternoon,

Per my Sergeant, I can not come in on that date because it is not on my regular workday, can we please reschedule? I am off MTW.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 3:36 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you. See you Monday, September 29 at 1400. The location is the Internal Affairs Office, 7th Floor at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters, located at 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101.

Internal Affairs
San Diego Police Department

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:20 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good evening,

I will be there.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:07 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: Subject Officer Interview

September 3, 2025

Officer Gonzalez,

You are a subject Officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is related to an event that occurred on February 28, 2025, at [redacted] SDPD, Northern Division.

I have scheduled you for September 29, 2025, at 1400 hours, at Internal Affairs, 7th Floor SDPD HQ. Please let me know if there are any conflicts with this date and time. If you have any questions, please reach out to me. To prepare, please review all reports and

documentation before the interview. As a subject officer, you are entitled to representation at the interview. Questions regarding this should be directed to the POA.

I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. I also admonish and order you *NOT to discuss this case or any portion of this investigation with anyone, including other subjects or witness officer(s), until the conclusion of the completed investigation.*

Please confirm your receipt of this notice by replying via email or calling me at [redacted]

Respectfully,
Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant, SDPD
Internal Affairs
[redacted]

Outlook

FW: Subject Officer Interview

From SDPD IA [redacted]
Date Thu 9/25/2025 4:01 PM
To Laco, Patrick [redacted]

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 3:04 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

That should work for me, Ill let [redacted] (rep) know as well. Thank you sir.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 9:38 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you for reaching out and letting me know about this.

For some reason, when I looked you up, I thought it said you worked on that day. I will not be available next week after Monday. Let's reschedule for Thursday, October 9, 2025, at 1400

hours. Please let me know if this works better.

Respectfully,

Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant #5864
San Diego Police Department
Internal Affairs Unit
[redacted]

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 12:46 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good Afternoon,

Per my Sergeant, I can not come in on that date because it is not on my regular workday, can we please reschedule? I am off MTW.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 3:36 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you. See you Monday, September 29 at 1400. The location is the Internal Affairs Office, 7th Floor at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters, located at 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101.

Internal Affairs
San Diego Police Department

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:20 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good evening,

I will be there.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:07 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: Subject Officer Interview

September 3, 2025

Officer Gonzalez,

You are a subject Officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is related to an event that occurred on February 28, 2025, at [redacted] SDPD, Northern Division.

I have scheduled you for September 29, 2025, at 1400 hours, at Internal Affairs, 7th Floor SDPD HQ. Please let me know if there are any conflicts with this date and time. If you have any questions, please reach out to me. To prepare, please review all reports and

documentation before the interview. As a subject officer, you are entitled to representation at the interview. Questions regarding this should be directed to the POA.

I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. I also admonish and order you *NOT to discuss this case or any portion of this investigation with anyone, including other subjects or witness officer(s), until the conclusion of the completed investigation.*

Please confirm your receipt of this notice by replying via email or calling me at [redacted]

Respectfully,
Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant, SDPD
Internal Affairs
[redacted]

San Diego Police Dept
Crime/Incident Report

Case No. [redacted]

CAD Event No.: [redacted] Case Disposition: **Active**

Primary Victim: [redacted] Report No. [redacted]

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge: **273.5 (A) - PC - SPOUSAL/COHABITANT ABUSE WITH MINOR INJURY (F)**			
Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **6135 Balboa Ave, SAN DIEGO, CA 92111**			Occurred On: **02/26/2025 06:30:54 (Wednesday)**
Jurisdiction:	Beat: **111**	Call Source: **ANI/ALI**	(and Between):
Means:		Motives:	

VICTIM/S

Victim #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Victim Type:	Interpreter Language:
[redacted]	**I - Individual**	

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: **273.5 (A) - PC - SPOUSAL/COHABITANT ABUSE WITH MINOR INJURY (F)**								County Residence: **R - Resident**
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]				Res. Country: **US**		Place of Birth:		Undocumented:
Race: **M**	Sex: **F**	Date of Birth / Age: [redacted]	Height: **5' 4"**	Weight: **140**	Hair Color: **BRO**	Eye Color: **BRO**	Facial Hair: **12 - None/Fuzz**	Complexion: **TAN - Tanned**
Employment Status:		Occupation/Grade:		Employer/School:			Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:	

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	**CA**	**US**

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:
	M - Apparent Minor Injury	**01 - None**	

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:
VICTIM OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS	Offender: [redacted]	Relationship: **SE - Victim Was Spouse**	

IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
273.5 (A) - PC - SPOUSAL/COHABITANT ABUSE WITH MINOR INJURY (F)	**F**	**PE**	**Yes**		**N - Not Applicable**

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
RA - Single Family Home	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**Yes**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:
40 - Personal Weapons (Threats, Hands, Fists, Feet, etc.)			

ARRESTEE/S

SUSPECT/S (Not Yet Arrested)

Suspect #1

Name:	County Residence:	Interpreter Language:
[redacted]	**R - Resident**	

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date **2/28/2025 2:24:58 PM**	**Detective Assigned** [redacted]	**Reviewed Date** **03/02/2025 13:47:38**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	**First:**	**Middle:**	**Last:**	**Suffix:**

Home Address, City, State, ZIP:	Res. Country:	Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
[redacted]	**US**	**Michigan**	

Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
W	**M**	[redacted]	**6' 0"**	**210**	**BLN**	**BLU**	**03 - Full Beard and Mustache**	**FAR - Fair**

Hair Style:	Hair Length:	Build:	Teeth:	Suspected User:
S - Straight	**B - Bald**	**MUS - Muscular**		

Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:	Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]
EM - Email Address	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	**CA**	**US**
SSN - SSN	[redacted]		

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:

Attire:	Suspect Actions:
	,

WITNESSES

OTHER ENTITIES

Other Entity #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Entity Type:	Person Code:	County Residence:
[redacted]	**OT - Other (Person)**		**R - Resident**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Home Address, City, State, ZIP:	Res. Country:	Place of Birth:	Undocumented:	Interpreter Language
[redacted]	**US**			

Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
W	**F**	[redacted]	**4' 5"**	**100**	**BRO**	**BRO**	**12 - None/Fuzz**	**FAR - Fair**

Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:	Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

Injury:	Extent of Treatment:

Other Entity #2

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Entity Type:	Person Code:	County Residence:
[redacted]	**OT - Other (Person)**		**R - Resident**

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
SD1140 - GONZALEZ, MIGUEL	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
2/28/2025 2:24:58 PM	[redacted]	**03/02/2025 13:47:38**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:									
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:					
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]			Res. Country: **US**	Place of Birth:	Undocumented:	Interpreter Language			
Race: **W**	Sex: **F**	Date of Birth / Age: [redacted]	Height: **3' 0"**	Weight: **40**	Hair Color: **BRO**	Eye Color: **BRO**	Facial Hair: **12 - None/Fuzz**		Complexion: **FAR - Fair**
Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:	Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:						

CONTACT INFORMATION:	
Type:	Number/Address:

IDENTIFICATION:			
Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

Injury:	Extent of Treatment:

PROPERTY

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

On 02-26-2025, at approximately 0630 hours, [redacted] used the palm of his left hand to try and cover [redacted] mouth during and argument. The initial impact from the palm of [redacted] hand to [redacted] face caused a small abrasion on her inner top lip and small contusion. The incident occurred at [redacted] is in violation of 273.5 (A) PC.

[redacted] can identify [redacted] is not currently in custody.

ORIGIN:

On 02-28-2025, at approximately 0700 hours, I responded to a radio call regarding a domestic dispute at 6135 Balboa Avenue. I was dressed in full police uniform and driving a marked police vehicle.

INVESTIGATION:

Upon arrival, I met with the reporting party, [redacted] He had his two daughters, [redacted] and [redacted] with him. I spoke with [redacted] and with [redacted] They told me the following.

STATEMENTS:

Paraphrased statement of [redacted] (suspect):

This all happened at my address at [redacted] I called because my Wife, [redacted] and I got into an argument this morning. She following me around and just yells at me. She trying to provoke me into fighting with her when I have done nothing to her. I give her money and jewelry to try and make her stop bothering me. Nothing works she always fighting with me. I am tired of it and I think she is going

to try and get me into trouble or make something up. Nothing physical has happened. We do not hit each other. Just a lot of bad name calling. I want something documented. This morning we got into a really bad argument and she left the house and left me with the kids and I have work soon. She told me shes going upstate and not coming back. I work construction and all these cuts on my hands and legs are from that. I did go to jail three years ago for an incident I had with her. I called the police and they arrested me. They believed whatever she told them. The charges were dropped. Nothing had happened since then.

END STATEMENT

Paraphrased statement of [redacted] (witness):

My dad and my mother fight a lot. There is a lot of yelling that goes on. He is a good dad and does not yell at me or my sister. He only yells at my mom when she is yelling. I have never seen him hit her before. I feel like she starts most of the arguments.

END STATEMENTS

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

Based on the statements, I informed [redacted] that I would issue him a case number for 13730 PC. He informed me that he had to take the kids to school. I informed him that I would go to his address at [redacted] to speak with his wife about the incident. He informed me that he would not go home.

Upon arrival at the residence at [redacted] I was able to locate [redacted] Wife, [redacted] She told me the following.

STATEMENTS:

Paraphrased statement of [redacted] (victim):

I know why you are here. I did not think he was going to call the police. We have been fighting a lot lately and I do not want to be with him anymore. He is abusive and I do not call the police because I am afraid and I do not know what to do or what will happen. I don't have a job or any money. I can't work because I can not pay a baby sitter and he won't allow me to get one. I do not even have access to the account. He leaves me here with no money and I can not even get gas to try and leave somewhere. The only reason he left me alone this morning is because I ran out the house and left in the car. This has been going on for years. He yells at me and calls me horrible names. He gets right in my face till I have to yell for him to get away from me. He has grabbed me by the back of my neck several times when I walk away. Or to get my attention. Two days ago when I yelled back at him. He told me to shut up and grabbed my mouth with his left hand. When his palm hit my mouth, my inner lip was cut from the force. It is on my upper lip.

He had puts his hand around my mouth several times when we have argued. He was arrested a year or so ago when he called the police after hitting me and tried to tell them it was me who did something. I feel trapped. He has never hit the kids and they are healthy. I did not speak with the detectives last time because I was afraid. I am ready this time if they can help me. I do not know when [redacted] will come home.

END STATEMENT

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

[redacted] showed me her upper lip and I was able to see that she had a half-centimeter abrasion on her lip. There was also a slight bruising as well were the cut is. During the interview she appeared afraid and was crying. I took photographs of [redacted] and later uploaded them to Evidence.com. I issued her a case number and a Marcy's card. I provided her with domestic violence resources and she signed the DV sheet.

The residence appeared well kempt and clean. [redacted] is not aware if there are any firearms in the residence. I informed [redacted] to call the police if [redacted] hit her again. I left the residence without further incident.

EVIDENCE:

See Evidence.com

INJURIES:

Small abrasion and bruising to [redacted] lip.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None.

RELATED REPORTS:

None.

FOLLOW-UP:

None.

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (61 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 04/26/25 - 04/27/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	UC				04/27/25 06:20:57	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:58	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				04/27/25 06:23:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	4255 GENESEE AVE SD: PLOT @HOME DEPOT		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	4255 GENESEE AVE SD: PLOT @HOME DEPOT		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:55:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:57:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:58:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:04:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	E25040038377	242		04/27/25 10:10:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:10:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:25:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	3853 ROSECRANS ST SD: @CMH		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:21:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	3853 ROSECRANS ST SD: @CMH		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 14:29:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				04/27/25 14:29:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				04/27/25 14:29:44	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Tracking device 00037088/MDT/Default System cleared	745056
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	LN				04/26/25 20:18:51	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV				04/26/25 20:18:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	UC				04/26/25 20:18:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		New equipment list for Unit [PV1] :	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV				04/26/25 20:18:54	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DC				04/26/25 20:19:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		Device 60668/HT Radio/Default System added for employee [redacted]	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	UE				04/26/25 20:19:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV				04/26/25 21:30:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 22:52:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 22:52:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05			745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:04:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 23:04:21	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 23:04:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:08:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:07	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:32	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:21:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:21:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		UC	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:30:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	[redacted]	REF#: [redacted] Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: |RIPA: |Comments: detox	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:30:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		DP	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	500 E ST SD: @BONEYARD		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	500 E ST SD: @BONEYARD		745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:20:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:20:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		97	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:23:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:42:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:55:45	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	A		04/27/25 01:06:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		DP	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 01:22:59	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	550 J ST SD: @PENDRY HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 01:23:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	550 J ST SD: @PENDRY HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		97	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 01:39:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	743 05TH AVE SD: @AC HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 01:55:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:08:26	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:33:29	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:34:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:40:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1		00	LO				04/27/25 03:18:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			
SDPD	P5	PV1		00	DC				04/27/25 03:18:37	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		Device 745195 removed	745195

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (61 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 04/26/25 - 04/27/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	UC				04/27/25 06:20:57	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:58	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				04/27/25 06:23:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	4255 GENESEE AVE SD: PLOT @HOME DEPOT		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	4255 GENESEE AVE SD: PLOT @HOME DEPOT		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:55:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:57:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:58:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:04:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:10:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:10:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:25:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	3853 ROSECRANS ST SD: @CMH		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:21:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	3853 ROSECRANS ST SD: @CMH		745056
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 14:29:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745056
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				04/27/25 14:29:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				04/27/25 14:29:44	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Tracking device 00037088/MDT/Default System cleared	745056
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	LN				04/26/25 20:18:51	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV				04/26/25 20:18:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	UC				04/26/25 20:18:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		New equipment list for Unit [PV1] :	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV				04/26/25 20:18:54	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DC				04/26/25 20:19:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		Device 60668/HT Radio/Default System added for employee [redacted]	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	UE				04/26/25 20:19:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV				04/26/25 21:30:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 22:52:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 22:52:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05			745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:04:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 23:04:21	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1151		04/26/25 23:04:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:08:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	DP	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:07	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	831 06TH AVE SD: @MOXY		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC	30000	ER	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:11:32	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc11	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:21:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:21:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		UC	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:30:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	[redacted]	REF#: [redacted] Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: |RIPA: |Comments: detox	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1016		04/26/25 23:30:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		DP	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	500 E ST SD: @BONEYARD		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	500 E ST SD: @BONEYARD		745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:19:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:20:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit PV1	745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:20:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		97	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:23:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	702 05TH AVE SD: @WHISKEY GIRL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:42:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 00:55:45	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	A		04/27/25 01:06:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		DP	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 01:22:59	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	550 J ST SD: @PENDRY HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		ER	[redacted]	1151		04/27/25 01:23:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	550 J ST SD: @PENDRY HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		97	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 01:39:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	743 05TH AVE SD: @AC HOTEL		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 01:55:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:08:26	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1	@DETOX (SOBERING CENTER)		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TR	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:33:29	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc05	[redacted]		745195
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		TA	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:34:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P5	PV1	SPEC		AV	[redacted]	1016		04/27/25 02:40:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			745195
SDPD	P5	PV1		00	LO				04/27/25 03:18:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1			
SDPD	P5	PV1		00	DC				04/27/25 03:18:37	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$PV1		Device 745195 removed	745195

SDPD Computer Systems Audit Request Form

Requester Information			
Name:	PATRICK LACO	Primary Phone:	[redacted]
Title:	DET/SGT	Secondary Phone:	[redacted]
Division:	INTERNAL AFFAIRS	e-mail:	[redacted]
Supervisor:	LT CARMELIN RIVERA	Today's Date:	

Subject Information			
Name:	MIGUEL GONZALEZ	ID Number:	1140
Title:	POLICE OFFICER II	Division:	NORTHERN DIVISION

CAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

ICAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

E-Mail Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Search criteria, key words, etc.			

ARJIS Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
Systems:	COPLINK ☐	SRFERS ☐	Global Query ☐
*Additional Information:			

eSUN/County			
Start Date/Time:	02/28/2025 0600	End Date/Time:	07/14/2025 2359
*Search Information (enter all information available):	Name: [redacted] DOB: [redacted] Driver's License: [redacted] License Plate: CII Number: [redacted] Other:		
Systems:	eSUN ☒	SUN/County Locals ☒	eJIMS ☒

*Include additional sheets if necessary

Commanding Officer Signature: _____ ID#:_____

SDPD Computer Systems Audit Request Form

Requester Information			
Name:	PATRICK LACO	Primary Phone:	[redacted]
Title:	DET/SGT	Secondary Phone:	[redacted]
Division:	INTERNAL AFFAIRS	e-mail:	[redacted]
Supervisor:	LT CARMELIN RIVERA	Today's Date:	

Subject Information			
Name:	MIGUEL GONZALEZ	ID Number:	1140
Title:	POLICE OFFICER II	Division:	NORTHERN DIVISION

CAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

ICAD Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Additional Information:			

E-Mail Audit			
Start Date/Time:		End Date/Time:	
*Search criteria, key words, etc.			

ARJIS Audit			
Start Date/Time:	02/28/2025 0700	End Date/Time:	05/20/2025 2359
Systems:	COPLINK ☒ SRFERS ☒ Global Query ☒		
*Additional Information:	INQUIRIES ON [redacted]		

eSUN/County			
Start Date/Time:	02/28/2025 0600	End Date/Time:	05/20/2025 2359
*Search Information (enter all information available):	Name: [redacted] DOB: [redacted] Driver's License: [redacted] License Plate: CII Number: Other:		
Systems:	eSUN ☒ SUN/County Locals ☒ eJIMS ☐		

*Include additional sheets if necessary

Commanding Officer Signature: _____ ID#:_____

The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

Date: July 28, 2025

To: Richard Aguilar, Captain, Northern Division

From: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

Subject: Internal Affairs Investigation

The Internal Affairs Unit is conducting an investigation involving personnel assigned to your command. The details are as follows:

IA Control Number:	2025-0276
Location:	[redacted]
Date/Time of Incident:	February-May 2025
CAD Incident Number:	N/A
Allegation(s):	Conduct, Performance Of Duty, Procedure

The following personnel have been identified as being involved in this incident:

Subject(s):	Miguel Gonzalez, Police Officer II #1140

Please ensure that the involved personnel are made aware of the investigation. Please present all subject and witness officers with the enclosed memorandum. Have them sign in the presence of a supervisor and return it to the Internal Affairs Unit.

If you or the involved personnel desire additional information, please contact Detective Sergeant P. Laco at [redacted]

Julie Epperson, Captain
Internal Affairs

JE/pl

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
(WITH LYBARGER/GARRITY WARNING)
SUBJECT

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation.

You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time.

The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you.

You are directed to answer all of the questions posed to you completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during this administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline, up to and including termination.

Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements, cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

I [redacted] ese instructions, please sign your name below.

_____ 10-9-2025
Date

_____ _____
Date

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: July 24, 2025

TO: Miguel Gonzalez, Police Officer II, Northern Division

FROM: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Case 2025-0276

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a internal complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. Conduct
2. Performance Of Duty
3. Procedure

Additional allegations may arise during the investigation.

This investigation stems from information from a domestic violence event you responded to. The event number was [redacted]

Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Please review your own Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage. I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or BWC footage other than your own prior to the interview. Internal Affairs Detective Sergeant Laco will contact you to schedule an interview.

As a subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

I hereby order you not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Department Policy 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy).

I order you to cooperate with the investigation and answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Department Policy 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy). Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. Any dishonesty or lack of cooperation during the investigation will be reported to P.O.S.T. and may lead to your P.O.S.T. certificate being suspended or revoked.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

I acknowledge that any allegation which meets SB2 criteria shall be reported to P.O.S.T.

I acknowledge that any allegation or sustained finding which meets SB1421 or SB16 criteria may be disclosed to the public.

I acknowledge that if I resign prior to the completion of the investigation, the investigation will continue until it is complete. Upon conclusion of the investigation and any applicable appeals process, if the case is determined to be responsive to a request made pursuant to SB 1421 or SB16, the information may be released with appropriate redactions.

_____ _____

Subject Officer Signature Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

_____ _____

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-[redacted] within 10 days.

Detective Sergeant Patrick Laco
Internal Affairs

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (31 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 05/20/25 - 05/21/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:23	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/20/25 21:20:24	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		New equipment list for Unit [11 :
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV				05/20/25 21:21:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	ER	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	AV	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:58:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J3
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	ANIMAL		05/20/25 21:58:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	5900 PRINTWOOD WAY SD: @OLIVE GROVE PARK	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/20/25 22:04:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:04:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		05/21/25 00:05:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:30:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:34:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:32	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J3CLETS- IV:.LIC/[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:01:21	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J3CLETS - IR QVC:.OLN[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:05:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:8654CLETS-IV:.LIC/8SSU066.VMA[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/21/25 06:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	[redacted]	REF#: [redacted] Type: VC|Fo me: |DOB: |BWC: Yes|RIPA: No|Comments: pc
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	5900 PRINTWOOD WAY SD	REF# [redacted] |Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: No|RIPA: No|Comments: 1022
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	[redacted]	REF#: [redacted] |Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: Yes|RIPA: No|Comments:
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		REF#: [redacted] |Type: RC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |B Yes|RIPA: Yes|Comments: 1182
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	LO				05/21/25 06:24:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	DC				05/21/25 06:24:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		Device 746971 removed

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (31 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 05/20/25 - 05/21/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:23	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/20/25 21:20:24	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		New equipment list for Unit [11 :
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV				05/20/25 21:21:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	ER	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc12		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	AV	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:58:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J3
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	ANIMAL		05/20/25 21:58:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	5900 PRINTWOOD WAY SD: @OLIVE GROVE PARK	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/20/25 22:04:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:04:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		05/21/25 00:05:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:30:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:34:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]	
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:32	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J3CLETS- IV:.LIC/[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:01:21	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:112J3CLETS - IR QVC:.OLN/[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:05:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Unit [112J3] Inf Issue Qry 0:8654CLETS-IV:.LIC/8SSU066.VMA/[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/21/25 06:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	[redacted]	REF#[redacted]|Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: Yes|RIPA: No|Comments: pc
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	5900 PRINTWOOD WAY SD	REF#:[redacted]|Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: No|RIPA: No|Comments: 1022
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3	[redacted]	REF#:[redacted]|Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: Yes|RIPA: No|Comments:
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		REF#:[redacted]|Type: RC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |B Yes|RIPA: Yes|Comments: 1182
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	LO				05/21/25 06:24:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	DC				05/21/25 06:24:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J3		Device 746971 removed

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 9 New Message | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (35 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 02/28/25 - 02/28/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	T
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	UC				02/28/25 06:23:35	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	LN				02/28/25 06:23:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				02/28/25 06:35:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		HOOLIHAN,ABIGAIL(8872)	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:01:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:21:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:50:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 07:51:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	CL	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:03:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 08:09:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		02/28/25 09:47:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	202066	ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:47:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:54:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TR	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 09:59:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TA	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 10:06:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	A		02/28/25 11:26:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	T
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 11:27:14	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 12:54:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	DV		02/28/25 13:25:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:25:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:40:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CL	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 13:42:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	594		02/28/25 14:32:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				02/28/25 15:34:33	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				02/28/25 15:34:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

9 New Message | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (40 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 03/06/25 - 03/06/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC				03/06/25 04:53:07	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	LN				03/06/25 04:53:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				03/06/25 05:03:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:39	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:30:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		SC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:33:20	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 05:56:06	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:16:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	10851R		03/06/25 06:34:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		03/06/25 07:42:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:10:59	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:11:05	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:24:19	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		CU	[redacted]	459A		03/06/25 08:27:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 08:45:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	ER	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:27:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	DV		03/06/25 09:34:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 10:16:51	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	FD		03/06/25 10:22:10	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		03/06/25 11:15:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 11:31:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	AV	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	UC	[redacted]	TRO		03/06/25 12:51:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	164871	DP	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:51:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 12:54:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 13:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415PP		03/06/25 14:12:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				03/06/25 14:12:30	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				03/06/25 14:12:31	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 9 New Message > | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (22 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 04/27/25 - 04/27/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Te
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	UC				04/27/25 06:20:57	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	LN				04/27/25 06:20:58	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV				04/27/25 06:23:48	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:26:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415		04/27/25 07:55:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:57:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 09:58:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:04:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:10:17	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:10:18	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 10:25:04	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	DP	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	92857	UC	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Te
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:03:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	tr
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 12:21:08	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	242		04/27/25 14:29:36	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				04/27/25 14:29:43	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				04/27/25 14:29:44	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO ›

9 New Message | 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (32 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 05/20/25 - 05/21/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:23	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/20/25 21:20:24	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	LN				05/20/25 21:20:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV				05/20/25 21:21:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:11	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	ER	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:31:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	AV	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:58:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 21:58:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	ANIMAL		05/20/25 21:58:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/20/25 22:04:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:04:40	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:09	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	459R		05/20/25 22:12:16	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		05/21/25 00:05:49	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:26:03	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:30:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415DV		05/21/25 00:34:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	DP	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:12	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:53:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		97	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:15	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 04:59:32	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:01:21	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		UC	[redacted]	1183		05/21/25 05:05:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	K		05/21/25 06:22:41	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:27	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:46	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3	PATROL	48205	UC				05/21/25 06:23:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	LO				05/21/25 06:24:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)
SDPD	P1	112J3		00	DC				05/21/25 06:24:01	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)

San Diego Police Dept
Crime/Incident Report

Case No. [redacted]

CAD Event No.: [redacted] Case Disposition: **Active**

Primary Victim: [redacted] Report No. [redacted]

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge: **273.6 (A) - PC - VIOLATE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COURT ORDER (M)**			
Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]			Occurred On: **05/26/2025 10:50:00 (Monday)**
Jurisdiction:	Beat: **313**	Call Source: **Unknown**	(and Between):
Means:		Motives:	

VICTIM/S

Victim #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer		
Name: [redacted]	Victim Type: **I - Individual**	Interpreter Language:

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: **273.6 (A) - PC - VIOLATE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COURT ORDER (M)**								County Residence: **R - Resident**
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: [redacted]					Res. Country: **US**		Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
Race: **W**	Sex: **M**	Date of Birth / Age: [redacted]	Height: **6' 0"**	Weight: **180**	Hair Color: **BAL**	Eye Color: **BLU**	Facial Hair: **01 - Clean Shaven**	Complexion:
Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:			Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:			

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	**CA**	**US**

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:

IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
273.6 (A) - PC - VIOLATE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COURT ORDER (M)	**M**		**Yes**		**N - Not Applicable**

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
RB - Multiple Family Dwelling	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**Yes**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

ARRESTEE/S

SUSPECT/S (Not Yet Arrested)

Suspect #1

Name: [redacted]	County Residence: **R - Resident**	Interpreter Language:

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**Eastern Patrol (Eastern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
5/26/2025 12:25:13 PM	[redacted]	**05/27/2025 06:47:32**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	**First:**	**Middle:**	**Last:**	**Suffix:**

Home Address, City, State, ZIP:	Res. Country:	Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
[redacted]	**US**	**Unknown Place of Birth**	

Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
M	**F**	[redacted]	**5' 4"**	**160**	**BLK**	**BRO**	**12 - None/Fuzz**	

Hair Style:	Hair Length:	Build:	Teeth:	Suspected User:

Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:	Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:
	UNK	**UNK**	**Unk, UNK**

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
HP - Home Phone	[redacted]
EM - Email Address	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:
DLN - Drivers License Number	[redacted]	**CA**	**US**

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:

Attire:	Suspect Actions:
N/A	,

WITNESSES

OTHER ENTITIES

PROPERTY

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

Between 05/01/2025 and 05/26/2025, [redacted] violated the terms of a domestic violence restraining order. The reporting party [redacted] stated [redacted] has been having people contact him by phone which is a violation of his restraining order [redacted]

The records show the restraining order has not been served. [redacted] stated the order was served during a hearing by judge [redacted] on 05/10/2025. In good faith, I believe this order was served and is not yet updated in the system.

[redacted] is not currently in custody. [redacted] has a valid and served restraining order against [redacted] as well.

ORIGIN:

On May 26, 2025, at approximately 1000 hours I was on patrol in full police uniform driving a marked patrol vehicle. I responded to [redacted] to take a report of a violation of a domestic violence restraining order.

INVESTIGATION:

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**Eastern Patrol (Eastern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
5/26/2025 12:25:13 PM	[redacted]	**05/27/2025 06:47:32**

Upon arrival I spoke to the reporting party [redacted]. Due to the victim and suspect having the same last name they will be referred to as [redacted] (victim) and [redacted] (suspect) for the remainder of this report.
I took the statement of [redacted] I Viewed some of the messages [redacted] recieved. I saw multiple messages of photos of a female in a bra and underwear. i viewed multiple messages from a number with a [redacted] area code. [redacted] stated [redacted] has a friend who lives in Las Vegas and believes it is her. One the messages requested a threesome and mentioned they know [redacted] and [redacted] used to participate in them.

STATEMENT OF [redacted]

I am currently going through a nasty divorce with my wife. The further it goes, the more stuff I find out. We both have restraining orders against each other. [redacted] was served at one of our hearings by Judge [redacted] The lawyer I had when she was served has been fired because she would not follow up with anything. I have supervised visitation; [redacted] will not answer my calls to allow me to see my kids. Recently I have been getting harassed by random phone numbers. The calls and texst are sexually explicit in nature. I was able to look at my phone bill and see that all the numbers that have contacted me, have also been contacted by [redacted] I truly feel like [redacted] is trying to trick me into something to better her case. I asked one of the callers to send me a photo of them making a peace sign to try and verify if it was [redacted] Whoever it was refused to. I told them I knew it was [redacted] and to leave me alone. [redacted] has stolen from me. [redacted] has had me falsely arrested. [redacted] is using her restraining order to build a case and ruin me. I have messages of her telling her friend "I'm going to wreck him". I went with a court order to grab property from my Jeep. When my friend opened the Jeep [redacted] called police and I got arrested. I had a safe in my Jeep that contained expensive property and cash. Officers opened the safe and verified nothing in the safe was hers and told her not to touch it. When I got out and came to get my stuff, the safe was opened and empty. I was ordered to turn in my firearms. I gathered all my guns and turned them in. I was told by the judge two were missing. I had to file a stolen firearm report because I'm pretty sure she took them and has them at the house where my kids stay. I feel very attacked and extorted and I am worried for my kid's safety. I have screen shots of the messages and the numbers that have been calling me.
End of statement.

I provided [redacted] with a community request link to upload the messages, and phone records to Evidence.com under the associated event number.

I provided [redacted] with a Marsys card and a case number.

EVIDENCE:

Screen shots of messages provided by [redacted] of. Messages containing photos of other woman in sexual propositions. Uploaded to Evidence.com via Community request link, under event # [redacted]

INJURIES:

None.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None.

FOLLOW-UP:

None.

RELATED REPORTS:

None.

Share to Teams Zoom Pin / Unpin

RE: [redacted] & [redacted] Audit Requests

Sent: Friday, June 20, 2025 12:31 PM
To: Laco, Patrick [redacted]
Subject: [redacted] & [redacted] Audit Requests

Good afternoon, Sgt. Laco.

Using the parameters provided, all my back-end searches of eQuery/SRFERS/ONS, along with two different CAD searches, came back negative. Plenty of folks have searched that couple, but not your officer.

I decided to pass along the below results from running an audit on **ALL** eQuery/SRFERS/ONS searches performed by Officer Gonzalez for your requested date range:

SD1140	4/4/2025 2:34:41 PM	SRFERS	information				[Veh. License: [redacted]][CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/29/2025 11:28:41 AM	SRFERS	information	[redacted]	[redacted]		[CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/25/2025 9:49:04 AM	SRFERS	INFORMATION				[Veh. License: [redacted][CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/25/2025 9:39:27 AM	SRFERS	INFORMATION				[Veh. License: [redacted][CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/25/2025 8:48:41 AM	SRFERS	INFORMATION				[Veh. License: [redacted][CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/25/2025 8:31:23 AM	SRFERS	INFORMATION				[Veh. License: [redacted][CLETS Purpose Code: C]
SD1140	3/14/2025 10:58:27 AM	SRFERS	information	[redacted]			[Location: [redacted] San Diego][CLETS Purpo

***Note: no results for [redacted] or [redacted] however, I don't know if any of those license plates he ran are tied to either of them.*

Let me know if I need to forward your request to the SDSO.

Respectfully,

Kimberly Rae **Kirkpatrick**

Outlook

RE: Subject Officer Interview

From SDPD IA [redacted]
Date Thu 9/4/2025 8:36 AM
To Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]

Thank you. See you Monday, September 29 at 1400. The location is the Internal Affairs Office, 7th Floor at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters, located at 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101.

Internal Affairs
San Diego Police Department

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:20 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good evening,

I will be there.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:07 PM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: Subject Officer Interview

September 3, 2025

Officer Gonzalez,

You are a subject Officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is related to an event that occurred on February 28, 2025, at [redacted] SDPD, Northern Division.

I have scheduled you for September 29, 2025, at 1400 hours, at Internal Affairs, 7th Floor SDPD HQ. Please let me know if there are any conflicts with this date and time. If you have any questions, please reach out to me. To prepare, please review all reports and documentation before the interview. As a subject officer, you are entitled to representation at the interview. Questions regarding this should be directed to the POA.

I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. I also admonish and order you *NOT to discuss this case or any portion of this investigation with anyone, including other subjects or witness officer(s), until the conclusion of the completed investigation.*

Please confirm your receipt of this notice by replying via email or calling me at [redacted]

Respectfully,
Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant, SDPD
Internal Affairs
[redacted]

Laco, Patrick

From: SDPD IA
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 8:37 AM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you. See you Monday, September 29 at 1400. The location is the Internal Affairs Office, 7th Floor at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters, located at 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101.

Internal Affairs
San Diego Police Department

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:20 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good evening,

I will be there.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:07 PM

Laco, Patrick

From: SDPD IA
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 8:37 AM
To: Gonzalez, Miguel
Subject: RE: Subject Officer Interview

Thank you. See you Monday, September 29 at 1400. The location is the Internal Affairs Office, 7th Floor at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters, located at 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101.

Internal Affairs
San Diego Police Department

From: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:20 PM
To: SDPD IA [redacted]
Subject: Re: Subject Officer Interview

Good evening,

I will be there.

Officer M. Gonzalez #1140

San Diego Police Department

Northern Beach Unit

4275 Eastgate Mall, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell: [redacted]

[redacted]

From: SDPD IA [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:07 PM

To: Gonzalez, Miguel [redacted]
Subject: Subject Officer Interview

September 3, 2025

Officer Gonzalez,

You are a subject Officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is related to an event that occurred on February 28, 2025, at [redacted] SDPD, Northern Division.

I have scheduled you for September 29, 2025, at 1400 hours, at Internal Affairs, 7th Floor SDPD HQ. Please let me know if there are any conflicts with this date and time. If you have any questions, please reach out to me. To prepare, please review all reports and documentation before the interview. As a subject officer, you are entitled to representation at the interview. Questions regarding this should be directed to the POA.

I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. I also admonish and order you *NOT to discuss this case or any portion of this investigation with anyone, including other subjects or witness officer(s), until the conclusion of the completed investigation.*

Please confirm your receipt of this notice by replying via email or calling me at [redacted]

Respectfully,
Patrick Laco
Detective Sergeant, SDPD
Internal Affairs
[redacted]

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
WITNESS

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation. You are considered a witness.

It is not anticipated that you will be subject to any discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation. However, if during your interview, information comes to light indicating that you may be subject to discipline, we will stop the interview, let you know that you may be subject to discipline, and you will have the right to representation at that time.

You are directed to answer all questions completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

If you fully understand these instructions, please sign your name below.

[redacted] _____ 06/03/2025
Date

_____ 6/3/2025
Date

I/NetViewer Search: Enter ID# GO › 5864 [Logoff] | Help |

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

Unit History Inquiry Results (29 Returned)

New Unit History Inquiry ›

Show 100 Entries

Date Range

Unit History From 03/13/25 - 03/13/25

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	LN				03/13/25 05:04:23	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	LN				03/13/25 05:04:24	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	UC				03/13/25 05:04:25	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		New equipment list for Unit [112J1] :	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	LN				03/13/25 05:04:26	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	UU				03/13/25 05:04:37	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		early car	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		SC				03/13/25 05:07:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	LL(-117:12:55.7214 32:52:34.5725)	EARLY CAR	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL	76692	DP	[redacted]	415DV		03/13/25 05:10:34	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)			trc01	1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415DV		03/13/25 05:12:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	415DV		03/13/25 05:35:50	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TR	[redacted]	415DV		03/13/25 05:43:22	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		TA	[redacted]	415DV		03/13/25 05:49:54	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	A		03/13/25 06:36:42	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 07:43:44	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 07:43:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309

Agency	Group	Unit ID	Unit Type	Mileage	Status	Event	Type	Subtype	Date/Time	Employee	Pager	Operator	Terminal	Location	Comments	Unit Devices
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 08:07:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 08:22:02	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 09:36:55	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	415W	HC	03/13/25 09:36:56	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415W	HC	03/13/25 09:36:57	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415W	HC	03/13/25 09:38:57	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		DP	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 09:38:58	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AK	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 09:39:00	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 10:13:52	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		ER	[redacted]	415W	HC	03/13/25 10:13:53	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	415W	HC	03/13/25 10:43:37	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 112J1	745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		97	[redacted]	368R		03/13/25 10:43:38	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		[redacted]	trc01	[redacted]		745309
SDPD	P1	112J1	PATROL		AV	[redacted]	R		03/13/25 11:43:29	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			745309
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	LO				03/13/25 11:44:13	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1			
SDPD	P1	112J1		00	DC				03/13/25 11:44:14	GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)		GONZALEZ,MIGUEL(1140)	$112J1		Device 760638 removed from employee 1140	745309

VIQ Solutions, Inc
East Thomas Road, Suite 2200 20
Phoenix, AZ 85012

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

VIQ Solutions, Inc. certifies that the document produced from the audio file named **GONZALEZ_SUBJECT_OFFICER_INTERVIEW.MP3** submitted by **San Diego Police Department - Internal Affairs** on October 15, 2025 is a true, accurate and faithful representation to the best of the transcribers training and ability and no intentional changes or redactions have been made.

DATED: October 15, 2025



VIQ Representative, SVP Client Experience

INTERVIEW WITH OFC. MIGUEL GONZALEZ
Q=Det. Sgt. Patrick Laco
Q1=Det. Sgt. Tyler Doherty
A=Ofc. Miguel Gonzalez
A1=Ofc. [redacted]

Q: This is Detective Sergeant Patrick Laco, ID Number 5864. Today's date is October 9, 2025, and the time is 1411. We are located in the Internal Affairs Interview Room at the San Diego Police Department Headquarters. In the room with me is, please say your name, rank and ID, please?

A: Miguel Gonzalez, 1140. I'm a Police Officer II.

Q: Okay. I've advised you of your right to representation when we agreed to this interview. In the room with us is your representative.

A1: Officer [redacted]

Q: And also present with us is?

Q1: Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty, ID number 6372.

Q: Hello. Thank you for coming today. I appreciate you coming today. So a couple of quick questions to get out of the way real quick. Do you know what your date of hire is?

A: Like March 3 or 5th, something like that.

Q: Of?

A: 2020.

Q: 2020? Okay. And what division do you currently work at?

A: Northern.

Q: And what watch?

A: Second.

Q: Okay. All right. And you've been at Northern for a while?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. All right. I'm going to read you rights per Miranda. You have the right to remain silent. If you give up the right to remain silent, anything you do, say can and will be used in court against you. You have the right to speak with an attorney of your choice before questioning and to have the attorney present during questioning. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you by the court prior to questioning, if you so desire. The attorney will not cost you anything. The services are free. Do you understand each of these rights that I have explained to you?

A: Yes.

Q: Having in mind and understanding your rights as I have told you, you are - are you willing to talk to me?

A: Yes.

Recording: Your call has been forwarded to an automatic voice message system.

Q: I'm sorry.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: You know what? That's a good point. Let's turn on that phone right now. Okay. All right. Now I'm going to read you the sworn personnel admonishment, Lybarger Garrity warning for a subject officer. This is a confidential-sworn personnel investigation. You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time. The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you. You are directed to answer all the questions posed to you completely, truthfully and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline. If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the

right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during the administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline up to and including termination. Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding. The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline. If you fully understand these instructions, please sign your name below. 10-9. And for the record Officer Gonzales did sign the admonishment. Do you want a copy?

A: No, it's fine.

Q: Okay. I'm going to ask you a few questions and again, just answer them to the best of your ability, right?

A: Okay.

Q: How long have you been in the department?

A: For approximately 6 years now.

Q: Okay. And your current rank, as you already said, was PO2. Where are you currently assigned? And you said Northern, right?

A: Yes.

Q: Prior to coming to this interview, did you review any reports?

A: Back like a month ago, yeah.

Q: Okay. Which reports did you review?

A: The domestic violence charge, 273.5.

Q: Okay. Did you review any BWC?

A: No.

Q: Okay. All right. So I'm going to go into some questions, pretty specific questions, again, answer them to the best of your ability and truthfully, okay? Were you on duty February 28, 2025, at approximately 0800 hours?

A: Yes.

Q: What was your assignment on this date?

A: Patrol.

Q: And what time did you start work that day?

A: 600.

Q: Okay. What time did you end that day? Not log off, but actually end of work.

A: Like, 1530 maybe, something like that.

Q: Okay. What time did you leave the station, if you can remember?

A: Probably between that and 1600.

Q: Okay. Can you describe your duties at the time of this assignment?

A: Just North Patrol, taking radio calls and...

Q: Okay. On that day, did you get dispatched to report of domestic violence and was the reporting party - where the reporting party was [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: What were the details on that call?

A: He wanted to report a - he wanted to report a possible domestic violence.

Q: Okay. On that day, do you remember speaking with [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: What essentially did [redacted] tell you?

A: He told me that his wife was attempting to more or less outmaneuver him or

he suspected that she was going to try and outmaneuver him, and getting him in trouble and that she was constantly yelling at him. There was no report of anything physical, mainly just a lot of verbal 4-15 going on.

Q: When you say outmaneuver, what do you mean by that?

A: He had suspected that she was going to try and maybe like report something false against him. False accusation. I believe.

Q: Okay. And you - so you're saying no reports of any violence or anything like that?

A: No.

Q: Okay.

A: Not at the time.

Q: All right. And that - anything else?

Q1: No.

Q: Okay. That day, did you speak with his children at the location you s- where you first contacted [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: And what did you discover after speaking with [redacted] children?

A: That - same thing, that she - his daughter, I forgot her name but she had said that her mom yells a lot, that she's afraid that he's going to - she's going to hit his dad one day and she's constantly badgering him, things of that nature.

Q: Did she ever say that that's ever happened?

A: Yes. She said it's been ongoing, that it happens all the time.

Q: What happens all the time?

A: That they fight, her and - her mother and father.

Q: A mutual thing or one?

A: Mainly that the mother is constantly badgering her dad. Constantly just about...

Q: Badgering or battering? Or both?

A: Badgering, like yelling at him and it's...

Q: Oh, okay.

A: ...egging him on to - fight back with her in arguments.

Q: Okay. But they never said anything about her ever getting violent with him?

A: Not at the time. No.

Q: Okay. All right. Your BWC was on when you interviewed them. Why did you turn on your BWC at the time when you spoke to them?

A: Just to get a - because I was going to just do some type of enforcement, possibly just per policy.

Q: Okay. At what point during the incident did you activate your BWC?

A: I believe it was when - I believe it was initially when I started speaking with [redacted]

Q: Okay. And what point did you turn off your BWC?

A: After I had been done speaking with [redacted] and his daughter, when they had left the area I met them in.

Q: Okay. If prior to the end of the incident, why?

A: I do not recall. I'm pretty sure when they told me that they were going to leave, I had turned it off and told [redacted] what I was going to - what, like, that I was going to write him up at 13 - 7-30 initially and that just telling him, like, "Hey, if you're having problems with your marriage, you should probably seek, you know, getting out of it."

Q: All right. I asked you this once before but did you review your BWC. I'm sorry. I did not ask you this before. Did you review your BWC footage prior to preparing your report?

A: Yes.

Q: All right. Moving on from there, where did you go after speaking with [redacted] and his children?

A: I went to go speak with [redacted] wife at [redacted]

Q: At [redacted]

A: Yeah. The court or (unintelligible).

Q: Is that at a different location?

A: Yes. So I met [redacted] initially on Balboa and, like, close to Balboa and Alifan, where there's an I out there.

Q: Okay.

A: And that's where he wanted to speak with me initially.

Q: Okay. And who did you speak with next by name?

A: Next, I spoke with [redacted] wife, [redacted]

Q: Okay. And is the [redacted] Is that a home? Is that a location, like, home?

A: Yes. It's the residence.

Q: Okay. And that's where she lives?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you know [redacted] prior to this incident?

A: No.

Q: Were you the only officer with [redacted] when you went to speak with her?

A: Yes.

Q: And did you take [redacted] statement?

A: I did.

Q: What did [redacted] tell you?

A: Initially, she was afraid to tell me anything and she did have a - when I noticed a cut on her lip. She had - I had to keep inquiring about how that happened to her.

Q: All right. Did you collect any evidence?

A: That day? No. Just pictures of her. Photographs of her.

Q: And how did you collect the evidence? You said pictures?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What did you use to collect the pictures with?

A: Phone.

Q: Department phone? Personal phone?

A: I believe it was Department phone.

Q: Department phone? Okay. And you took the photos of [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: All right. I'm going to show you a picture. All right?

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Is this a picture of [redacted] that you took?

A: Yes.

Q: All right. Are those your hands or are those her hands?

A: Those are my hands.

Q: Okay. Can you explain why you chose to take the picture of her this way?

A: I asked her to - if she could get her lip and she was being very shaky. I asked her, I said, "Do you mind if I just get it for you?" And she said, "That's fine."

Q: And she didn't want to lift up her lip on her own?

A: Like I said, she was being initially very scared to kind of want to do anything.

Q: Okay. Is there a reason why you didn't put your gloves on?

A: I mean...

Q: Just curious. I mean, I don't know if this is something you would normally do or...

A: No. I just...

Q: You just didn't?

A: ...didn't. Yeah. Just didn't.

Q: Okay. All right. Why did you do that? Oh, you already answered that. After speaking with [redacted] what did you conclude?

A: I concluded that she was the victim of 273.5.

Q: All right. At some point, [redacted] came home while you were talking to [redacted] How did that interaction go?

A: He had came home when I was leaving the - I believe when I was getting ready to leave, I had saw him. And he - no - so he did come home, prior for a second and then he was there for a couple of minutes when I was still interviewing [redacted] And then he had left again.

Q1: Can you give (unintelligible) for timeline purposes? How long were you at the house for?

A: I was there for, I want to say a good 30 minutes before he had arrived and I was still trying to get her to tell me what was going on. Like I said, she was being very...

Q: Okay. And you mentioned he came, and then he left, so...

A: He came and then he left. And that was, I believe, before I had known about the 273.5. And then he came again as I was leaving. He was passing by as I was going to my vehicle.

Q: Okay. So was it 30 minutes he shows up and then he leaves?

A: It was something like that, yeah, approximately.

Q: Okay. And then he comes back and then how long were you at the residence for?

A: At that point, I'd been at the residence for possibly another 30 minutes.

Q: Okay.

Q1: So twice you saw him, once in the middle of talking to her and then as you were about to leave?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay. All right. And...

Q: Did you ever request another unit to your location?

A: I did not at that point. There was a lot going on the radio. And I had ended up going, leaving the residence.

Q: What was going on, on the radio?

A: I don't recall. It was some hot calls or something.

Q: Did you feel like you needed another officer at that point?

A: If I was going to confront him, yes.

Q: Okay. Go on.

Q1: All right. So you talked to [redacted] correct?

A: I did.

Q1: All right. And what did you and he talk about? And what - make it specific. When, in the middle of your interaction with [redacted] he came home, you said about 30 minutes after that?

A: Oh, there. I didn't really get a chance to speak with him. No. It was more of they started bickering again, back and forth on this, that she's - he started saying something that she's not going to tell the truth, that she's lying and that went on for, like I said, maybe 5 minutes, then he had left again.

Q1: Okay. So you didn't speak to him or you did speak to him when he got there?

A: No, I didn't get a chance to speak with him directly.

Q1: Okay.

A: He was passing through.

Q1: So that was 30 minutes after you had already been there?

A: Yes.

Q1: Right. I'm just trying to clarify. And then when you were leaving and saw him again, did you talk to him then?

A: No, I did not.

Q1: Okay.

Q: Were the kids with him?

A: No.

Q: And what happened after [redacted] left?

A: I had - like I said, I left the residence after that.

Q: Okay. When you were speaking with [redacted] in her home, did you ever turn on your BWC?

A: Yes.

Q: At what point did you turn on your BWC when you were speaking with her?

A: I turned on my BWC, I want to say approximately, once I realized that she was going to tell me that something had happened with her, like that she had been hit by [redacted]

Q: Okay. So you're telling me that you turned on the BWC?

A: Yes. Have you been trained on when to activate your BWC?

Q: Yes. And what was your training? Just give me your best explanation, what was your training for BWC?

A: If you're going to take some type of enforcement action.

Q: Okay. And are you familiar with Department Procedure 1.49 as it pertains to BWCs and domestic violence?

A: Yes.

Q: When did you determine a crime had occurred?

A: Like I said, after speaking with [redacted] for a while and getting her to calm down and I was there to help her.

Q1: Was this before [redacted] arrived the first time or is...

A: This was I believe so, yes.

Q1: So that...

A: Wait. I can't recall but I think so.

Q1: Do you think before he arrived at the 30-minute mark?

A: I don't think so because I think I would have initially I might have contact - I might have had - at that point had to - had to detain him for the 273.5, I have...

Q1: So when he showed up, did you believe you had enough to detain him for 273.5 at that point?

A: Not at the time, no.

Q1: When did you take a photo of her lip?

A: After the initial interview with her.

Q1: And that happened at the house?

A: At the house, in the kitchen.

Q1: Okay. So is this before he arrives the first time or the second time?

A: I believe it was before I left the house, sometime before leaving the house, so after the first time he arrived.

Q1: Okay. Did you take the photo after he arrived the second time or before?

A: I believe it was after he arrived the second time.

Q1: After he arrived the second time?

A: No. I'm sorry. Before. So after the first time, but before he arrived the second time.

Q1: Say that one more time just to confirm.

A: So after - so it was after the first time he arrived, but during the second time.

Q1: Okay. So the second time that he arrived.

A: Before the second time.

Q1: The second time he arrives, you had taken a photo of her lip at that point, correct?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay. Did you believe at that point that you had enough to detain him for any type of crime?

A: Honestly, yes.

Q1: Okay. All right.

Q: What crime potentially did you determine?

A: 273.5.

Q: And do you know the elements of 273.5?

A: Yeah. Just a spousal abuse with an injury.

Q: Okay. You said that while you were with [redacted] you turned on your BWC.

A: I believe so, sir.

Q: Have you - do you remember if you did or not - do you remember at some point during the day inputting metadata for that BWC video?

A: I mean, usually when I put the metadata it's just - I'm not going to lie, I usually just do, like, the event number and what type of crime it was.

Q: Okay. I'll tell you, I looked on Axon for this day for your inputs, your entries into Axon, your recordings that day, I was able to find the BWC footage of your conversation with [redacted] and the two kids, but there was no BWC or video at all of your interaction with [redacted] And I even checked all possible ways that maybe you did enter the wrong metadata, but I checked all your BWCs for that day.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: All your incidents that you had recorded BWC and there was no BWC with your interaction with [redacted]

A: Okay.

Q: Are you able to explain that? Do you think...

A: Then, I mean, maybe just during the whole thing I thought I went on and...

Man: I thought. Yeah. Okay.

A: ...maybe I didn't.

Man: Thank you.

Q: Okay. So you're not sure if you did or not?

A: I mean, if you're telling me that, then yes, I'm not sure. I thought I had.

Q: Okay. All right. Why didn't you arrest [redacted] after knowing that this was a 273.5? Because you said you saw him before you left, right?

A: Yes.

Q: And did you or did you not at that point make the determination that it was a 273.5?

A: I did, like I said, the radio was blowing up, I didn't believe [redacted] to be in any immediate danger at the time and I just - I made that call, just to walk away from it with the paper at that point.

Q: Okay. In the hindsight, looking at it now, what would you have done different?

A: I should have just gone on the radio and tried to get anybody to come in.

Q1: When you say the radio was blowing up, was the tone on?

A: There was a hot call going on, I remember that much, I believe. It just sounded like there was a lot going on and I just wanted to go get some more radio calls at that point.

Q1: Any reason why you didn't switch over to another command?

A: I just didn't think of it, sir.

Q: Do you know the - have you received training in the Academy regarding domestic violence incidents?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. Are - is there a requirement for us to make an arrest when there's an element of DV present?

A: Yes.

Q: All right.

Q1: Do you know about how long you were at [redacted] house before you left?

A: It was anytime between 45 minutes to an hour, maybe a little bit over an hour.

Q1: Okay.

Q: While you were with her, talk to me about what you - what your actions were with her while you were there.

A: Okay. A lot of it was just trying to build a rapport with her to get her to let me know what happened. She was doing a lot of crying initially and I was telling her, "Hey, like, I'm here to help you. From - based on what your husband told me and the way you're acting, there seems to be a, you know, difference here." And I was trying to explain it to her. She was worried about her kids and not knowing what to do with her kids. She was worrying about, like, "Hey, like, I don't have really any family here in this country. Like, I don't know what to do if things here don't work out," kind of thing and I was trying to explain her like, hey we have this, we have that resources, trying to let her know that it's okay to tell me if something did happen before - prior to her actually telling me that.

Q: Okay. You explained that you were there for 45 minutes to an hour. Could have been more than an hour?

A: Could have been more than that.

Q: Okay.

A: Like, an hour and a half, 45 minutes to 1 hour, something like that.

Q: Does it typically take you that long? Let me rephrase that. Has that ever happened before where you were with a victim, DV, whatever and for a crime like this or any crime. Is that kind of typical you spending that much time with them or is it?

A: No, but I mean the way [redacted] had explained what was going on and when he had shown me some - he was showing me a recording or something of them fighting and it was more him more or less talking to her badly. It just threw up some red flags which is initially why I went to go check on her in the first place.

Q: Okay. All right. While you were speaking with her, what personal identifying information did you receive from [redacted]

A: I believe she had shown me her driver's license perhaps or her passport. One of those two.

Q: Think about when you were writing 11-10, what do you - what kind of information like that are you going to be asking somebody?

A: Just ask her, like, how long she's been there? How long they've been married?

Q: We're talking about personal identification information.

Q1: Top sheet.

Q: Top sheet.

A: Top sheet?

Q: Yeah.

A: Oh, yeah. Just like her - just the regular, I mean her hair color and all that I didn't have to ask her that because I could see it, so.

Q: Okay. How about like contact information?

A: Yeah. She had her number. I'm not sure if I put an email in there, I think maybe I did and things of that nature.

Q: Okay. Did you write the DV report?

A: I did.

Q: Did you complete that report that day before EOS?

A: I can't recall, sir. I'm, like, I either did or I did the next day one of the - I think I did, actually.

Q: Did you write a DV supplemental?

A: No.

Q: Was the phone number on record that you provided to SDPD when you came on to the department, the phone number that you provided, your personal phone number, is it the same as it is now?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What's that phone number?

A: [redacted]

Q: Okay. Is this your cell phone?

A: Yes.

Q: And is it a personal or department phone?

A: Personal.

Q: On February 28, 2025, did you call [redacted] on the phone after you had left her residence?

A: Yes.

Q: And what number did you call to get a hold of her?

A: I don't remember her number. I can't tell you about it.

Q: Was it the number that she gave you when you were from the - when she - when you were asking her for her phone number for the 11-10?

A: Yes, sir.

Q1: Okay. Did you - how did you get her phone number? Did you use the top sheet that you had submitted when you did your crime report? How did you get her phone number?

A: Yes. From the information she gave me.

Q1: So did you - you looked out on...

A: I had it still written down on a F-iPad.

Q: On an F-iPad.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Okay. Why did you call her after you left?

A: Just to check on her. Like, make sure that she was doing okay, to see - make sure that I guess nothing else happened since the way I had left it to see - make sure that she was fine.

Q: Okay. And how many times did you talk on the phone with [redacted] that day?

A: That day, I believe we had a conversation when I called her.

Q: Okay.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Do you know how many times you called her that day?

A: I don't recall. I think it was - it might have been once or twice. I can't recall exactly.

Q1: You said you had a conversation. Did you talk to her multiple times throughout the day?

A: Throughout that day, no.

Q1: Okay.

A: I don't believe so at least.

Q: Do you know what times you called her?

A: It must have been sometime either before EOS or after, one of those two.
.

Q: So before or after EOS?

A: Yes.

Q: And why did you call her again?

A: I called her just to make sure that she was doing okay. That nothing further had happened, talked to her about resources.

Q: Okay. Is that common for you to contact a domestic violence victim?

A: I've done it in the past, yeah. I've contacted people to make sure they're doing okay, after...

Q: After shift?

A: After shifts?

Q: Yeah. You said before end of shift or after end of shift is when you called her.

A: I mean sometime and during that time, yeah.

Q: Would you normally contact a domestic violence victim after your shift ended?

A: No. Not like it would have to be sometime, like, immediately within the - within that. It wouldn't be, like, later on.

Q: Okay. But in this case, did you speak to her after your shift ended?

A: Like I said, it was either right before my shift ended or right after. One of those two. I do recall that.

Q: Okay. I'm going to ask you some specific times, okay? Did you call [redacted] that day at 2:27 pm?

A: It's possible. Yeah. Like I said, sometime before else or after.

Q: And can you give me an explanation of why you called her then?

A: Same thing to check on her and whatnot.

Q: Do you know how long that phone call was for?

A: I don't recall, but I do recall this ended up going into conversation.

Q: Okay. So you did - you had a conversation with her at that time?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. And do you know how long that call was for?

A: I don't recall how long that was for.

Q1: What was discussed?

A: I would talk - I talked to her about how she was doing, the case and everything. If [redacted] had been there or had done anything to her. She had told me no. And then just asking her about what she was - ended up doing. And then that turned into her just talking to me and the conversation would end up winging off and we ended up just talking.

Q1: About what?

A: About just - I had overheard her, just random things.

Q1: Okay.

A: I kind of just winged off and she asked me just about like how long I had been doing this and I told her - I asked her how things are with her at home all the time. She had told me it just kind of started talk - turning into conversation, so.

Q: So would you say the conversation turned more personal?

A: Yes.

Q: And how long did you speak with her for?

A: I don't recall the exact length of that conversation.

Q: More than half an hour? More than an hour? Do you know?

A: I don't think it was more than an hour. I don't think it was more than half an hour either. It must - it could have been between - I want to say it was less than half an hour, I believe.

Q: Okay. Did you believe that that was professional?

A: No, sir.

Q: What were you hoping to gain by having this personal conversation?

A: I was not hoping to gain anything. It was just - it ended up being just conversation that led into conversation. And I mean, that was really it.

Q: Okay. Did you call her at 2:37? That would be 10 minutes after that call.

A: 10 minutes after. And if we were just talking, then yes. If...

Q: All right. And what was the reason for calling her at 2:37?

A: I believe, to continue the conversation we were having.

Q: All right. Why did it end? Why did you have to call her back?

A: I just - I had enjoyed the conversation we were having and she said it was okay to call her back.

Q: Did you ask her if you can call her back? Or did she want you to call her back?

A: I did not. I just assumed that it would be okay.

Q: So you just called her back on your own?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: Okay. And do you know how long you spoke to her that - at that point?

A: I don't know. Like I said, if it was - it could have been less than 30 minutes.

Q: All right. Did you call her again at 4:00 pm?

A: I believe so.

Q: Okay. And why did you call her at 4:00 pm?

A: To continue the conversation we were having, sir.

Q: And how long did you talk to her at the 4:00 pm conversation?

A: Same thing. I don't recall. We ended up having a lot of conversations after that.

Q: You said you might have ended the day at 1530 that day from your previous statement. Do you think that's more or less correct?

A: I believe so. I know at that point that they were kind of hammering down on overtime and whatnot. I don't believe I stood longer than I had to.

Q: What time were you supposed to be off on that day?

A: 1600.

Q: 1600 or 1500? Do you know if - do you remember if you were early car or not?

A: I was early car.

Q: Were you?

A: So yeah. It was off. I was supposed to be off earlier then.

Q: So 1500?

A: Yeah.

Q: Okay.

A: So, like, I forgot. I came in early car during first watch.

Q: So to go back, 1437 phone call, were you on duty at that time?

A: You know, sir, I don't recall. And I could have been off at that point already. And...

Q: Okay.

A: ...I could have logged off at that point already.

Q: All right. What about 1600? Would you have been off at that point?

A: Yes. If I - yes.

Q: Okay. So we'll just say you were off duty at 1600, 4:00 pm that day when you spoke to her on the phone.

A: Yes.

Q: Did you call her or did she call you?

A: At that point, I think that day I was calling her.

Q: Okay. And the conversation you had with her, the three times, talk to us about those conversations.

A: Like, it just - it ended up just becoming getting to know each other conversations.

Q: Okay. Personally, beyond the professional level you think?

A: I mean, yes. If it was no longer to do with the case, then yes.

Q: Okay. So were you talking with her about things other than the case?

A: Yes.

Q: Okay. What kind of things were you talking about?

A: I don't recall exactly. It was a lot of just conversation I would have with other people that I was getting to know. Nothing - at least nothing I can recall super specific at that time.

Q: No. That's fine. All right, all right. Did you document what it was that you talked to her about? If you talked to her about the case, did you later on document it anywhere that you spoke to her?

A: No.

Q1: How much of that conversation was about the case?

A: I'd say the first call, probably maybe, I'd say it was a short call, maybe half of it, then it kind of swinged into just another conversation. And I mean, we would bring up conversations, like, about what she was going to do and stuff but it was - at that point, it was beyond the case. Yeah.

Q: Okay. As far as the documentation, you spoke to her about the case, correct?

A: Yes.

Q: You did? Okay. And why didn't you document any of that conversation?

A: I just didn't feel like it. She didn't tell me anything that had any relevance. At that point, nothing new that she had explained to me.

Q: Okay. After February 28, had you spoken to [redacted] any time?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you call [redacted] on March 5, 2025, at about 1428 hours, 2:00 pm - 2:28 pm in the afternoon?

A: I probably did. Yes.

Q: Okay. Were you on duty that day?

A: What day was it?

Q: March 5, 2025.

A: I'll have to look up what day it was but...

Q: What the day of the week out?

A: Yeah. I think, because I don't recall the date. I think I was off on the weekends during that time.

Q: Sure.

A: No, I wasn't. I was not off on the weekends.

Q: March 5, 2025, was it Wednesday?

A: Wednesday?

Q: Mm-hm.

A: I think I was off, but I'm not sure.

Q: All right. Okay. So March 5, 2025, at about 2:28 pm, do you remember what you talked to her about?

A: I don't, sir. I really don't. I'll be completely honest, we were conversating quite a bit already at that point, just about.

Q: All right. Would it be safe to say that you were talking to her about work or were you talking to her about personal stuff?

A: Personal.

Q: All right. At what watch were you at the time?

A: I was still on first watch if it was March.

Q: All right. So the day after that, March 6, 2025, did you work that day? This was the day that [redacted] was arrested.

A: Yes. The GBRO was served that day.

Q: Okay. And I'm sorry, you said you were first watch that day?

A: Yes.

Q: What time did you start that day, if you remember?

A: If I was first watch, I was early car the whole shift, so it would have been...

Q: Early car? Okay.

A: Yeah.

Q: And what time does that start?

A: 500.

Q: Do you remember going to [redacted] house that morning at about 0533 hours before...

A: Yeah.

Q: ...he was arrested?

A: Yes.

Q: Why did you go to her house on that day? At that time, at 5:33 in the morning?

A: We wanted to see each other. We'd been talking already a lot at that point and we wanted to see each other.

Q: Who facilitated that meet-up?

A: Both of us.

Q: Okay. How did you facilitate that?

A: We had - I just - she said that she - I suppose, we said we wanted to see each

other. She had the kids at that point and wasn't sure how her schedule was going to be, like, most of the time and she said that just would have been a - of a good time for me to go see her.

Q: When did you make that arrangement?

A: Probably the night before.

Q: How?

A: Over the phone.

Q: So the day before, on March 5, I asked you if you had called her that day, you spoke to her that day. Was that how you arranged to see her the next day at 5:33 in the morning? Or was it through some other form of communication?

A: It probably would have been through some - we were speaking throughout the day constantly, so it would have been probably another time later on to the night, more than likely.

Q: How were you communicating with her?

A: Via phone.

Q: Phone call?

A: Yes. Phone call or text.

Q: Or text?

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Okay. That morning, you were assigned Incident [redacted] which would have been a 10851 recovery report on [redacted]. Do you remember that call?

A: [redacted]

Q: It was...

A: [redacted]

Q: It was a 10851 recovery on [redacted]

A: I don't recall. I'm sorry.

Q: Okay. I'm going to show you a copy of a CAD printout. This CAD printout is Incident [redacted] The call came in at 0527 hours. It was dispatched at 0530 hours. And it shows that you arrived at 0556 hours and closed at 0742 hours. I'll let you take a look at this and tell me if that was - if you remember going on that call.

A: Yeah. [redacted] I do remember the call.

Q: Okay. So that was your call?

A: Yes.

Q: All right. I already mentioned that and you admitted to going to [redacted] house around 5:33, correct?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: All right. So you were added to this call, dispatched the call at 5:30. All right. So would it be safe to say that while you were dispatched on this call, you went to go see [redacted] Would that be a fair and accurate statement?

A: Yeah. I was probably on my way over there already or I'd been there already or almost there.

Q: All right. Do you remember how long you were over at [redacted] house that morning?

A: It's maybe 20, 25 minutes, something like that.

Q: And while you were there...

A: Couldn't have been longer than that, because I went to that call after.

Q: All right. While you were there, what were you and [redacted] doing?

A: We did a - we did have some intimacy acts.

Q: Okay. Can you explain?

A: We - just in plain English. We had sex.

Q: Okay. So from the time that you were there until the time you left, was this something that you arranged with her to date for or while you were there, this just...

A: It just happened, sir.

Q: Just happened? Okay. All right. Okay. So did you not go straight to the recovery when you were dispatched the call?

A: No.

Q: Was going to her house related to your call?

A: No.

Q: Did you document any reason why you went to her house first?

A: No, sir.

Q: Do you remember - you said about 20 minutes...

A: Yeah. It...

Q: ...from your prior answer?

A: Yeah. It couldn't have been longer than that.

Q: Okay.

A: 20, 30 minutes.

Q: So after you left, why did it take you a while to get over to the recovery?

A: I don't know. How long was it? It was a while.

Q: So you got there 5:33. You were there for approximately 20 minutes. You didn't get to the recovery until about 621 hours. Do you remember what you were doing before that? So if you left about 20 minutes, it would have put you a little before 0600.

A: Mm-hm.

Q: Would it have taken more than 20 minutes to get to [redacted] from her home? Or do you remember diverting somewhere else to go before the recovery?

A: I don't recall diverting anywhere else but maybe I was just slow rolling over

there. Yeah.

Q: Okay.

A: I don't remember going anywhere else before that, if that's what you're asking. I didn't divert to any other place.

Q: All right. Did you activate your BWC for the recovery?

A: I don't remember if I did or not. Maybe for a statement but...

Q: Okay. On that same day, did you return to [redacted] So later that day, after...

A: Later that day...

Q: ...the recovery?

A: ...during the GBRO. Yes.

Q: Okay. What was the purpose of you returning there?

A: Just to - honestly to - I guess to help out the investigation and to make sure that...

Man: (Unintelligible). You were a little bit...

A: Just help out the investigation.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Do you recall at what time [redacted] was arrested?

A: I don't recall.

Q: All right. Do you remember putting yourself on the call after he was arrested?

A: I don't recall what time he was arrested. I just know that once it happened, I did eventually - I did put myself on the call and went to the [redacted]

Q: Okay. Did you go to the location where [redacted] was arrested?

A: No.

Q: Why not?

A: I just - I'm not sure. I just didn't.

Q: Okay. So instead of going to where he was arrested, you went to [redacted] house?

A: Well, so that's where they were doing the - serving the GBRO when [redacted] was there.

Q: Okay. At that point, do you remember if he was already arrested?

A: I don't recall, sir. I know that they were - I believe - from what I remember, I think he's the one that made the call and met the officers there and they arrested him.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Do you remember where he was arrested?

A: I think the same place that I met him, over off Balboa.

Q: Okay. So not at [redacted] house then?

A: No.

Q: So you didn't physically go to the location where he was arrested?

A: No.

Q: All right. While there...

Q1: You mentioned that you were there to help with the investigation. What were you - what did you end up doing to help out?

A: I was there to make sure that [redacted] was going to give up the guns from - that she knew [redacted] had to - because she was just worried that he was going to be super mad if he had lost his guns.

Q1: Did you see any conflict of interest? The fact that you're now sleeping with the victim and are going to a domestic violence call that they're involved in that day?

A: I do, sir.

Q: Let's go back to what did you do on that call when you went there to help out with the investigation. Did - was it just to talk to her? Did you do anything to aid in the investigation or you were there just kind of as emotional support for her?

A: Probably the emotional support thing. And I believe I had helped the GBRO collect ammunition and a few guns.

Q: Okay. Did you document your activity at [redacted] house? Did you write any paper?

A: I did not.

Q: All right. Did you activate your BWC?

A: While I was there - I think I would have if I was collecting that, if I was helping him out with the ammo.

Q: But are you sure if you did or not?

A: I'm not sure, sir.

Q: You're not sure. Okay. So were you the only officer there when you went there?

A: No.

Q: Do you remember who else was there?

A: Officer [redacted]

Q: [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: Is that hyphenated, do you know or...

A1: Just go by [redacted]

Q: Just by [redacted]

A1: Yeah.

Q: Okay. Any idea what his ID number is by any chance?

A: I don't know his ID number.

Q: No? Okay. So he was there when you showed up?

A: Yes.

Q: And was there any other officers behi- besides him?

A: The GBRO unit was there.

Q: All right. Can you kind of explain why you were there for about 63 minutes?

A: It just - I was just there with them, helping them out and I guess making sure that she was okay.

Q: Okay. Were you the last one to leave?

A: No. I believe [redacted] was.

Q: Okay. All right. Any other questions for that day?

Q1: I don't think so.

Q: All right. Okay. We're going to move on to March 11. So March 11, 2025, at 4:40 pm, did you call her on the phone that day, if you remember?

A: I probably did. Yes.

Q: And why did you call her on that day?

A: Just to talk with her, conversation.

Q: All right. On March 12, 2025, the next day at about 5:20 pm, did you call her?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you remember how long you talked to her for?

A: I don't remember, sir.

Q: And why did you call her on that day?

A: Same thing, conversation.

Q: When you call her for conversation, what's the context of these conversations?

A: We were talking just about just a lot of things, more so just...

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: ...continuing to get to know each other, about her kids, the stuff she has them in, like, her - their activities. She'd ask me about me and my life...

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: [redacted]

Q: I'm sorry, [redacted]

A: Yeah.

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Things of that nature.

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Just we were talking to each other a lot at that point.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Did you talk about the case at all with her, any kind of work stuff that you were talking with her?

A: I mean, I...

Man: Yeah.

A: ...if she was - at that point, I think she was just...

Man: Yeah.

A: ...she was telling me what she was doing as far as the case goes, that she had a friend that's a paralegal that was helping her out.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Did you document any of those calls?

A: No, sir.

Q: No? Okay.

Man: Yeah - yeah.

Q: On March 11 or 12, did you meet with her?

A: I don't recall. At that point, we had probably hung out different times...

Man: It's cool, right?

A: ...just off duty.

Q: So at that point, how many times did you guys meet up?

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: I don't recall, sir. Just whenever she was free and I was free.

Q: So frequently?

A: It became frequently. Yes.

Q: More than five times?

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Sure. Yeah.

Q: More than ten?

A: I don't think that many, but just the same, like, if - as if we were seeing each other, more or less. We told each other that we liked each other and we wanted to continue seeing each other.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Did you meet her at Torrey Pines?

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Torrey Pines?

Q: Mm-hm.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Like the State Beach or the trail back there?

Man: Well, that worked...

A: No. We had talked about going hiking.

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Did you mean that I meet her there? What are you talking about?

Q: Yeah. Did you meet her there? Did you go there, run into her?

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Arranged to meet her there? Go there together?

Man: Yeah.

A: No. We had talked about going hiking, but I don't believe we ever went to Torrey Pines.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: So at this point, how many times - at this point, was it about between 5, 10-ish times that you'd already seen her?

A: Yes, sir. We were actively seeing each other and calling each other a lot, I'm not going...

Q: Okay. And how was that arrangement work...

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: ...between you two? Did you go to her house? Did she go to your house or both?

A: Just...

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Just both. We would meet each other there or, like, a couple of times we got stuff to eat. Things of that nature.

Q: All right. So you - at this point, do you think you established a, like, relationship with her?

A: Yes, sir.

Q: Okay. What would you classify that relationship?

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Like, romantic?

Q: Sure. Romantic, dating, sexual?

A: Just romantic, dating.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: All right. On March 13, 2025, did you go to [redacted] house at any time?

A: I don't recall, sir.

Q: All right. On that day, at approximately 9:50 am, it shows that you went to her house and left at 10:12. Do you remember that?

A: I had - if I did show up, then yeah. I was probably there. I mean...

Q: Okay. And when you showed up to her house, what happened?

A: We were just - it was just a talking conversation, letting her know she was showing me a turtle that she had in her backyard.

Q: Okay. Any sexual activity then?

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: Not that day. The only time we had sex there it was that time in the morning I told you about.

Q: Okay. While you were at her house, you were assigned Incident [redacted] initially at 0743 hours. That call was for a 368R at [redacted] Do you remember that call?

Man: That's all right.

A: I don't recall that call, sir.

Q: All right. You were then dispatched to a 4-15 weapon call on [redacted] at 9:36. Do you remember that call?

A: I don't recall that call but...

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: And then 2 minutes later at 938 hours, you were put back on a 368R call.

Man: Yeah.

Q: That day was a rainy day.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: It was [redacted] crew. They went to a 4-15 weapon call. And you were dispatched on that one while you were on a 368R call. Do you remember anything like that at all?

A: I don't recall, sir.

Q: All right.

A: No, sir.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Do you remember why you went back on the 4-15 weapon call at 10:12?

A: I don't recall. I'd have to look at the CAD and see.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: All right. On April 26, 2025, you called [redacted] at 7:16 pm in the evening. This was right before you logged on as PV-1. Why did you call her that night?

A: As PV-1?

Q: Mm-hm.

A: Probably just the same conversation.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: Same conversation being what?

A: Just us. Like, we were talking a lot, sir. The same way, like, I guess you would talk to somebody if you were dating them. Just a conversation.

Q: Okay. All right. April 27, the next day at 7:10 am, you were in your patrol vehicle and went towards [redacted] You drove southbound on [redacted] then made a U-turn on [redacted] then went back towards [redacted] Do you remember doing something like that?

A: I believe so. Yeah.

Q: Okay. Why would you do that? Did something happen? Something come up?

A: No. Just...

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: ...I think something along the lines was she told me that she was worried that [redacted] was, like, watching her or something like that.

Q: Okay. So did you intend to go to her house that day? This is the day after you did your assignment as PV-1.

A: The day that we planned for me to go to her house? Is that what you're saying?

Q: Yeah.

A: No.

Q: Okay. But you clearly showed that you were going there. What do you - what was your intention at that point?

A: I think just to make her feel better because like I said, those times when she said that she was just scared.

Q: Okay.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: And you decided not to - and your statement was she was worried that [redacted] was watching?

A: No. I mean, in that sense just - like, just to say like, "Hey, I think, like, he's, like, outside my house or something like that, like, watching me." Like, kind of, like, a - along the lines of a paranoia thing, because she was scared of him. And I just drove by to show her, like, she's fine. Like, you're okay, kind of thing.

Q: Okay. But clearly on this day and at this point, I have it on your car monitor, the AVL...

A: Mm-hm.

Q: ...that it shows you going southbound and then you made a U-turn and didn't even go towards her house. Did - were - at that point were you intending to go to her house? Because you did say a statement that...

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: ...you thought that she was - her being watched by [redacted]. My question to you is were you at this point on this day, the day after you were at PV-1 on April 26, 2025, at 7:10 in the morning? Do you remember going towards her house and changing your mind?

A: Not in that intent. No. Like, to, like, go over there and stop there? No. Nothing like that.

Q: No? All right.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q1: Why were you going to her house while you were on duty?

A: I mean, I just don't have an answer for that, sir. I probably was just saying hi or something...

Man: (Unintelligible).

A: ...to her.

Q: All right. That same day at about 1454 hours - let me just get some context here. You worked PV-1 the night of the 26th and then you worked regular shift the very next day. Does that sound about right?

A: I don't recall. If I did then yeah, I did.

Q: Have you ever done that before?

A: I do overtime when I can.

Man: Yeah.

Q: Okay. All right. So that same day April 27, you called [redacted] And can you tell us about the reason why you called her?

A: I can't tell you if it was just a random day. It was probably, like I said, the same type of conversation that we always had.

Q: What was your relationship like with her at this point?

A: It was good. I mean, we talked all the time. There was no quarrels between us, nothing.

Q: Still dating at this point?

A: I would say so. Yeah.

Q: Okay. April 29, 2025, you called [redacted] at 7:25 am. Do you remember why you called her on that day?

A: Just probably just to say hi.

Man: (Unintelligible).

Q: And what else do you talk about?

A: I mean I don't know what to tell you. So it's just normal, the same thing I guess you would talk about if you called someone you were seeing. Just...

Q: Okay. So just...

A: ...random conversation.

Q: Nothing about work?

A: No.

Q: Or related to her case?

A: At that point we weren't discussing anything like that. It was all on the lines of, like, when we were going to see each other next and things of that nature.

Q: Okay. Again, May 20, 2025, did you call [redacted] at 2:39 pm?

A: Yes. I probably did.

Q: Probably? Okay. And do you know what the context of your calling her on that day would have been?

A: I'd say a casual conversation. Probably asking her what she was doing and...

Q1: What's your guys' relationship now?

A: We had stopped talking about mid-summer, I'd say. She had a lot going on. She explained to me, like, "Hey, this divorce is taking a lot out of me." She was planning on moving to San Francisco, last I had understood. And I don't know if she ever made that move or not, but we just kind of weaned things off because there was a lot going on.

Q1: So you said mid-summer, was this July, August?

A: June, July, August, something, like, I'd probably say closer to June.

Q1: Okay.

A: At that point, we would still, like, say hi to each other, but the seeing each other became a lot less. And just she was really busy with her stuff, and I understood. And I wasn't trying to pry or make more stress for her than she needed going through what she was going through and...

Q1: How many times do you think you saw her between the time that [redacted] first

came out and the time that you guys ended things in July?

A: Quite a bit time, I can't say.

Q1: Are you seeing her off-duty?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay. On your weekend, how many times are you seeing her, roughly?

A: I mean, at least every weekend I was seeing her. We were hanging out a lot.

Q1: How many times on-duty were you seeing her?

A: On-duty, I'd say the first couple times that we had saw each other in the initial when all that first started and that was really it.

Q: On-duty...

A: I'd call her on-duty. Like, it became much less of me just driving by to say hi to her or something, or...

Q: All right.

A: ...her going out of her way to come say hi to me.

Q1: Okay. How many times did you have sex on-duty?

A: It was that one time in the morning.

Q: On-duty, how many times do you think you met up with her? Other than the incidents that I talked to you about.

A: Like I said, that became much less after the initial everything, but...

Q: Did you...

A: ...I'd say that I probably didn't see her much at least on-duty, I probably didn't see her much after the time that you told me about on whatever date it was, when I went to go see her to say hi to her...

Q: Okay.

A: ...in the middle of the day. The 368 one, probably.

Q: Okay.

A: We didn't really see each other that much after that.

Q: All right.

A: It...

Q1: When you speak...

A: ...just became more comfortable with having a schedule to see each other off-duty.

Q1: I know you said that you only had sex that one time, but when you're meeting her at other times on-duty, you guys making out?

A: No. Nothing like that. I mean, maybe a kiss goodbye.

Q1: Okay. Where was [redacted] at that time?

A: I don't know, sir.

Q1: Was he living in the same house?

A: No.

Q1: Did you have any concern about him showing up when you were there?

A: I mean, I guess in the back of my head, but no.

Q1: And was there a ongoing court case at that time?

A: Was there an ongoing court case? Yes. I believe so.

Q1: Any concern about your involvement with the victim messing up that court process?

A: Yes. I knew what I was doing wasn't professional. Okay.

Q1: Do you believe that might come out at any point?

A: I mean I couldn't say. I told her that, "Hey, like, I know we shouldn't be doing this." But, you know, no matter what, I was going to continue just trying to help her as best I could.

Q1: Okay.

Q: The times that you saw her, we established that you saw her at her house while on duty. Is there any other place on duty that you met up with her?

A: I wouldn't consider it a meet-up. I think, like, sometimes I'll be maybe at the, like, writing paper at a storefront or something or somewhere, and she would come by and, like, just give me, like, a snack or something.

Q: She would stop by the storefront?

A: Not the storefront, like...

Q: Okay.

A: ...if I was just writing somewhere randomly or something like that.

Q: Okay. So you've met her in other places other than her house while on duty?

A: For an instance, it was never anything. It was always, like, in a public setting, nowhere.

Q: Do you remember where?

A: Over off of [redacted]

Q: All right. Did you get involved in any other incidents involving [redacted] and [redacted]

A: Not that I recall.

Q: Were you authorized to call [redacted] on the dates and times after February 28, 2025, for anything work-related?

A: No.

Q: Did you have any kind of documentation to support contacting [redacted] on dates other than the initial crime report date of February 28, 2025?

A: No. I mean, besides me initially just trying to help her out and, you know, help her get through her, what she was going through.

Q: Okay. At any point, were you conducting your own investigation on either [redacted] or [redacted] for any reason other than the initial DV incident on Friday?

A: No.

Q: In February, sorry.

A: No.

Q1: Did your supervisor know that you were going to her house on duty?

A: No.

Q: Were you directed to call [redacted] for any reason?

A: No.

Q: I'm going to ask you this again and just answer it again. So did you and [redacted] establish a relationship of any kind?

A: Yes.

Q: What kind of relationship?

A: Romantic.

Q: And romantic involved sex?

A: Yes.

Q: How many times have you had sex with her on duty?

A: One time.

Q: Okay. Do you think you conducted yourself both on and off duty in such a manner as to reflect favorably on the department with regards to your communications with [redacted]

A: No, sir.

Q: Do you think your actions might bring the department in disrepute?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you think your actions reflect discredit upon you as a member of the department?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you think your actions were of moral conduct keeping with the highest standards of law enforcement profession?

A: No.

Q: Do you think it was appropriate to call [redacted] all those times after the initial domestic violence investigation?

A: No.

Q: Do you think it was appropriate to visit [redacted] all those times after the initial domestic violence investigation?

A: No.

Q: In calling [redacted] on and off duty on dates other than February 28, 2025, would you classify that as an investigative follow-up...

A: No.

Q: ...if you were checking on [redacted] and asking investigative questions?

A: No.

Q: Do you think by calling [redacted] dates other than February 28, 2025, that this could potentially result in a conflict of interest with any active investigation or potential future investigation between [redacted] and [redacted]

A: Yes.

Q: Did [redacted] ever tell you she wanted to talk to you outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did she ever tell you she wanted to meet you outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did [redacted] ever solicit you for any sexual favors or activity?

A: No.

Q: Did you ever tell [redacted] you wanted to talk to her outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you ever tell [redacted] you wanted to meet with her outside of work?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you ever solicit [redacted] for any sexual favors or activity?

A: No.

Q: Did you ever use department resources to look up [redacted] or [redacted]

A: During the case, yes.

Q1: During the case, can you specify?

A: I don't recall looking up [redacted] like, more than after the time he was arrested.

Q1: So on the date that he was arrested, did you look him up on that date?

A: Probably after the initial booking, yeah.

Q1: And had you already established a dating or romantic relationship with her at that point?

A: Yes.

Q1: Okay.

Q: How many times did you look him up?

A: Like I said, the only time I recall ever looking him up was initially when I met him and then after the time, probably like the same day that he got arrested, just to see which others he got hit with.

Q: All right. Then how did you look him up?

A: Probably SD Law.

Q: And why did you look him up?

A: I guess to see the charges that were booked with.

Q: Do you believe by you already establishing a relationship with her, by looking him up and not being actively involved in the case, do you think that by looking him up, was that appropriate?

A: No, sir. I wasn't doing it for any misconduct or ill intent. I just looked him up to see what charges he got hit with.

Q: Were you...

Q1: Does that relate to the case or is that for your own personal knowledge?

A: I mean, I think a bit of both since I had done the initial arrest and whatnot. And yeah. Because I was involved in a relationship with [redacted] at that time to see exactly what they were going to do. But - I mean, I was never forwarding her any information like that.

Q1: And other than the charges, what information did you learn?

A: That he made bail.

Q1: Did you pass any of that information on to [redacted]

A: No. I did not. I mean, I told her initially, I was like he's probably going to get out within the same day anyway. It's not really a surprise.

Q: Of all the information that you looked up, did you tell anybody that's not related to law enforcement other than [redacted]

A: No.

Q: Have you received training on using CLETS?

A: I have.

Q: Are you familiar with department procedure for using CLETS?

A: Yes.

Q: When you looked him up, was it part of any investigation you were conducting?

A: At the time, no. Well, you mean, like, just in general for CLETS or...

Q: Let's say after February 28, 2025. The initial domestic violence incident.

A: No.

Q: Okay. And I think you already answered this, but I'm going to ask you again. When you looked him up, was it for personal reasons?

A: Yes.

Q: Anything else?

A1: I've got a few follow-up questions. We're going to go back to the beginning real quick. I don't have that many. But when you first went there for your follow-up after originally talking to [redacted] actually, the IHOP, did you feel that you had a need for a cover unit there or you were just going to go there and if you needed somebody, you could call them?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. So it wasn't more than you can handle on your own?

A: Not at the time.

A1: Okay.

Q: Just to clarify, you didn't think you needed a cover unit at that point? Or you did?

A: No, sir.

Q: Okay.

A: Because it was, yeah, 13:07:30 at that point. I thought she was going to initially tell me the same thing, that there is bickering and the same kind of calls you always go to.

Q: Okay.

A1: Okay. And do you believe that English was maybe [redacted] second language?

A: Yes.

A1: So it was difficult for her to maybe understand the answers you were trying to get for your investigation upon that first contact, which is why you had to spend the extra time?

A: Well, yes and no. I mean, she had a lot of questions about everything and mainly just scared. She thought she was going to be the one to end up getting, like, her kids taken away or kicked out of America. Like, she didn't really know. She didn't understand that she has a lot of rights here, initially.

A1: So it's safe to say she was pretty reluctant to even originally talking to you because she was feared of deportation, maybe CPS involvement and then her whole life that she'd knew it around her falling apart?

A: Yep.

A1: Okay. And have you had any professional training in the academy or line-up training or even in AOT from domestic violence how a lot of female domestic violence victims are very reluctant to talk to law enforcement because of fear of retaliation against their husband, whether it be physical, financial, mental, and if their husbands are sole provider, that is their entire world?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And what nationality was [redacted]

A: She is just Middle Eastern (unintelligible).

A1: Middle Eastern?

A: Yes.

A1: And is it with your experience and then life experience as well, not just outside of work, that women from the Middle East are very reluctant to talk to especially male police officers, let alone having them come into the world when their husband is supposed to be the dominant force in the house?

A: Yes.

A1: And then knowing that going into it, that's why you were spending the extra time to get her to open up and feel comfortable when [redacted] is talking to you?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And you think that had to do a lot with the cultural norms?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And talk about the situation where [redacted] the second time after he

already left the house to where you verified it was a 273, was he driving away from you at the time?

A: No. He was driving home.

A1: He was driving home?

A: Yeah.

A1: Okay. And after all the other conversations that you were having with [redacted] after that point where you first originally called her on the first date, was that more of like a consensual conversation that you guys were getting to know each other as somebody would be if they're in a dating relationship? Just talking about the day, getting to know each other personally?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. Beyond the very first day when you were taking the investigation, did you give her any confidential details about the case that wouldn't have been public knowledge?

A: No.

A1: No? Okay. And is it normal for officers to call their loved ones on-duty or to have maybe a meet up where if they're going to have a meal, snack, say hi, and then they go about their day? Is that normal?

A: I think so. Yes.

A1: Okay. And then have you ever called friends or family after they've had a traumatic experience in life to check in on them?

A: Yes.

A1: Okay. And that's it.

Q1: At what point in your own words would you describe that this interaction with [redacted] turned inappropriate?

A: Honestly, just within the night after we had kept calling each other and talking.

Q1: Is this after the domestic violence incident you first responded to?

A: Yes.

Q1: Would it be that night after the domestic violence incident?

A: Just when I realized that we were starting to talk just more and more, and it completely got away from the case and just became us getting to know each other. I recognized, like, that I probably shouldn't have been doing that. Yeah.

Q1: Was that on the same day of the domestic violence incident?

A: Yes, sir.

Q1: All right. Anything else?

Q: I got nothing else either.

Q1: Did you have anything else that you want to add?

A: I do not, sir.

Q1: Do you have any regret about what happened or what transpired?

A: I mean, I think everybody has regret when they know they did something wrong. Yeah.

Q: Do you feel you did something wrong?

A: I feel like I went into it doing the right thing and, you know, it became unprofessional on me. And to allow it to continue down the path, it did.

Q1: Okay. Anything else?

Q: Nothing else. Okay. At this time the interview is concluded. Time is 1529. I'm going to advise you not to discuss this interview with anybody other than your representative until this investigation is completed. All right. Going off record.

This transcript has been reviewed with the audio recording submitted and it is an accurate transcription.

Signed _____